Persecution of Rick Gonzalez

$\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}$

R. J. M. I.

By

The Precious Blood of Jesus Christ, The Grace of the God of the Holy Catholic Church, The Mediation of the Blessed Virgin Mary, Our Lady of Good Counsel and Crusher of Heretics, The Protection of Saint Joseph, Patriarch of the Holy Family, The Intercession of Saint Michael the Archangel and the cooperation of

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

To Jesus through Mary

Júdica me, Deus, et discérne causam meam de gente non sancta: ab hómine iníquo, et dolóso érue me

Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam

"The Beast ... it was given unto him to make war with the saints, and to overcome them." (Apocalypse 13: 7)

That is, the Antichrist and his minions shall war against good Catholics. Even though they may physically overcome good Catholics, we pray that they do not spiritually overcome them. A Catholic only goes to heaven if he dies as a good Catholic. This is a warning to good Catholics, no matter how saintly they may be, to remain steadfast in the faith even unto the worst persecutions.

Original version: 2/2006; Current version: 2/2006

Mary's Little Remnant

302 East Joffre St. TorC, NM 87901-2878 Website: <u>www.JohnTheBaptist.us</u> (Send for a free catalog)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RICK GONZALEZ'S TESTIMONY OF EVENTS (12/05) 7 RICK GONZALEZ'S CHRISTMAS EMAIL (12/8/05) 9 ALICE LEE STAUFFER'S BLASPHEMOUS REPLY (12/12/05) 10 RJMI'S OPEN LETTER TO LEE STAUFFER (1/18/06) 12 What are the facts? 12 True things above man's reason must be believed by faith 12 Credibility of witnesses 14 Obstinate sinners are offended by true Catholics and persecute them 19 Catholics must hate sin but love the sinner 12 Faith and deeds, not race, are what make men good or evil – Jewish Bloodguilt and false accusations of racism 16 Your ecumenical god is schizophrenic 18 Common sense dictates there is one true God and thus one true Church and religion whose dogmatic teachings are infallible 19 What the gospels and other sources teach about Jesus' crucifixion 20
ALICE LEE STAUFFER'S BLASPHEMOUS REPLY (12/12/05) 10 RJMI'S OPEN LETTER TO LEE STAUFFER (1/18/06) 12 What are the facts? 12 True things above man's reason must be believed by faith 13 Credibility of witnesses 14 Obstinate sinners are offended by true Catholics and persecute them 15 Catholics must hate sin but love the sinner 15 Faith and deeds, not race, are what make men good or evil – Jewish Bloodguilt and false accusations 16 Your ecumenical god is schizophrenic 16 Common sense dictates there is one true God and thus one true Church and religion whose dogmatic 15 Teachings are infallible 15
RJMI'S OPEN LETTER TO LEE STAUFFER (1/18/06) 12 What are the facts? 12 True things above man's reason must be believed by faith 12 Credibility of witnesses 14 Obstinate sinners are offended by true Catholics and persecute them 15 Catholics must hate sin but love the sinner 15 Faith and deeds, not race, are what make men good or evil – Jewish Bloodguilt and false accusations 16 Your ecumenical god is schizophrenic 16 Common sense dictates there is one true God and thus one true Church and religion whose dogmatic 15 Leachings are infallible 15
What are the facts? 12 True things above man's reason must be believed by faith 12 Credibility of witnesses 14 Obstinate sinners are offended by true Catholics and persecute them 14 Catholics must hate sin but love the sinner 14 Faith and deeds, not race, are what make men good or evil – Jewish Bloodguilt and false accusations 16 Your ecumenical god is schizophrenic 18 Common sense dictates there is one true God and thus one true Church and religion whose dogmatic 19 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 12 12 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19
True things above man's reason must be believed by faith 12 Credibility of witnesses 14 Obstinate sinners are offended by true Catholics and persecute them 15 Catholics must hate sin but love the sinner 15 Faith and deeds, not race, are what make men good or evil – Jewish Bloodguilt and false accusations 16 Your ecumenical god is schizophrenic 18 Common sense dictates there is one true God and thus one true Church and religion whose dogmatic 19 18 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 18 10 18 11 18 12 18 13 18 14 18 15 18 16 18 17 18 18 18 19 19 19
Credibility of the Bible
SANTA FE REPORTER AGAINST RICK GONZALEZ (1/11/06)
The Article (1/11/06)
ALICE LEE STAUFFER TO RJMI (1/18/06)
RJMI TO LEE STAUFFER (2/16/06)
Falsehoods cannot be respected 42 The profession of the Catholic Faith 42 Anti-Catholic inquisitions 42 Only a good Catholic State pleases God 42
True peace and joy is only in Christ's Kingdom 46 Your contradictions regarding original sin (inherited guilt) 48 Original sin alone makes unbelieving Jews evil 52
Calvin's heretical doctrine on predestination

Introduction

Rick Gonzalez, my brother in Christ who abjured from the Great Apostasy, had a job as a computer programmer at New Mexico Highlands University in Las Vegas, New Mexico. Prior to Christmas, Rick sent out an email to members of the University and others defending the true meaning of Christmas and the Catholic faith. His email went out to approximately 500 people. In response to his email, he received great persecution and a blasphemous response from an associate professor, Lee Stauffer, that was also sent to the 500 people; and two days later, Rick lost his job! I quote from my book *Woe to You Who Call Evil Good*: Eliminate all opposition..., April 2004:

"Some truths will not be published and circulated even in the USA, at least one is not fined or sent to jail for expressing them (in most cases), but he will be persecuted in other more devious ways with the loss of job, money, home, reputation, etc."

As expected in these final days, most people call good evil and evil good (Isa. 5:20) and persecute those who would dare tell truths they do not want the world to know. In the eyes of the wicked world, those who tell the truth are evil and punished while those who lie and blaspheme the God of the Catholic Church are good and rewarded. God condemns these evildoers as follows: *"He that justifieth the wicked, and he that condemneth the just, both are abominable before God."* (Proverbs 17:15) This is all necessary for the Antichrist to attain almost total power over the world.

What follows is Rick Gonzalez's record of these events: Rick's Christmas Email; Lee Stauffer's *Open Letter to Rick Gonzalez*; my *Open Letter to Lee Stauffer*, which was sent to Lee and the approximately 500 people, and other additions.

Rick Gonzalez's testimony of events (12/05)

Prior to December 8

There were many emails being circulated because of the coming "Holiday Season". Someone ??? sent an email with the message "Merry Christmas" and later on sent an addendum to it saying sorry for offending anyone but really should have said "Happy Holidays", so as to include everyone, not just "Christians". Many more emails came in with "Happy Holidays", but with no real meaning of Christmas.

December 8

In the morning I sent an email in response to an email with subject "Merry Christmas". There was no Christmas message inside the email but just pictures of Snowmen with the message, "Happy Holidays". After I came back from lunch, my supervisor immediately wanted to talk to me about the email I sent (due to pressure from the President's office) and he told me that the message was anti-Semitic. I tried to inform him that it was not so. He asked me if I would like to talk to the "Secretary to the President of New Mexico Highlands University". I agreed to do so. My supervisor and I proceeded to the President's office for a conversation with Laura LaCour-Johnson. Laura first informed me that her phone was "ringing off the hook" because of the email that I sent. "They" were asking, "who is Richard Gonzalez and where does he work at". She said, "…they want you fired". She said "If you were my employee, I would fire you too, but your supervisor likes you and does not want you fired".

December 12

Received an email from Lee Stauffer.

December 14

I was summoned by the Director of my department. He informed me that my contract has expired and that I fulfilled my duties and obligations as required, but that I can no longer be employed come January because of grant funding issues. I told him directly that I believe this came about because of the email that I sent. He neither denied nor confirmed my statement but said that I should check back next year for an upcoming position after some grants come in.

Days prior to my sending the email my supervisor had informed me that my contract was extended until May of 2006. Go figure!

Rick Gonzalez's Christmas Email (12/8/05)

MERRY CHRISTMAS



Christmas (literally, the Mass of Christ) is a holiday, observed on December 25, which celebrates the birth of Jesus Christ the Son of GOD. According to the historical account in the Gospels of the Bible, the word of God, Jesus, was born to the Virgin Mary in Bethlehem, where she and her husband Joseph had traveled to register in the Roman census. Christ's birth, or Nativity, is to fulfill the prophecies of Judaism that a Messiah would come, from the house of David, to redeem the world from sin.

Despite all of these teachings and prophecies, the Jewish people rejected Jesus as the Messiah and had Him crucified and to this very day still deny and reject Christ thus denying God.

In our modern politically correct mind-set, 'Happy Holidays' has replaced Christmas so as not to 'offend' anyone.

MERRY CHRISTMAS

Alice Lee Stauffer's blasphemous reply (12/12/05)

Subject: RE: Merry Christmas!

An open letter to Richard Gonzales,

By now, you have probably figured out that your Christmas Greetings was not a particularly good career move. I hope you have also figured out that it was rude. I would prefer to think that you genuinely didn't realize that some of the recipients of your message would be Jewish. Even if you didn't think of that, however, you must know that many Northern New Mexicans have Jewish ancestry and even if a person doesn't agree with their ancestors, they don't like having them trashed.

However, this is not the main reason for my letter. Rather, I wish to point out that the idea that Jews are "Christ Killers" is theological, moral and historical drivel. If you are Catholic, you ought to know that this idea is contrary to Church doctrine. Pope Pius in the 1950's started the consideration and Pope John Paul II made it official church doctrine that this idea is false.

Based on the wording of your message, however, I suspect that you are Protestant. Even so, the reasoning which led the Church to reject this idea is valid for you too. I will ignore all issues of textual criticism (whether things got added to the Gospels later) and issues of language and deal only with the text of the New Testament as you are acquainted with it. Even so, it is clear that the text doesn't support your idea.

The most cursory reading of the Gospels shows that the Roman, not the Jews actually executed Jesus. The moral culpability of the Jews has been taken to rest on two passages, the trial by the Jewish government (most completely dealt with in Matthew 26: 57) and the specific form of the washing of the hands in Matthew (Matthew 27: 24).

Now, it is obvious from the Gospels that a small subset of the Jewish people, the Roman appointed and tolerated government are the people involved in this first scene. We don't have a representative government of the sort we are used to, but rather a government that has been appointed by an occupying power. Surely, this government would not be in a position to speak for the people as a whole.

Furthermore, as described, this can not be an official meeting of the Sanhedrein (the advisory body of the government). The Sanhedrien didn't meet at night; it didn't meet during holy periods such as the Passover. The most important clue, however, is that Jesus' words are used against him. Jewish law, all the way back to the Law of Moses, forbids self-incrimination. Thus, the fact that the "trial" ends with Jesus' supposed statement of blasphemy (Matthew 26: 24: 65) shows that this is not a legal trial. Furthermore, the fact that the Jewish factions had to turn Jesus over to the Romans because they couldn't execute someone, shows that this is not an official action of the government as a whole.

People sometimes take this to mean that the Jewish government couldn't execute people, but that was clearly not the case, as is shown by events in the New Testament itself. The woman taken in adultery is about to be stoned which was the official form of execution in Jewish culture. The death of St. Stephan in Acts is an even clearer example of a Jewish execution (Acts, Chap. 9). In addition, it would obviously be impossible for the Romans to have dealt with every petty (from their point of view) violation of Jewish law. They had neither the resources nor the desire to do this. I will note in passing that the reason the "Jews" in Matthew 27 can't execute Jesus is merely that it is during Passover. Just as we wouldn't execute someone during Holy Week, Jews didn't perform executions during Passover. Thus, the events described in all the Gospels in which Jesus is judged is an ad hoc, quickly put together meeting of the few members of the government that could be gotten together on short notice designed to put an appearance of legality on an act the Romans had already decided on. For this reason, most people use the second passage as stronger proof of Jewish guilt. In this event, Pilate has come out of his residence and proposed releasing Jesus "as is the custom" (although no such custom is otherwise known of - but I said I wouldn't engage in textual criticism). The people who are there reject this idea and demand that Jesus be executed. When Pilate objects they say, "His blood be on us and on our children." (Matthew 27: 24-25) I find it interesting that this statement doesn't appear in any of the other Gospels. However, even if we accept the idea that this really was said, does it commit all Jew for all times to guilt? To believe this requires that parents can commit their children to moral guilt. There are passages in the early Hebrew Bible (Old Testament) that would support this, but it is an idea that gradually falls out and such an idea is certainly inconsistent with Christian doctrine. One of the most important ideas in Christianity is that an individual's salvation lies in his or her own hands. You surely don't think, Mr. Gonzales, that if you sin your children will be sent to Hell for your crime. You wouldn't even accept this idea legally. Wouldn't you suggest that the children of a murderer be locked up for his or her parent's crime? Of course not! How then could you support the idea that the action of Jews in approximately 28 A. D. makes Jews of all times guilty? Furthermore, we are clearly not dealing with all the Jews even of that time. We know where this event almost certainly took place and how large it was. Not even all the people of Jerusalem could have crowded in front of it, much less all the people of Judea. (See Excavating Jesus, John Dominic Crossan and Jonathan L. Reed on this point) Furthermore, who would have been there during the period of Passover? Romanized Jews of the government who didn't take a holy period that seriously, the sort of folks we would now call collaborators in an occupied state. These people are hardly in a position to speak for all Jews, even all Jews alive at the time. You surely wouldn't hold all the people of France guilty for the actions of the Nazi collaborators during World War II. As a final passing point, I will point out that these people probably didn't have a lot of descendants anyway, since they tended to get killed during the siege of Jerusalem during the Jewish War which led to the destruction of the Temple in 70 A. D. Being a collaborator has always been a chancy undertaking. In conclusion, then, the idea that the Jews are responsible and guilty for the death of Christ is an idea that could only have been popular during the Middle Ages when most people couldn't read and when the government(s) had good economic and political reasons for oppressing the Jewish population. That someone would circulate such an idea in the twenty-first century on a university computer system is frankly incredible. The next time you feel like sending a global e-mail, please make sure to check your facts first.

Lee Stauffer

Humanities - Philosophy and Religious Studies

RJMI's Open Letter to Lee Stauffer (1/18/06)

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi 302 East Joffre St. Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901-2878 Website: <u>www.JohnTheBaptist.us</u>

January 18, 2006

To: Alice Lee Stauffer

Humanities and Religious Department New Mexico Highlands University Las Vegas, New Mexico 87701 Email: <u>lee_stauffer@nmhu.edu</u> Phone: (505) 454-3412

Sources: My books listed in this letter are located on my St. John the Baptist website (www.JohnTheBaptist.us) and are available in hard copy upon request.

Open letter to Lee Stauffer:

My race is Jewish and my religion is Roman Catholicism. Rick Gonzalez, my Catholic brother in Christ, informed me of the recent confrontation he had regarding his Christmas email message defending the true meaning of Christmas and your response to his letter. In your "Open Letter to Rick Gonzalez" you attacked the true meaning of Christmas and the Catholic faith and informed Rick that he has ruined his career as a computer programmer because of his public defense of Christmas and the Catholic faith. The primary purpose of this letter is to make reparation for your blasphemies and lies against my God, my Church, and my religion. My God is the Most Holy Trinity, the God of the Roman Catholic Church. Roman Catholic is my Church and religion.

What are the facts?

You said Rick Gonzalez does not have his facts right. According to my Catholic religion and even non-Catholic sources, it is you who do not have the facts right about Jesus Christ, the apostles, the gospels, and the Catholic religion. Whether you believe in the Catholic religion is not the point here, but whether you present to the public the true beliefs and ways of the Roman Catholic Church. In its place you present a false Catholicism to the world. True Catholicism is banned among your many courses on world religions because you and your like are prejudiced and bigoted toward the true teachings and ways of the Roman Catholic Church. I will now bring these teachings to your mind just in case you do not know them or they have been improperly presented to you.

You imply that you and your modern sources have the facts right. That is what I call incredible because your modern sources contradict over 1900 years of testimony from every true Catholic source and even from many non-Catholic sources. You should agree that first-hand testimonies from people who witnessed the events are the most credible. These first-hand testimonies have been passed down from generation to generation in the Catholic Church and have been confirmed by many miracles. You would have us believe these testimonies are false while yours are true even though yours have no credible link with first-hand testimonies or miracles to confirm them. St. Peter, the first pope, a most credible witness, refutes your fables: *"For we have not by following artificial fables, made known to you the power, and presence of our Lord Jesus Christ; but we were eyewitnesses of his greatness."* (2 Pt. 1:16)

True things above man's reason must be believed by faith

True miracles are God's way of confirming to men that things and events are true. The manifestation of God's power is especially necessary when God reveals to men things that they cannot otherwise know. This is known as supernatural revelation. Some of these revelations seem unreasonable to men—not because these revelations are unreasonable, but only because man's reasoning ability is not capable of grasping them: *"For it is not necessary for thee to see with thy eyes those things that are hid... For many things are shewn to thee above the understanding of men."* (Ecclesiasticus 3:23-25)

Apostate Antipope Pius IX,¹ *Gravissimas inter*, 1869: "The Church has constantly taken care to distinguish the knowledge of divine things which is common to all by the power of natural intelligence from the knowledge of those things which is received on faith through the Holy Spirit; and they have continuously taught that through this (faith) <u>those mysteries</u> are revealed to us in Christ which <u>transcend not</u> only human philosophy but even the angelic natural intelligence."

Hence there are things that only God reasonably understands because creatures are not God. Some of God's supernatural revelations can be reasonably understood but only after they are first believed by an act of faith.

Lee, something above your current reasonable understanding is that men inherit original sin from Adam and Eve just as unbelieving Jews inherit the bloodguilt of Jesus. Speaking of inherited sins, you said, "You surely don't think, Mr. Gonzales, that if you sin your children will be sent to Hell for your crime." That is precisely what the Catholic religion teaches about original sin and the bloodguilt of the Jews. For instance, the guilt of Adam and Eve's original sin is inherited by all men, except Jesus and Mary, and all who die with this guilt go to hell: *"Wherefore as by one man sin entered into this world, and by sin death; and so death passed upon all men, in whom all have sinned."* (Romans 5:12)

Council of Trent, Decree on Original Sin, Session V, 1546: "2. If any one asserts that the prevarication of Adam injured himself alone, and not his posterity; and that the holiness and justice, received of God, which he lost, he lost for himself alone, and not for us also; or that he, being defiled by the sin of disobedience, has only transfused death, and pains of the body, into the whole human race, but not sin also, which is the death of the soul; let him be anathema."

¹ Pius IX lost the papal office in 1856. See RJMI article "Pius IX Denied the Salvation Dogma and Lost His Office." (Added in October 2012)

This supernatural revelation must be accepted by faith; only then will God give you the grace to reasonably understand it. However, certain articles of faith like God's infinity, the Most Holy Trinity, and the Holy Eucharist can never be understood by man's reason but can only be understood by faith. For instance, I know that for God to be God, He must be infinite (have no beginning and no end). Yet how this is possible I will never be able to reasonably understand. For God to be God, He must be able to create things out of nothing, by a mere act of His will. For if God needed anything such as a tool to create things, then who created the tool. Logic dictates that God creates out of nothing, but to understand how He does this requires an act of faith because creatures can never reasonably understand how God does this. For example, if God creates a full grown tree out of nothing in front of me, I can then know by empirical evidence that God creates things out of nothing. Yet I, as well as any creature, will never be able to reasonably understand how God does this. No scientist, no matter how smart he is, will be able to make something out of nothing. Hence some things must be accepted by faith, but not a blind faith—rather a faith that rests upon credible testimonies, fulfilled prophecies, true miracles, and good fruit. Only in the Catholic Church do these and *all* the other necessary marks of true religion exist. (Note that I said true miracles because Satan performs false miracles by magic and other forms of deception in an attempt to lend credibility to false gods and false religions, all of which he is the master.)

Credibility of witnesses

Of course, the credibility of the first-person witnesses must be examined. Jesus tells us that His apostles and the Catholic Church are true witnesses who faithfully hand down His teachings to others: "And the eleven disciples went into Galilee ... and Jesus coming, spoke to them, saying... Going therefore, teach ve all nations... Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and behold I am with you all days, even to the consummation of the world." (Mt. 28:16-20) "If he will not hear the church, let him be to thee as the heathen and publican." (Mt. 18:17) And Jesus and his apostles tell us that Christ-denying Jews and their allies are false witnesses, liars, and sons of the Devil: "Who is a liar, but he who denieth that Jesus is the Christ? This is Antichrist." (1 John 2:22) "I know that you are the children of Abraham: but you seek to kill me, because my word hath no place in you. ... You are of your father the devil: and the desires of your father you will do. He was a murderer from the beginning: and he stood not in the truth, because truth is not in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father thereof." (John 8:37, 44) Evil Jews told their worst lies when they denied that Jesus Christ is the Messiah and that He rose from the dead. They then bribed the guards who witnessed the resurrection to deny it. The evil Jews then spread these lies to others throughout the generations:

"Some of the guards came into the city and told the chief priests all things that had been done. And they being assembled together with the ancients [evil Jews], taking counsel, gave a great sum of money to the soldiers, Saying: Say you, His disciples came by night and stole him away when we were asleep. And if the governor shall hear of this, we will persuade him and secure you. So they taking the money, did as they were taught: and this word was spread abroad among the Jews even unto this day." (Mt. 28: 11-15) Hence the original Christ-denying Jews lied about Jesus Christ, His apostles, and His Catholic Church; and future Christ-denying Jews and their allies have carried on this unholy campaign of lies and deceit. Hence my God, Church, and religion condemn your sources as false and their authors as lying blasphemers.

Obstinate sinners are offended by true Catholics and persecute them

You and others have said Rick's letter offended certain people. Offending people is not the issue here. Do you realize that your "Open Letter to Rick Gonzalez" is highly offensive and full of hate to anyone who believes the Bible is the infallible Word of God? You modern ecumenists are the ones who claim to be concerned about not offending anyone regarding their religious beliefs. But in this you are hypocrites because you zealously offend any person that believes the Bible is the infallible Word of God.

Offending people is unavoidable when people disagree with one another over religious beliefs in which they are convicted. That is common sense. Jesus' true teachings offended and still do offend most people because most people are obstinate sinners. And most people will die that way and go to hell: *"How narrow is the gate, and strait is the way that leadeth to life: and few there are that find it!"* (Mt. 7:14)

Hence a true Catholic is not concerned about offending people when he admonishes them. Catholics are obliged to condemn sin and denounce sinners; if they do not, they share in the guilt of the sin and the sinner. This Catholic obligation offends obstinate sinners causing them to persecute and revile good Catholics just as Jesus and the Catholic martyrs were persecuted and reviled: "All that will live godly in Christ Jesus shall suffer persecution." (2 Tim. 3:12) "Blessed shall you be when men shall hate you, and when they shall separate you and shall reproach you and cast out your name as evil, for the Son of man's sake. Be glad in that day and rejoice: for behold, your reward is great in heaven, for according to these things did their fathers to the prophets." (Lk. 6:22-23) Lee, you reproached Rick Gonzalez because of his profession of the Catholic faith and persecuted him by implying that his career is ruined because of it. You said the following to Rick: "By now, you have probably figured out that your Christmas Greetings was not a particularly good career move." Since your "Open Letter to Rick" was sent out on December 12 and since Rick was let go from his job on December 14, it seems you had inside information that Rick was going to lose his job at the University because of his public profession of the Catholic faith. And worse, you informed Rick that he ruined his career because of it! Now that looks like an inquisition to me. You must know that the Catholic religion is not against inquisitions but only anti-Catholic inquisitions since Catholic inquisitions are necessary to maintain a Catholic State, diocese, and home. At least a Catholic inquisition gives a man a fair trial before they judge and punish him. You did no such thing regarding Rick. (See my book Bad Books With Imprimaturs: Loss of Inquisition mentality...)

Catholics must hate sin but love the sinner

Some falsely accused Rick of hating Jews because he said evil Jews had Christ crucified and other evil Jews consented to it and all unbelieving Jews for future generations inherit the blood guilt of Christ. To justify themselves, obstinate sinners falsely denounce good Catholics as haters of men. This calumny is easily exposed because in good Catholics' admonishments there is no evidence that they hate men. It is one thing to condemn sin and denounce sinners and call them to conversion, which all Catholics are obliged to do, but it is guite another thing to hate sinners, which all Catholics are forbidden to do. God commands men to "Hate evil," (Ps. 96:10) "greatly hate abomination," (Eclcus. 17:23) and "hate wickedness." (Prv. 8:7) He also commands us to love our enemies. Jesus says, "Love your enemies." (Mt. 5:44) Therefore it is virtuous to hate sin and sinful to hate men. My Catholic faith obliges me to love the sinner but hate their sin. Catholics must hate all sin, which includes false gods, false religions, religious falsehoods and other lies, and immorality. Parents with some sense of justice denounce and punish their obstinately sinful children even to the point of throwing them out of the house, yet they still love them. To tell a man that he is traveling down a bad road that would lead him over a cliff and to his death is not hatred but true love. The man may think you hate him because he believes he is on the right road; but the fact remains the same, he is on a bad road that leads to death. Lee, while I hate your sinful beliefs and blasphemies, I truly love you; and that is why I am telling you that you are on a bad road that leads to hell. It is truly my desire that you enter upon the right road so that when you die you will go to Heaven for all eternity to be with God, His angels, and His saints. Lee, if you believe that I am a hater of men after what I have just said, then all good-willed men will know that you are dishonest and use calumny to discredit those whom you cannot otherwise refute. (See my book Anger, Denunciation, Hatred, and Curses.)

Faith and deeds, not race, are what make men good or evil – Jewish Bloodguilt and false accusations of racism

You told Rick that "You must know that many Northern New Mexicans have Jewish ancestry and even if a person doesn't agree with their ancestors, they don't like having them trashed." Are we to surmise that you believe that anyone who denounces evil Jews, as Rick has, denounces the whole Jewish race? That is illogical to anyone with some common sense and good will. Do not all races have good and bad men? Do you honestly believe that there are no evil Jews in the history of the Jewish race (the 12 tribes of Israel)? If you believed that, you would be a racist because you would believe all Jews are good because of their race no matter how evil they may be. Good Jews during the Old Covenant era denounced evil Jews, just as Catholic Jews denounce evil Jews during the New Covenant era. Because I denounce evil Jews, does that mean I hate the Jewish race? If so, then I would have to hate myself because my race is Jewish. I would also have to hate Moses, the prophets, and Jesus because they are Jewish. Would you dare accuse Moses, the prophets, and Jesus of being anti-Semites because they denounced and punished evil Jews! According to you and your like, I am an anti-Semite for believing that evil Jews murdered Christ and that all non-Catholic Jews are cursed and on the road to hell.

To remove the blame from the evil Jews and avoid offending them, you and your like have lied and shifted the primary blame to the Romans. In so doing, you offend the Romans instead and lie about them also. According to your own standard regarding racism, that makes you anti-Italian. If you say that not all Italians were responsible and thus you are not denouncing the Italian race as a whole, then I reply that neither am I denouncing the Jewish race as a whole, but only evil Jews. Now this is the crux of the matter: "What constitutes an evil Jew?" I am sure you have your own beliefs as to what and who are evil, but the point here is not what you believe is evil but what the Catholic Church believes is evil. According to my Catholic faith, a Jew is evil (under a curse) if he does not believe in Christ and become Catholic; if he adheres to a false religion (which is any religion other than Catholicism); if he has committed a mortal sin; and because he is born with the guilt of original sin that cannot be removed without faith in Jesus Christ and baptism into His Holy Catholic Church.

An unbelieving Jew is also evil because he has inherited the blood guilt of Christ, an inherited guilt just like original sin that can only be removed by faith in Jesus and baptism into His Catholic Church. The Christ-denying Jews called this curse down upon themselves and future Jews who do not believe in Christ: *"His blood be upon us and our children."* (Mt. 27:25) St. Augustine teaches that "Judaism, since Christ, is a corruption; indeed, Judas is the image of the Jewish people: their understanding of Scripture is carnal; they bear the guilt for the death of the Savior, for through their fathers they have killed Christ. The Jews held Him; the Jews insulted Him; the Jews bound Him; they crowned Him with thorns; they scourged Him; they hanged Him upon a tree."² The point here is not whether you believe that men can inherit guilt but that this is what the Catholic Church teaches.

For instance, a Talmudic Jew is evil not because of his Jewish race but because of his guilt of original sin, his lack of faith in Jesus Christ, his adherence to a false religion, his worship of a false god, and the other reasons listed above. Catholics must hate Talmudic Judaism and denounce and call to conversion Talmudic Jews while loving them at the same time.

The Jews that denied Christ formed a new, false religion called Talmudic Judaism, a perversion of true Judaism that was replaced by Catholicism when Christ died:

Third Council of Constantinople, 381: "It is not allowable for anyone to produce another faith... whether from Hellenism or [Talmudic] Judaism or indeed from any heresy."

Talmudic Judaism accommodates evil Jews' denial of the true Christ and the only true religion, Catholicism. It is also heresy to believe that true Judaism is still in force, that it was not replaced by Catholicism: "*He taketh away the first that he may establish that which followeth*." (Hebrews 10:9) "*The children of Israel… their senses were made dull. For, until this present day, the selfsame veil, in the reading of the old testament, remaineth not taken away (because in Christ it is made void)*." (2 Corinthians 3:4):

Pope Pius XII, *Mystici Corporis Christi*, 1943: "First of all, by the death of our Redeemer, the New Testament took the place of the Old Law which had been abolished; then the Law of Christ together with its mysteries, enactments, institutions, and sacred rites was ratified for the whole world in the blood of Jesus Christ. ...on the Gibbet of His death Jesus made void the Law with its decrees, fastened the handwriting of the Old Testament to the Cross, establishing the New Testament in His blood shed for the whole human race. 'To such an extent, then,' says St. Leo the Great, speaking of the Cross of our Lord, 'was there effected a transfer from the Law to the Gospel, from the Synagogue to the Church, from the many sacrifices to one Victim, that, as Our Lord expired, that mystical veil which shut off the innermost part of the temple and its sacred secret was rent violently

² Faith of the Early Fathers, by Fr. William Jugens, vol. 3, 1536; *The Anguish of the Jews*, by Fr. Edward H. Flannery, 1965.

from top to bottom'. On the Cross then the Old Law died, soon to be buried and to be a bearer of death, in order to give way to the New Testament."

Therefore according to the Catholic faith, the real issue is not race but faith and deeds: "*Those who are of the faith are the children of Abraham. ...For you are all the children of God by faith, in Christ Jesus... where there is neither Gentile nor Jew.*" (Gal. 3:7, 26; Col. 3:11) What makes men good is the Catholic faith and good deeds—they need both to be saved. Hence all men who die worshipping a false god or practicing a false religion and even Catholics who die in mortal sin go to hell. Therefore, only good Catholics attain eternal salvation. (See my book *The Salvation Dogma*.)

Pope Eugene IV, Council of Florence, Bull *Cantate Domino*, 1441: "The most Holy Roman Catholic Church firmly believes, professes, and preaches that none of those existing outside the Catholic Church, not only pagans, but also Jews, heretics and schismatics, can have a share in life eternal; but that they will go into the eternal fire which was prepared for the devil and his angels, unless before death they are joined with Her; and that so important is the unity of this ecclesiastical body that only those remaining within this unity can receive an eternal recompense for their fasts, their almsgivings, their other works of Christian piety and the duties of a Christian soldier. No one, let his almsgiving be as great as it may, no one, even if he pour out his blood for the name of Christ, can be saved, unless he remain within the bosom and the unity of the Catholic Church."

(For further study on these topics, see my books *The Jews and Sin, Guilt, and the Passion of Christ* and *Woe to You Who Call Evil Good!*)

Your ecumenical god is schizophrenic

As a member of the religious studies department, surely you understand that anyone who has a true conviction in what he believes will stand up for those beliefs when they are being attacked. I am not talking about the modern day hypocrites who are not convicted about what they believe in. In spite of their different beliefs about who God is, what His commandments are, and what He demands of men so that they can gain eternal life, they all believe they worship the same god or it does not matter what god or religion is true because all can be saved no matter what god they worship or what religion they believe in. Your ecumenical god is schizophrenic—not sure of who he is, what he believes, and what he demands of men from one day to the next. This type of gross dishonesty is detectable to simple people with common sense, something the pseudointellectuals in current day colleges, universities, and other think tanks do not have. You cannot honestly teach about the world's different gods and religions without also teaching that all of them are false or only one of them is true. That is common sense. Can you not see how your wisdom is foolishness in the eyes of the one true God and faithful Catholics: "Hath not God made foolish the wisdom of this world?" (1 Cor. 1:20) It is also foolishness to simple non-Catholics with some common sense and good will. Common sense refutes most of your absurd beliefs and ways; supernatural revelation and miracles refute the rest.

Common sense dictates there is one true God and thus one true Church and religion whose dogmatic teachings are infallible

Without supernatural revelation, men can know by common sense (the law upon their heart) that God exists, that He is one God, and that He rewards the good and punishes the wicked: *"He that cometh to God, must believe that he is, and is a rewarder to them that seek him."* (Heb. 11:6) Because common sense dictates that there is one God, it also dictates that the one true God has only one true Church and religion. By supernatural revelation, God teaches men that this one and only true Church and religion are the Holy Roman Catholic and Apostolic Church and the Catholic religion:

Pope Pius XI, *Mortalium Animos*, 1928: "The Catholic Church is alone in keeping the true worship. This is the font of truth, this the house of faith, this the temple of God; if any man enter not here, or if any man go forth from it, he is a stranger to the hope of life and salvation."

Common sense also dictates that this one true Church must also be able to infallibly teach the truth or there would be no way for men on earth to know supernatural truth with all certainty: *"The Church, the pillar and ground of truth."* (1 Tim. 3:15) Hence God gave Peter and the future popes the charism of infallibility so that they can infallibly define doctrines of faith or morals, which then become known as dogmas. A dogma is an eternal truth and thus its meaning cannot change: *"For ever, O Lord, thy word standeth firm in heaven, thy truth unto all generations."* (Ps. 118:89) *"Jesus Christ, yesterday, and to day; and the same for ever. Be not led away with various and strange doctrines."* (Heb. 13:8-9)

Vatican Council, Session 3, 1870: "For, the doctrine of faith which God revealed has not been handed down as a philosophic invention to the human mind to be perfected, but has been entrusted as a divine deposit to the Spouse of Christ, to be faithfully guarded and infallibly interpreted. Hence, also, that <u>understanding of its</u> sacred dogmas must be perpetually retained, which Holy Mother Church has once declared and there must never be recession from that meaning under the specious name of a deeper understanding."

Hence your belief that the Catholic faith in the Middle Ages differs from the Catholic faith in the twenty-first century is heretical and illogical: You said,

Lee Stauffer: "In conclusion, then, the idea that the Jews are responsible and guilty for the death of Christ is an idea that could only have been popular during the Middle Ages... That someone would circulate such an idea in the twenty-first century on a university computer system is frankly incredible."

Things that are eternally true, such as Catholic dogmas, do not change by the passage of time or for any reason, no matter how much men try to change them. It is Catholic dogma that evil "Jews are responsible and guilty for the death of Christ." If a Catholic does not believe these dogmas, as well as all dogmas, he becomes a heretic and is automatically excommunicated from the Catholic Church; and thus he is no longer Catholic even if he still calls himself a Catholic:

Pope Leo XIII, *Satis Cognitum* 1896: "The Church has always regarded as rebels and expelled from the ranks of her children all who held beliefs on any point of doctrine different from her own. ...St. Augustine notes that 'other heresies may spring up, to a single one of which, should any one give his assent, he is by the very fact cut off from Catholic unity... if any one holds to one single one of these [heresies] he is not a Catholic'."

What you may not know is that even a pope can become a heretic. A pope is only protected from all error when he engages his charism of infallibility, and this is how he makes dogmas. When a pope does not engage his charism of infallibility, he is not protected from teaching heresy. If a pope publicly teaches heresy, he automatically loses his papal office and thus is no longer the pope but a heretical antipope:

St. Robert Bellarmine, 1610: "A pope who is a manifest heretic automatically ceases to be pope and head of the Church, just as he ceases automatically to be a Christian and a member of the Church." (*De Romano Pontifice*, II.30.)

The Second Vatican Council denied many dogmas and hence it was a heretical and thus null-and-void council. It was a non-Catholic council. Anyone who supported it is a heretic; and if they held an office in the Church, they lost it. Hence John XXIII, Paul VI, John Paul I, and John Paul II were heretical antipopes. And Benedict XVI is a heretical antipope. The Second Vatican Council created a new non-Catholic Church that contradicts the dogmas of the true Catholic Church. The Bible, popes, saints, and messengers from heaven prophesied that this would occur. It is known as the Great Apostasy.

Lee, you and your like readily admit that the Second Vatican Council has changed the meaning of Catholic dogmas as they were taught and believed from the birth of the Church on Pentecost Sunday until that Council. The rumblings of these heretical changes existed in many fallible Catholic teaching instruments long before the apostate Second Vatican Council, and they paved the road for it.

(For further study on these topics, see my books *A Notorious Heretic Cannot Be the Pope* and *Where are the Catholic Bishops and Priests*? and *Why We Lost the Pope, not the Papacy, and the Mass* and *Book of Evidence* and *Bad Books With Imprimaturs.*)

What the gospels and other sources teach about Jesus' crucifixion

I will present the Catholic teachings about unbelieving Jews-teachings that you acknowledge were taught by the Catholic Church before the apostate Second Vatican Council, even though you wrongly believe these teachings are not infallible. One wonders what Bible you modern "scholars" are reading when you teach that the Romans were guiltier than the Jews, that Pilate sought to convict and punish Jesus as a criminal of the State, and that some but not most of the Jewish religious leaders and laymen were guilty. The Bible and many other historical accounts refute these blatant lies. Here are the facts: The New Testament teaches that evil Jews condemned Jesus as an imposter and sought to murder Him against the wishes of Pilate and the Romans who were not interested in the Jewish religious dispute over Jesus. Pilate said to the evil Jews, "You have presented unto me this man as one that perverteth the people. And behold I, having examined him before you, find no cause in this man, in those things wherein you accuse him." (Mt. 23:14) "What evil hath this man done? I find no cause of death in him." (Lk. 23:22) Therefore evil Jews and not the Romans condemned Christ, handed Him over to the Romans, and fervently orchestrated His passion and murder. Neither Christ nor His apostles and disciples laid the primary guilt of the crucifixion upon the Romans. Jesus

said to Pilate, "He [apostate Jews] that hath delivered me to thee hath the greater sin." (Jn. 19:11) The apostles and disciples did not even mention Roman guilt but only Jewish guilt when they preached to the Jews. St. Paul says, "The Jews, who both killed the Lord Jesus, and the prophets, and have persecuted us, and please not God, and are adversaries to all men..." (1 Thess. 2:14-16) And "Peter... spoke to them...let all the house of Israel know most certainly that God hath made both Lord and Christ, this same Jesus, whom you have crucified. ... Ye men of Israel, hear these words: Jesus of Nazareth... you by the hands of wicked men have crucified and slain." (Acts. 2:36, 22-23) "Be it known to you all and to all the people of Israel, that by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ of Nazareth, whom you crucified ... " (Acts 4:10) "The God of our fathers hath raised up Jesus, whom you put to death, hanging him upon a tree." (Acts 5:30) In an attempt to convert the Jews, St. Peter says, "The God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, the God of our fathers, hath glorified his Son Jesus, whom you indeed delivered up and denied before the face of Pilate, when he judged he should be released. But you denied the Holy One and the Just, and desired a murderer to be granted unto you. But the author of life you killed, whom God hath raised from the dead, of which we are witnesses." (Acts. 3:13-15) Denouncing the Jews for killing Christ, St. Stephen said to them, "Which of the prophets have not your fathers persecuted? And they have slain them who foretold of the coming of the Just One; of whom you have been now the betrayers and murderers." (Acts. 7:52) It is a historical fact that only ten percent of the Jews living during Christ's first coming believed in Him. Even Talmudic Judaism's blasphemous religious book, the Talmud, proudly teaches that Jews who did not believe in Jesus murdered Him and were guiltier than the Romans:

Jesus' death now debated by Jews, Eric J. Greenberg, The Jewish Week: "The texts in question are not New Testament but rather passages long censored (by Christian authorities) about Jesus from the Talmud, the encyclopaedia of Jewish law and tradition considered sacred by traditional Jews. Raising the issue is an article by Steven Bayme, the American Jewish Committee's national director of Contemporary Jewish Life, which declares that Jews must face up to the fact that the Talmudic narrative 'does clearly demonstrate ... fourth century rabbinic willingness to take responsibility for the execution of Jesus. Jewish apologetics that "we could not have done it" because of Roman sovereignty ring hollow when one examines the Talmudic account,' Bayme said. He contends that Jewish interfaith representatives are not being honest in dialogue if they ignore the explicit Talmudic references to Jesus. ... Citing the continuing controversy over Gibson's film The Passion, which has reignited concern over Christianity's ancient charge against Jews as 'Christ killers,' he wrote that it is also important 'that Jews confront their own tradition and ask how Jewish sources treated the Jesus narrative.' Bayme cites a passage from the Talmud, Sanhedrin 43a, which relates the fate of a man called Jesus who is hanged on the eve of Passover for practicing sorcery and leading the people of Israel astray. When no one comes forward to defend the accused sorcerer during a 40-day reprieve, Jewish authorities put him to death, despite Jesus' connections with the government. ... He says the passage is significant because the Talmudic text 'indicates rabbinic willingness to acknowledge, at least in principle, that in a Jewish court and in a Jewish land, a real-life Jesus would indeed have been executed. No effort is made to pin his death upon the Romans,' Bayme said. ... the passages 'are now included in most of the new printings of the Talmud,' said Yisrael Shaw of Daf Yomi Discussions, an on-line Talmud service."

Credibility of the Bible

Modern "scholars" know the Bible refutes their lies but hope that no one reads the Bible. But for those that do read the Bible, these "scholars" take another approach. They attempt to discredit the Bible, which in turn discredits Jesus and the apostles as frauds and liars. It is not my point here to refute your individual lies about the Bible, Jesus, and the apostles. The main point is what Catholics must believe regarding the Bible if they want to remain Catholic. A true Catholic cannot even entertain as possible that the Bible is a merely human work and therefore is not the inerrant word of God. If he does, he becomes automatically excommunicated and ceases to be Catholic:

Vatican Council, Session 3, Canons on Revelation, 1870: "4. If anyone does not receive as sacred and canonical the complete books of sacred scripture with all their parts, as the holy council of Trent listed them, or denies that they were divinely inspired: let him be anathema."

For instance, your mentor Dominic Crossan is an apostate priest who has been automatically excommunicated from the Catholic Church because he does not believe the Bible is the inerrant Word of God and because he holds many heretical, apostate, and blasphemous beliefs. He is not even in good standing with the apostate Vatican II Church! You can no more trust this apostate to tell the truth than you can trust a thief with your money or a pedophile with your child.

The Bible and other Catholic prophecies teach that as a punishment for men's massive rejection of the true God in these final days, the Antichrist must come to power and almost the whole world will follow him:

"And there was given to him [the Antichrist] a mouth speaking great things and blasphemies... And he opened his mouth unto blasphemies against God, to blaspheme his name and his tabernacle and them that dwell in heaven. And it was given unto him to make war with the saints and to overcome them. And power was given him over every tribe and people and tongue and nation. And all that dwell upon the earth adored him, whose names are not written in the book of life of the Lamb which was slain from the beginning of the world." (Apocalypse 13:5-8) "Satan shall be loosed out of his prison and shall go forth and seduce the nations which are over the four quarters of the earth, Gog and Magog: and shall gather them together to battle, the number of whom is as the sand of the sea." (Apocalypse 20:7)

The Antichrist can only come to power if the true Christ is first discredited as a liar or a madman. The discrediting of Christ starts with the discrediting of the Roman Catholic Church and religion, then the discrediting of the apostles and the gospels, and then the discrediting of Christ Himself. All these things are intimately connected so that to discredit any one of them is to discredit them all. Lee, whether you know it or not, you and your like are doing the work of the Antichrist. Please, do not let yourself be fooled. (See my book *End Time Signs*.)

Why men remain silent when they know the truth

Why do so many who know Rick is right remain silent? St. John says, "because they feared the Jews: for the Jews had already agreed among themselves that if any man should confess him to be Christ, he should be put out of the synagogue." (Jn. 9:22) To be put out of the synagogue means to fall into disfavor with the apostate Jews who control the world; hence many do not speak the truth because they are afraid of losing their jobs,

homes, food, friends, etc. They are more concerned about material things than their souls: "What doth it profit a man if he gain the whole world, and suffer the loss of his own soul?" (Mt. 16:26) They remain silent and thus consent to lies and blasphemies because they love created things more than the Creator: They "changed the truth of God into a lie and worshipped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen." (Romans 1:25)

For a brief summary of most of the topics covered in this letter, see my *Quick Reference Manual*.

May Jesus Christ, the God of the Catholic Church, through His Holy Mother Mary, grant you all the graces and help you need to save your immortal soul.

Praying and sacrificing for your conversion,

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

To Jesus through Mary Soli Deo Gloria

Santa Fe Reporter against Rick Gonzalez (1/11/06)

The Article (1/11/06)

Below is an excerpt from Highlands Hanukkah: NM university encounters anti-Semitism, by Dan Frosch, Published in Santa Fe Reporter on January 11, 2006:

"The Jewish people rejected Jesus as the Messiah and had Him crucified and to this very day still deny and reject Christ thus denying God." A passage from a KKK handbook? The mantra of some religious extremist group? A bad joke? Think again. The above was taken from a Dec. 8 "Merry Christmas" e-mail sent to staff and faculty at New Mexico Highlands University in Las Vegas. The e-mail was sent by one of their own, a part-time technological support employee named Richard Gonzalez. Gonzalez' unapologetic anti-Semitism came after a paragraph in which he explained the story of Christ and Christmas...

Highlands education professor Merryl Kravitz, who is Jewish, says she was stunned by the e-mail. "I was appalled that in this day and age anybody would think something like this, especially at a university," ...after the e-mail was sent, both Jewish and non-Jewish members of the Highlands community came to her office door to offer their support. "They were incensed too,"

José C'de Baca was Gonzalez' boss at the Gear Up program, which works to foster enthusiasm for college among high school students, at Highlands. Gonzalez was a well qualified part-time technological support employee who worked at Highlands for only a few months until his contract ran out at the end of last year, C'de Baca says. ... Gonzalez, whom C'de Baca says lives in the Las Vegas area, could not be reached for comment.

...It took the school's administration five days to respond. On Dec. 13, Vice President Placido Gomez sent out a one-sentence e-mail criticizing Gonzalez' note as "offensive, inappropriate and contrary to the mission and vision of Highlands University." The next day a more pointed e-mail from President Manny Aragon was sent by his secretary, Laura LaCour-Johnson. The e-mail supported Gomez' statement and called Gonzalez' statements "absolutely out of line." "The statement was not only inappropriate, but offensive, insensitive and mean spirited," Aragon's e-mail says.

...Local Jewish leader Rabbi Marvin Schwab of Temple Beth Shalom in Santa Fe says Gonzalez' e-mail is both offensive and historically ignorant; he notes that the Catholic Church has distanced itself from the age-old stereotype linking Jews to the death of Christ. "I'd like to know what gives him the authority to preach to these people?" Schwab says. "I wonder how many Jews Richard has ever met or talked to. I'd like to know what church he attends and if this is what they teach in that church."

RJMI Comment: You can access the full article on the Santa Fe Reporter website at <u>www.sfreporter.com</u> or ask for a copy. You can also respond to this article by contacting the Santa Fe Reporter in one or more of the following ways:

1) Mail to PO Box 2306, Santa Fe NM 87504; 2) Hand deliver to 132 E. Marcy St.

3) Fax to 988-5348; 4) E-mail to <u>editor@sfreporter.com</u>; 5) Or use their on line form at <u>www.sfreporter.com</u>

Letters to the Editor

Or Try Hallmark

I would like to respond to [Outtakes, Jan. 11: "Highlands Hanukkah"]. Other than the obvious flaws with Mr. Gonzalez's e-mail he has overlooked a key aspect of the reasoning behind his anti-Semitism. I would think anyone who is twisted enough to believe the Jews killed Jesus would be grateful. The crucifixion/resurrection myth is central to Christianity and therefore anyone supposedly responsible for those events would have helped to create the entire religion. If Mr. Gonzalez really believes the Jews killed Jesus he has quite a few thank you cards to send out. To save time and money on postage, as I'm sure he is busy looking for another job, perhaps he could just send it in a mass e-mail.

Lesley Henderson

Santa Fe

<u>RJMI Comment</u>: Pope Leo the Great says, "We owe you no thanks, O Jews, nor thee Judas. No doubt your impiety has served to our salvation; no doubt through you was done what lay in the counsels of God, but it was against your will. The death of Christ liberates

us, it accuses you." (Sermon 1 de Pass. c. 5.) "Woe to the world because of scandals. For it must needs be that scandals come: but nevertheless woe to that man by whom the scandal cometh." (Matthew 18:7)

Low At Highlands

In the year 2006 it is always heartbreaking that there are people as ignorant and uneducated as Richard Gonzalez who e-mailed his anti-Semitic thoughts to fellow employees at Highlands University. There are many people like Gonzalez who don't have a clue because they never asked questions, they never take time to find out the truth for themselves. Do people forget that Jesus was Jewish? Do people understand that the Jews did not crucify Jesus? Could it have been the Romans? Some people say "happy holidays" because they realize not everybody on earth believes in the same religion. It has nothing to do with politics. Or does it? Where did Gonzalez get this mentality? Perhaps the employees at Highlands University will take this opportunity and shine some light on Gonzalez and his real issues.

Alicia Martinez

Santa Fe

Catholic Letters to the Editor (2/06)

Introduction: As of this date, only one Catholic's Letter to the Editor, Rick Gonzalez's, was printed in the Santa Fe Reporter, February 15, 2006.

Hooray for Rick Gonzalez! ("Highlands Hanukkah," 1/11/06) We Roman Catholics believe the Jews were the primary agents in Jesus' crucifixion; and to this day unbelieving Jews, by their race alone, inherit a unique curse because of this rejection of Christ. The Jews under the Old Covenant were God's chosen, His beacons of light, privileged to usher in the Messiah. "Unto whomsoever much is given, of him much shall be required." (Luke 12:48) Even when Christ was fulfilling the Old Testament prophecies before their eyes, the Jews rejected Him. "He came unto his own; and his own received him not." (John 1:11) Clearly, the Old Testament prophesies pointed to Jesus Christ and no one else!

Because of their inherited curse, God allowed the destruction of the Temple (70 A.D.) and the Jews' dispersion throughout the world. The blasphemous Babylonian Talmud, the apostate Jews' "Holy book," states that Jesus was born illegitimately. The Talmud calls all non-Jews "goyim" or soul-less beasts; thus some deluded Jews believe they can do anything they want to them.

In true love for the Jewish race, I pray they will wake up and convert to true Roman Catholicism to save their immortal souls. St. Paul says a remnant of Jews will convert in the final days (Romans, Chap. ll). See <u>www.johnthebaptist.us</u> for your only hope of salvation.

Sincerely,

William George Norris

Subject: Slander

To the Editor:

My race is Jewish and religion Catholic. In "Highlands Hanukkah," Dan Frosch lied and slandered the good name of my Catholic brother Rick Gonzalez by accusing Rick of being an anti-Semite. Dan falsely equated Rick with the racist KKK because Rick said evil Jews crucified Christ. Dan must also denounce Jesus and the apostles as KKK racists because they said the same. Bible readers know this is true. To say a man is evil does not mean you hate him or his race. Catholics are obliged to condemn sin and denounce sinners and call them to conversion, but they are forbidden to hate sinners: God commands men to *"Hate evil"* and *"Love your enemies."* Nowhere in our statements will you find that we hate any man, let alone an entire race. True racists believe there have never been evil Jews in the history of the Jewish race. To believe we are wrong is different from lying about what we believe, which is calumny and slander. In justice you are bound to print this editorial and let me print a rebuttal in your newspaper. See my book "Persecution of Rick Gonzalez" at <u>www.JohnTheBaptist.us</u>.

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

Regarding "Highlands Hanukkah": Why the anti-Semite labels and libels against Rick Gonzalez? Rather, let's hear three cheers for Rick's accurate history lesson! In Matthew Chapter 27, when Pilate asked what should be done with Jesus Christ, was it not the Jews who cried out, "Let him be crucified!" And when Pilate washed his hands of the blood of this just man, did they not add: "His blood be upon us and upon our children!" Jews, why do you complain? Your misguided anger should not be directed against historical accounts, but against your foolish ancestors who called down this curse upon the entire Jewish race! And to this day, in your blindness, you still reject the Messiah. Jews, call down upon yourselves once again the precious blood of Christ—this time not as a curse, but as a saving laver of regeneration. Accept Christ for your Messiah, for there is salvation in no other. Jesus Christ said, "I am the way, and the truth, and the life. No man cometh to the Father, but by me." (John 14:6)

To learn what you must believe for salvation, check out www.johnthebaptist.us.

Sincerely,

A Roman Catholic,

Jane Frances Bowe

In the article "Highlands Hanukkah," it was calumny for SFR to make Richard Gonzalez out to be an anti-Semite. All of Richard's statements were right on the mark with their accuracy. Why is it that people can say anything they want about Jesus Christ; but yet, if they say one thing about the apostate Jews, they get labeled as anti-Semitic?!? Richard's statement that you labeled (or shall I say libeled) "anti-Semitic" is a well-known fact: "The Jewish people rejected Jesus as the Messiah and had Him crucified and to this very day still deny and reject Christ thus denying God." As for Rabbi Marvin Schwab's comment, "I'd like to know what church he attends and if this is what they teach in that church," I am a Roman Catholic, and YES, that is what is taught in my Church, the one, true Church instituted by Jesus Christ. (For information about what the Catholic Church teaches, go to: www.JohnTheBaptist.us to learn of your only hope of eternal salvation.)

With prayers for the repentance and conversion of the Jewish race,

Marie Julianna Bowe

Dan Frosch accused Rick Gonzalez of an "anti-Jewish diatribe" ("NM university encounters anti-Semitism", 11 January 2006) for saying Jews rejected Jesus, had Him crucified and spurn God by disowning their Messiah. Does Frosch claim Jews accepted Jesus? No? Then Gonzalez is correct. Does Frosch deny Jews sought Jesus' crucifixion? Does Frosch pretend Catholicism teaches Jesus is neither God nor Messiah, or that most Jews follow Him as such? No? Then Gonzalez—unabashedly Catholic—is honest.

Somebody had Jesus crucified. Was it Romans? Yet this is 'anti-Italic.' ...Scripture is clear... most Jews endorsed their leaders for having Jesus crucified. (E.g., Matthew 27:1-26) Ancient Jews concur. (E.g., Perak 43, Sanhedrin Masechtoth, Schishah Sedar Nezikin of the Talmud) Is it 'anti-Semitic' to tell the truth? Or are only anti-Catholic diatribes tolerable?

Jesus and earliest Catholics were Jewish. A beloved Catholic brother of mine is Jewish. My father-in-law was Jewish. Dutch Jewish blood courses through my veins. Catholicism opposes Talmudic religion, not Jewish ancestry. May Jews everywhere convert to God's Salvation, found solely in His Catholic Faith!

-Paul Doughton

Rick Gonzalez was unjustly fired from his position at New Mexico's Highlands University for publicly stating historical fact and espousing the Creed of the Catholic faith!

As if being unjustly fired wasn't enough, your paper then printed a calumnious article equating Mr. Gonzalez and all faithful Catholics like him, who trust the Bible's historical accuracy, to being influenced somehow by some extremist Jew-hating group, like the KKK. Rick Gonzalez was performing his religious duty, as is required of every good Catholic, by defending the historical truth behind Christ's birth and crucifixion and professing the Gospel (The Good News) of Christ.

I cannot believe that people like the editor of SFR, Mr. Frosch, and especially the staff and faculty at New Mexico's Highlands University are so ardent in their pursuit of revising history for their own Jewish agenda that they would go so far as to bulldoze anyone standing in their way. I would challenge any person who rejects the veracity of the Catholic-Biblical claim that "Christ was murdered by the Jews" by examining what the Jewish religion once professed and believed, much less examining what non-Catholic Jewish ancestral accounts actually record.

Ronald Marion Michael Kramig

This letter regards your unfair coverage of the Gonzalez event at Highlands University. When is "healthy disagreement" a matter for firing at a major university? Aren't universities known for "freedom of expression"! Not that I agree with this concept, but the point is you hypocritically believe freedom of expression doesn't include criticism of the un-Godly status quo!

What is un-factual about saying "The Jewish people rejected Jesus as the Messiah and had Him crucified and to this very day still deny and reject Christ thus denying God." Anyone with good will and a brain knows this is true. Jews under the Old Covenant were God's Chosen People; but when Jesus Christ the Messiah died on the Cross, the Old Covenant gave way to the New Covenant in which there is no longer a distinction between faithful Jews and faithful Gentiles. Good-willed Jews converted and entered the one true Church, the Catholic Church, outside of which there is no salvation or remission of sins. In fairness, I urge you to print the rebuttal article "Persecution of Rick Gonzalez" found at <u>www.johnthebaptist.us/sbw/rjmi/bkpersrg.htm</u> that relates to the truth of this story.

Phillip Francis McCabe

How dare you people blaspheme my Lord, my ONE TRUE GOD of the Catholic Church! Wake up and read the St. John the Baptist Website so that you may recognize the One True Christ.

You can scold, knock, torture and kill me, but don't blaspheme my Mother Mary and the Most Blessed Trinity.

Evil Jews cursed themselves, their souls and their lives in Matthew 27:25.

I will confirm this quote again In Galatians Epistle Chapter 3 Verse10.

Anti-Semites they call us, not so!

We preach repentance that you may be free Which Jesus Christ calls true charity. John Paul II was an antipope, as before him the last 4.

Benedict XVI is another FALSE PROPHET Which came out of Satan's pocket.

Repent and abjure from the Great Apostasy for a chance to save your immortal soul.

True Catholics keep the FULL DEPOSIT OF FAITH Quotes Hebrews' Epistle 13:8.

We pray that you may sorrow and borrow,

This precious time for a better tomorrow. May the Sacred Heart of Jesus Christ, Through the Sorrowful Mary be your guide.

Viva Cristo Rey, Viva La Conquistadora, La Guadalupana y La Milagrosa.

Esclavo de Jesús por María,

Guadalupe Aristeo Villanueva

February 6, 2006

To Dan Frosch and the editor of the Santa Fe Reporter:

I am the Richard Gonzalez that you wrote about in "Highlands Hanukkah."

You wrote, "Gonzalez, whom C'de Baca says lives in the Las Vegas area, could not be reached for comment." Now if C'de Baca, my boss, told you that I live in the Las Vegas area, you could have easily found out how to reach me for comment. Did you even try? Or is that just the standard press jibe I always read? My comment is this: Will you give the other side their voice? You MUST, since you say you are an alternative newspaper!

It did NOT take five days for the school's administration to respond. They responded immediately the same day. When I met with Laura LaCour-Johnson, the secretary to the President of Highlands University, she told me that her phone was "ringing off the hook." Laura said, "They want to know who this Richard Gonzalez is and where you work. They want you fired." And she added, "If you were my employee I would fire you, but your supervisor has said nothing but good about you and he doesn't want you to get fired." That same day LaCour-Johnson issued an apology from the President's office to the whole University. Your statement, "It took the school's administration five days to respond" should really have been stated "It took the school's administration five days to respond in the manner that conformed to those that were whining."

Two days before I sent the email, my immediate supervisor, Steve Macho, informed me that my contract had been extended until May 2006; therefore my contract did NOT run

out at the end of last year. I was indirectly fired through maneuvering. When Mr. C'de Baca summoned me to his office and told me some weasel words as to why my contract was now off, I told him that it was because of the email that I sent. Mr. C' de Baca neither denied nor confirmed my statement. He simply said, "Check back next year."

I was not part-time; I was hired as a full-time interim employee.

My statement, "The Jewish people rejected Jesus as the Messiah and had him crucified and to this very day still deny and reject Christ thus denying God." is pure fact and taken directly out of HISTORY, the Holy Bible. Those who do not read the Holy Bible are the ignorant and uneducated or do not want to admit the truth, period.

You slander me by writing, "A passage from a KKK handbook?" NO! These are passages from the Holy Bible!

No joke and no religious extremist group here. If you want a hope to be saved from the eternal fires of hell, I beg you to visit <u>www.johnthebaptist.us</u>, the only voice crying for the Holy Catholic Church, outside of which no one can be saved.

Richard Gonzalez

Editor's Note: SFR found no listing for Richard Gonzalez in Las Vegas, NM through either telephone or online directories. In response to Gonzalez's Feb. 9 e-mail letter, SFR e-mailed him on Feb. 10 in another attempt to speak with him and had received no response as of presstime.

At the time of reporting, José C'de Baca, Gonzalez's supervisor at New Mexico Highlands University, told SFR Gonzalez was a part-time employee whose contract ran out at the end of last year. Highlands Human Resources Department told SFR this week Gonzales was an interim fulltime employee on a three-month contract from Oct. 17-Dec. 16, 2005.

Date: February 8, 2006

To the Editor:

As a Catholic, I am insulted by Dan Frosch's attack against my Catholic religion that your Newspaper printed. You lied about what true Catholics believe. We believe that unfaithful Jews crucified Christ. Speaking to unfaithful Jews, St. Stephen, a faithful Jew, said, "You stiffnecked and uncircumcised in heart and ears, you always resist the Holy Ghost: as your fathers did, so do you also. Which of the prophets have not your fathers persecuted? And they have slain them who foretold of the coming of the Just One; of whom you have been now the betrayers and murderers." (Acts 7:51-52) What Bible are you guys reading? Even good-willed non-Catholics who read the Bible know you are lying. You also lied by accusing true Catholics of being racists because we denounce bad Jews. We do not hate any man or any race. To denounce someone does not mean you hate him or his race. Catholics hate sin and love the sinner. All of this and the firing of Rick Gonzalez, my Catholic brother, because of his religious beliefs smacks of an anti-Catholic Inquisition. In justice I demand that your paper print a retraction.

Ronald James Elmy

Dear Editor,

Just speaking the truth these days can land you in a lot of trouble. Just think who you might offend by saying "Merry Christmas". Like someone who might have, let's say, Jewish ancestry. Did we not all descend from Adam and Eve?—and then Noah? But, wait! I've just insulted the evolutionists!

I am a Roman Catholic and I am writing in response to the recent dismissing of Rick Gonzalez at New Mexico Highlands or (Lowlands) University. (shame!) "For as many of you as have been baptized in Christ, have put on Christ. There is neither Jew nor Greek; there is neither bond nor free; there is neither male nor female. For you are all one in Christ Jesus. And if you be Christ's, then are you the <u>seed of Abraham</u>, heirs according to the promise." Galatians 3, verses 26-29. This passage of St. Paul to the Galatians comes from the inspired Bible, compiled by the Catholic Church, taught by the Catholic Church, outside of which there is no salvation. Therefore, this One True Faith, the Roman Catholic faith, is not about race.

In the modern "Politically Correct" world there are as many opinions of the truth as there are people. Don't you think God knew man needed to be guided in the True Faith? We accept the truth by faith and then God enlightens our minds by grace to understand. Man needs only to obey, but he does not. We will be judged on how we obey God, not how politically correct we are.

To Jesus through Mary,

Soli Deo Gloria

Bruce Gott

Dear Editor,

What happened to my brother in Christ, Rick Gonzalez, is a hate crime! It is also hatred toward our God and Catholic religion. Since when does telling the historical truth make one a hater of people or of a race. I am a devout Catholic, and anti-Semitism and Catholicism are totally incompatible! I follow a man named Jesus who is a JEW, the Messiah, and God. The first head of the Catholic Church, Simon Peter, was a simple JEWISH fisherman, and all of the Apostles were JEWS. We Catholics must believe in the mysteries of the Holy Eucharist, which literally means we receive the body and blood of Christ, a JEW. Christ was born from the womb of the blessed virgin mother Mary, a JEW. I pray daily the Rosary; hence I talk daily to my Jewish mother, Mary. If a Catholic

hated the Jewish race, he would hate the very things he is supposed to love: his God, his Church, and his religion—all founded and sustained by faithful Jews. It is a lie, then, to claim that faithful Catholics hate Jews or the Jewish race just because we believe wicked Jews murdered their Messiah. Praying for your conversion to the one and only true religion, Catholicism, the religion that faithful Jews paved the road for and that replaced true Judaism when Christ died on the Cross. Unless you die as a good Catholic, you cannot be saved.

Lois Veronica Doyle

"His blood be upon us and upon our children." Why are the Jewish people guilty of the crime of their parents? Well, first of all, that is something that the Jewish wanted for their children: "...and upon our children." But the real reason is that today the Jewish people do not regret the crime of their parents, nor are sorry of it. On the contrary, history tells us that always the Jewish people are very proud of the evil action of their parents. That is why they share the guilt of their parents. I've never heard a Jew saying: "Oh, I am so sorry for what my parents did, for killing the Messiah." They are even still waiting for the Messiah! So, they deny Jesus was the Messiah, and they still share the guilt of their parents, except for those good-willed Jews that repent of this sin and convert. May Our Lord, the One they crucified and still crucify with their sins and blasphemies, give all Jews the grace of repentance and conversion to enter the Catholic Church and to have a hope of salvation.

Mario Luis Virreyra

It is the truth and a fact—as attested to by Christ, Who is the Truth, and by the Apostles—that the unbelieving, deceitful, lying evil Jews did bring about the death of Jesus Christ. St. Paul says in 1Thess. 2:14-16: "For you also have suffered the same things from your countrymen, even as they have from the Jews: Who both killed the Lord Jesus, and the prophets, and have persecuted us, and please not God, and are adversaries to all men."

The Jewish Talmud states that the Jews who did not believe that Jesus was the Messiah murdered Him.

To quote from one Alicia Martinez of Santa Fe regarding anti-Semitism at Highlands U., New Mexico: "There are many people like Gonzalez who don't have a clue—they never take the time to find out the truth for themselves." Right on, Ms. Martinez! However, it is you and your ilk who "don't have a clue" and who "never take time to find out the truth for themselves" - not Richard Gonzalez! Mr. Dan Frosch would have his readers believe everything he says, as well???

Another fact: even those who think of themselves as "Christian" and "followers" of Christ have a convoluted and false idea of God and His teachings, a serious offence against Him, the one and only true God.

Unless and until the unbelieving Jewish people repent and convert, they are and will be guilty of Christ's death in the eyes of Almighty God and His faithful followers. Believe it or not—God is in control. Blessed be God!

Mary Svoboda

RJMI to Rabbi Schwab (2/16/06)

February 16, 2006

To Rabbi Marvin Schwab:

Rick Gonzalez's statement about Jewish guilt in the murder of Christ is historically accurate. The true Catholic Church teaches that evil Jews were the primary agents who crucified Christ and unbelieving Jews inherit the bloodguilt of their evil forefathers. The so-called Catholic Church that has distanced itself from what the Catholic Church has always taught from Her birth on Pentecost Sunday is not the true Catholic Church. I am sure you know this because you and your like readily admit that the apostate Second Vatican Council rewrote history in this regard. On my website see the Look Magazine's 1966 article "How Jews Changed Catholic Thinking." The Vatican II Church's deviation from the Catholic faith in this matter is just one proof among many that it is not the true Catholic Church—it is an apostate Jewish instrument that helps bring the Antichrist to power by justifying unbelieving Jews and their false religion of Talmudic Judaism. We are living in the days of the Great Apostasy that brings Antichrist to power, as St. Paul prophesied (2Thess. 2:1-4). (See my book End Time Signs.) Hence the Church Rick and I belong to is the true Catholic Church, and we get our authority to teach from our Catholic obligation to profess the faith when it is being attacked and to perform the spiritual acts of mercy of admonishing and converting sinners:

Pope Leo XIII, *Sapientiae Christianae*: "14. When necessity compels...each one is under obligation to show forth his faith, either to instruct and encourage others of the faithful, or to repel the attacks of unbelievers."

1917 Code of Canon Law: "1325§1 Obligation to Profess the Faith - The faithful are bound to profess their faith openly whenever under the circumstances silence, evasion, or their manner of acting would otherwise implicitly amount to a denial of the faith, or would involve contempt of religion, an offense to God, or scandal to the neighbor."

Marvin, I am not an anti-Semite. If I were, I would hate myself because my race is Jewish. I truly love all Jews, even evil Jews, as I once was. It is my heartfelt hope that you, as well as all evil Jews, abjure your false religion, accept Jesus Christ as God and Messiah, and get baptized into the Catholic Church in order to have a hope to save your immortal soul. Until then, you are under the wrath of God and thus on the road to hell: *"He who believeth in the Son of God, hath life everlasting. He who believeth not does not have life. But the wrath of God abideth upon him."* (John 3:36) *"He that believeth not shall be condemned."* (Mark 16:16) To learn more about this persecution and to see what true Catholics believe and how they respond to persecution, see my book "Persecution of Rick Gonzalez" at <u>www.johnthebaptist.us/sbw/rjmi/bkpersrg.htm</u>. Also see my writings on "The Jews" on my St. John the Baptist Website at <u>www.JohnTheBaptist.us</u>.

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

Soli Deo Gloria To Jesus through Mary

Alice Lee Stauffer to RJMI (1/18/06)

Since the semester has started, I don't really have time to give your rather extensive answer the time it deserves, but I will do my best in the time I have available. You are, of course, at least in my view, free to interpret the Catholic faith any way you wish. However, I do wonder what a Catholic priest would have to say about all this since the position you state is definitely inconsistent with rulings by both Pope John Paul II and the current Pope. One of the basic principles of the Catholic religion is that doctrine is subject to the authority of the Church. You don't mention whether you are Catholic, Protestant, or currently a Christian Jew (one who believes that Jesus was the Meshiah) so I don't know from what religious position you are starting. If you are Catholic, I would suggest you run your letter by your priest.

I said absolutely nothing about the meaning of Christmas in my response. I responded only to your friend's comments about the Jewish responsibility for the events of Easter. Personally, I find the politically correct tendency to avoid all mention of Christmas to be rather silly at best.

I continue to hold to my position that blaming the Jewish people for the death of Christ is both unChristian and contrary to the doctrines of the Church and the clear meanings of the Biblical text. The position you take is more informed by John Calvin than Christ in my opinion, in that you take certain passages out of context in order to support a degree of determinism which most Christians have long ago recognized to be unwarranted. I doubt, however, that I will make much impact on your viewpoint. One of the wonderful things about the United States is that I don't need to, just as you don't need to convince me. We can each continue to hold the position that, "I am right and you are wrong" and be citizens of the same country (unlike the situation in places like Afghanistan where one of us would be trying to kill the other).

The truly important point, and the one that I think will damage your brother in Christ's career, is that in this country we don't go around bad-mouthing each other's religion, regardless of whether or not we disagree with it. How can we peacefully co-exist (as we must) if you insult one of the basic aspects of my religion? Several of the professors at Highlands are Israeli. They were profoundly insulted by this attack on their religion. At least at a University, that sort of thing "just isn't done." Furthermore, using a government computer system to attack a particular religion is conceivably a violation of the constitutionally guaranteed separation of church and state. And by the way please note that I am not insulting your position. I am merely stating that I disagree with it. Perhaps both you and your brother need to learn to tell the difference between supporting your own religious position and putting someone else's religion down. If I say I don't agree with your belief, that is one thing. But if I claim that you're a vicious, evil person because of that belief, that is an insult. Witnessing is not the same as calling people names. Indeed, since you can "catch more flies with honey than vinegar" it's not even very efficient, assuming of course that you want to convince people of the errors of their ways rather than merely bad-mouth them.

As to the specific points you make

(1) If only God truly understands all things, then how do you know that your attempt at an understanding is the correct one? Many people interpret the issues differently than you do. You say that you base your position on the eye witnesses, but how do you know that you have understood what they have to say? More importantly, how do you deal with the fact that the Biblical text has been written and re-written repeatedly. I would suggest you read "Misquoting Jesus" a book written by a scholar who started from the position that every word of the Bible is divinely inspired. There are numerous ancient texts of the Bible with different words and sometimes even whole phrases. Which one is the original and therefore the true report of the event? What about places where the Gospels disagree? Who is right?

(2) True, the Catholic Church accepts the position of original sin. However, the Jews are no more sinful than anyone else. We are all equally sinful according to the doctrine of original sin. You might argue that the Jews don't share in the forgiveness of sin that results from a belief in Christ, but then, according to the Church neither do Protestants, Buddhists, Hindus, etc. Why pick on the Jews unless your intention is not an observation, but an attack? Original sin doesn't justify blaming people for acts that they didn't perform. My point about being condemned to Hell based on someone else's action holds. I repeat, surely you don't think that your child is guilty of something that you did. Would you suggest that he or she be imprisoned because you stole something. Of course not! That would be unfair. You surely don't want to claim that God would act less fairly than your local judge. The guilt of original sin and the guilt from a specific act of a specific individual are very different things. I repeat that no contemporary Jew is guilty because of the acts of his or her ancestor. Even if the Jews did say "His blood be on us and our descendents," that has absolutely no effect on whether the descendents are guilty. For example, I could say, "You are a sinner" from now until Doomsday, but whether or not you are a sinner (beyond the issue of original sin) would depend only on you (not on me). I can't make you guilty, especially just by saying something.

(3) Since I didn't (and still don't) know where your friend worked, I certainly didn't have any "inside information." Rather, after approximately forty years in universities, both as student and professor, I have noticed that people who cause a certain type of uproar almost always fail to advance in the university system. Rick produced just the sort of embarrassment for the University which tends to be the "kiss of death" in terms of a career. I was therefore merely predicting what was almost certain to occur and which, evidently, did occur. Rick, if indeed he was let go over this episode, was not fired for upholding the position of the Catholic Church (which as I stated doesn't accept the guilt of the Jewish people anyway), but for putting other people's religion down.

(4) You may not have a problem with the Catholic Inquisition, but I certainly do. Arresting people for claiming the earth goes around the sun (Galileo) impresses me as pretty unacceptable. Fortunately, the Church doesn't have that kind of power anymore. And by the way, Pope John Paul II also admitted that the church was wrong in that case. You may want to live in a perfect Catholic state, but the U. S. isn't one, thank God. Actually, I suspect you wouldn't enjoy it much at all, since you might be called in front of an Inquisition to explain why you are putting forth ideas that the Pope has condemned.

(5) When was Rick's religion attacked? Nobody said, "Don't believe this." Nobody said, "Don't go to church." No one even said, "Don't talk about your religion." All anyone asked is that Rick knock off his comments on other people's religion.

(6) How do you think you know what I believe? Indeed, where do you get off making assumptions about my belief system. Is it inconceivable to you that someone could discuss something objectively, even something as important as religion? Certainly, I have religious beliefs. However, they are not relevant to my job, which is presenting the facts of what various religions believe. I leave it to my students to determine whether those facts suggest that those religions have problems. I would compare this issue to that of politics. Most people have pretty strong views about how the country should be run. They pretty much think that their ideas are correct and those who disagree with them are wrong. However, do you go out of your way to offend people whose position you know is different from your own? If you do, you probably spend a lot of time having rather unpleasant arguments. Rather, I hope you try to convince them with good reasons why your position is the correct one. In a country in which there are a number of religions, of necessity, you have to do the same with religion. If you can't, you aren't going to convince very many people. You will probably take this as an attack, since you seem to think that disagreement is the same as attacking, but I think that you should seriously consider what your motives are. Are you truly standing up for what you believe and trying to help other people see the errors of their ways or are you getting a kick out of the feeling "I am right and you are wrong?"

(7) As to your last point, well, if you want to claim the current Church isn't the true Church, feel free, but that sounds an awful lot like what Martin Luther said. Either the Church is authoritative or it's not. You, as a layperson, don't get to make the decision of when the Church is right or wrong. If you want the right to make those kinds of decisions, you'd better go join the Protestants, since that was one of the main issues of the Protestant Reformation. Like I said, try running these ideas by your priest.

Lee Stauffer

RJMI to Lee Stauffer (2/16/06)

February 16, 2006

Lee,

In my last letter to you, I said several times that I am Catholic. Hence, I am not a Protestant or a schismatic. Your following question proves the need of one Church whose leader can teach infallibly:

Lee Stauffer: "More importantly, how do you deal with the fact that the Biblical text has been written and re-written repeatedly. I would suggest you read 'Misquoting

Jesus' a book written by a scholar who started from the position that every word of the Bible is divinely inspired. There are numerous ancient texts of the Bible with different words and sometimes even whole phrases. Which one is the original and therefore the true report of the event?"

Yes, indeed, which Bible is the true Bible? Whenever two or more conflicting documents claim to be the original, one must investigate which is the original. Therefore, we must first examine where the Bible came from, who determined what books should belong in it, and who gave it the stamp of infallibility. These questions were infallibly settled by the Catholic Church in the Council of Hippo in 393, and re-confirmed in the Councils of Carthage in 397, Florence in 1442, and Trent in 1546. The Catholic Church also infallibly decreed that only the original St. Jerome's Latin Vulgate is infallible:

Council of Trent, Session IV, 1546: "Moreover, the same sacred and holy Synod, considering that no small utility may accrue to the Church of God, if it be made known which out of all the Latin editions, now in circulation, of the sacred books, is to be held as authentic,—ordains and declares, that the said old and vulgate edition, which, by the lengthened usage of so many years, has been approved of in the Church, be, in public lectures, disputations, sermons and expositions, held as authentic; and that no one is to dare, or presume to reject it under any pretext whatever."

Hence the Catholic Church infallibly decrees that the Holy Ghost guided St. Jerome so that his interpretations of the ancient texts would be infallible. Therefore even Catholic Bibles that are translations of St. Jerome's Latin Vulgate are not infallible. The Bible belongs only to the Catholic Church. It is Her book alone! You may now be able to guess the status of Protestant and schismatic Bibles—they are fallible and fraudulent. Protestants and schismatics admit this by implication because none of their Churches claim to be infallible; hence their Bibles are fallible since by their own admission no one in their Churches was given the power to give their Bibles the stamp of infallibility. What point is there in studying a fraudulent document other than to expose it as a fraud. Hence, Lee, the first thing you must do if you ever want to come to the knowledge of the truth regarding the Bible is to refer only to the Catholic Bible. Throw out the rest or you will become hopelessly confused.

The next question is, How can one know the proper interpretation of the Catholic Bible? This brings us to your next questions that also prove the need of one Church whose leader can teach infallibly:

Lee Stauffer: "What about places where the Gospels disagree? Who is right? If only God truly understands all things, then how do you know that your attempt at an understanding is the correct one? Many people interpret the issues differently than you do. You say that you base your position on the eye witnesses, but how do you know that you have understood what they have to say? ...in my opinion... you take certain [Bible] passages out of context."

The Catholic Gospels do not disagree with one another. Apparent disagreements are solved by correct interpretations. Your questions prove the need of Papal Infallibility; that is, a Church whose leader teaches infallibly so that men can know with all certainty the correct interpretation of God's revealed truths to men. I do not believe in religious truths because they happen to agree with what I think. Protestants do that, and that is illogical. That is why there are so many Protestant sects who believe in different things that they attribute to God the Holy Ghost, thus implying the Holy Ghost lies. (See my letter *One Church, Infallibility, and Mediation.*) Lee, how do you know with certainty that your sources are not taking the Bible out of context? Do you claim that the men you learn from are infallible? If you say they are not infallible, then you lose the argument by default before it begins because by your own admission your sources could be wrong and thus everything you teach and believe could be wrong. You also would not be able to say with certainty that any belief that opposes yours, such as my beliefs, is wrong or right. Can you not see the need for a Church to teach religious truths infallibly by the mouth of one man, the leader of that Church?

As a Catholic, I believe with certainty religious truths that Popes have infallibly defined by teaching them from the Chair of Peter and thus in the name of the Catholic Church:

Vatican Council, 1870, sess. IV, chap. 4, Definition of infallibility: "We teach and define as a divinely revealed dogma that when <u>the Roman pontiff</u> speaks *Ex Cathedra*, that is, when, in the exercise of his office as shepherd and teacher of all Christians, in virtue of his supreme apostolic authority, he defines a doctrine concerning faith or morals to be held by the whole Church, he <u>possesses</u>, by the divine assistance promised to him in blessed Peter, <u>that infallibility which the divine Redeemer willed his Church to enjoy in defining doctrine concerning faith or morals. Therefore, such definitions of the Roman Pontiff are of themselves, and not by the consent of the Church, irreformable."</u>

I must submit my judgment regarding religious truths to what the Catholic Church infallibly teaches. Everything that I believe is found in either infallible papal definitions or the unanimous consent of the Church Fathers:

Council of Trent, session IV, 1546: "Furthermore, in order to restrain petulant spirits, It decrees, that no one, relying on his own skill, shall,—in matters of faith, and of morals pertaining to the edification of Christian doctrine,—wresting the sacred Scripture to his own senses, presume to interpret the said sacred Scripture contrary to that sense which holy mother Church,—whose it is to judge of the true sense and interpretation of the holy Scriptures,—hath held and doth hold; or even contrary to the unanimous consent of the Fathers; even though such interpretations were never (intended) to be at any time published. Contraveners shall be made known by their Ordinaries, and be punished with the penalties by law established."

Once a pope infallibly defines a doctrine, it is officially known as a dogma. Dogmas are eternal truths; hence they can never change: "Amen I say unto you, till heaven and earth pass, one jot, or one tittle shall not pass of the law [Catholic dogma]." (Matthew 5:18) (To learn more about what Catholics must believe, see my book "Quick Reference Manual: What Catholics Must Believe.")

What would happen, then, if a so-called pope denies a dogma that a past pope has infallibly defined? Which pope are we to believe? For instance, to see how apostate Antipope John Paul II's teachings oppose the infallible teachings of past popes, see the following table:

True Popes	Apostate Antipope John Paul II
The New Covenant replaced the Old Covenant (DOGMA)	The New Covenant did not replace the Old Covenant (HERESY)
Pope Pius XII, <i>Mystici Corporis Christi</i> , 1943: "29. First of all, by the death of our Redeemer, the New Testament took the place of the Old Law which had been abolished."	Antipope John Paul II, <i>Crossing the Threshold of Hope</i> , p. 99: "The Old and the New Covenants are drawing closer together."
Pope Benedict XIV, <i>Ex Quo Primum</i> (# 59), March 1, 1756: "the precepts of the old Law which as everybody knows have been revoked by the coming of Christ."	Antipope John Paul II, <i>Address to the Jewish</i> <i>Community in Mainz</i> , West Germany, Nov. 17, 1980: "The first dimension of this dialogue, that is, the meeting between the people of <u>the Old</u> <u>Covenant, never revoked by God</u> , and that of the New Covenant"
Catholics are forbidden to pray with non-Catholics (DOGMA)	Catholics can pray with non-Catholics (HERESY)
Pope Agatho I, <i>III Council of Constantinople</i> , 381: "If any ecclesiastic or layman shall go into the synagogue of the Jews or the meeting-houses of the heretics to join in prayer with them, let them be <u>deposed and deprived of communion</u> . If any bishop or priest or deacon shall join in prayer with heretics, let him be suspended from communion." Pope Zosimus, <i>Council of Carthage</i> : " <u>One must</u> <u>neither pray nor sing psalms with heretics</u> , and whosoever shall communicate with those who are cut off from the communion of the Church, whether clergy or layman, let him be excommunicated."	Antipope John Paul II, <i>Ut Unum Sint:</i> "24. With profound emotion I remember <u>praying together with</u> <u>the Primate of the Anglican Communion</u> at Canterbury Cathedral (29 May 1982)" Antipope John Paul II, <i>Orientale Lumen</i> : Regarding the Orthodox Schismatics: "17.2. Since then, much ground has been covered in reciprocal knowledge. This has increased our respect and has frequently <u>enabled us to pray to the one Lord together</u> and to pray for one another, on a path of love that is already a pilgrimage of unity."
Catholicism replaced Judaism (DOGMA)	Catholicism did not replace Judaism (HERESY)
Pope Agatho I, <i>III Council of Constantinople</i> , 381: "It is not allowable for anyone to produce another faith whether from Hellenism or <u>Judaism or</u> <u>indeed from any heresy</u> ." Holy Office, <i>Syllabus of Errors</i> , 1867: "The following is a condemned proposition - 21. The Church does not have the power of defining dogmatically that <u>the religion of the Catholic</u> <u>Church is the only true religion.</u> "	Antipope John Paul II, in the Synagogue in Rome, April 13, 1986: "The Church of Christ, in examining its own mystery, <u>discovered its bond</u> <u>with Judaism</u> . <u>The Jewish religion</u> is not extrinsic to us, but in a certain way it <u>is intrinsic to our</u> <u>religion</u> You are our favorite brothers and in some ways, one could say, our elder brothersEach of us in the light of the blessed heritage."

(See my "*Quick Reference Manual*: Crimes of Vatican II and John Paul II," and for extensive evidence see my *Book of Evidence*.) Which popes, then, should Catholics believe? Catholics must believe the popes who infallibly defined dogmas and thus denounce as heretics and antipopes all apparent popes who deny dogmas. This protects

Papal Infallibility and the indefectibility of the Catholic Church. (See my book "*Quick Reference Manual*: A Notorious Heretic Cannot Be the Pope.") This is how true Catholics know that the leaders of the Vatican II Church (John XXIII, Paul VI, John Paul I, John Paul II, and now Benedict XVI) were and are non-Catholic antipopes because they have denied many dogmas that have been infallibly defined by past popes. You should now see the errors in your following statement:

Lee Stauffer: "As to your last point, well, if you want to claim the current Church isn't the true Church, feel free, but that sounds an awful lot like what Martin Luther said. Either the Church is authoritative or it is not. You, as a layperson, don't get to make the decision of when the Church is right or wrong. If you want the right to make those kinds of decisions, you'd better go join the Protestants, since that was one of the main issues of the Protestant Reformation. Like I said, try running these ideas by your priest."

Lee, the Catholic obligation to profess the faith and to perform the spiritual act of mercy of admonishing sinners obliges all Catholics, even laymen, to condemn sin and denounce sinners, even their sinful superiors, which includes the pope:

Pope Leo XIII, *Sapientiae Christianae*: "14. When necessity compels... <u>each one is</u> <u>under obligation to show forth his faith</u>, either to instruct and encourage others of the faithful, or to repel the attacks of unbelievers. ...15. The chief elements of this duty consist in professing openly and unflinchingly the Catholic doctrine, and in propagating it to the utmost of our power."

Hence a Catholic layman is bound to denounce heretical superiors. If Catholics do not do this when they should, they share in the guilt of the sinner:

Pope St. Felix III (483-492): "Not to oppose error, is to approve it, and indeed to neglect to confound evil men, when we can do it, is no less a sin than to encourage them."

Catechism **Question:** In how many ways may we either cause or share in the guilt of another's sin? **Answer:** We may either cause or share the guilt of another's sin in nine ways: 3. By consent, 5. By praise or flattery, 6. <u>By concealment</u>, 7. By being a partner in the sin, 8. <u>By silence</u>, 9. By defending the ill done."

Lee, even you know that the Vatican II Church and its leaders are not teaching what the Catholic Church always taught before the apostate Second Vatican Council. You acknowledged that John Paul II denied the Catholic dogma that Jews killed Christ. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "I wish to point out that the idea that Jews are 'Christ Killers' is theological, moral and historical drivel. If you are Catholic, you ought to know that this idea is contrary to Church doctrine. ...Pope John Paul II made it official church doctrine that this idea is false."

Here you acknowledge that John Paul II, as well as the Vatican II Church, has gone against the past teachings of the Catholic Church by denying the dogma that Jews killed Christ—and you blasphemously call this dogma "historical drivel." In contradiction to apostate Antipope John Paul II and the Vatican II Church, here is what a few true popes teach about Jewish guilt: In 1205 in his epistle to the hierarchy of France, Pope Innocent III said, "The Jews, against whom the blood of Jesus Christ calls out …as wanderers they must remain upon the earth until their faces are filled with shame and they seek the name

of Jesus Christ the Lord.³ In 1233 in his epistle to the Hierarchy of Germany, Pope Gregory IX teaches, "The Jews... ought to know the yoke of perpetual enslavement because of their guilt.⁴

No so-called pope, such as John Paul II, can make official a doctrine that denies a dogma infallibly defined by a past pope. If he does, he is a heretic and no longer the pope. Hence, this is just one more proof that John Paul II was an apostate antipope and the Vatican II Church is a non-Catholic Church. Therefore not I, but the leaders of the Vatican II Church have acted like Martin Luther by rebelling against the true teachings of the Catholic Church and by creating a new Church, the Vatican II Church. In fact, the Vatican II Church and its leaders look upon Martin Luther as enlightening and not as a heretic:

Apostate Antipope John Paul II, 500th anniversary of the birth of Martin Luther, December of 1983: "One must be enlightened by the convincing manner of the proud religious spirit of Luther, animated by a burning passion for the question of eternal salvation... It is a question of acquiring, by an unprejudiced search guided only by the quest for the truth, and exact picture of the reformer, and also of the whole period of the Reformation and those who were involved. ...On this subject we cannot allow ourselves to be guided by the intention of setting ourselves up as judges of history..." (*Message to Cardinal Willebrands*, President of the Secretariat for Christian Unity, D. C. No. 1863 of December 4, 1983, p 1071.)

Let us listen to what true popes teach about Luther and the so-called reformation:

Pope Leo X, *Exurge Domine*, Condemning the Errors of Martin Luther, June 15, 1520: "Moreover, because the preceding errors and many others are contained in the books or writings of Martin Luther, we likewise condemn, reprobate, and reject completely the books and all the writings and sermons of the said Martin, whether in Latin or any other language... We forbid each and every one of the faithful of either sex, in virtue of holy obedience and under the above penalties to be incurred automatically, to read, assert, preach, praise, print, publish, or defend them.Therefore we can, without any further citation or delay, proceed against him to his condemnation and damnation..."

Pope Pius X, *Editae Saepe*, 1910: "9 …in the century of Saint Charles Borromeo. They called this rebellious riot and perversion of faith and morals a reformation, and themselves reformers. In reality, they were corrupters. In undermining the strength of Europe through wars and dissensions, they paved the way for those modern rebellions and apostasy."

Lee, you rightly stated that Martin Luther had rebelled against the Catholic Church and founded the Lutheran Church. By siding with Luther, the Vatican II Church proves that it goes against infallible teachings of the Catholic Church and thus it is just another Protestant Church, like the Lutheran Church, that has rebelled against the true Catholic Church. I believe what the true Catholic Church infallibly teaches about Luther and Lutheranism; that is, Martin Luther was a rebellious heretic and the Lutheran religion, as well as all non-Catholic religions, is a false religion that cannot save anyone or anything. You now know why I do not consult with the local so-called Catholic priest who is actually a non-Catholic apostate.

³ Patrologiae Cursus Completus, Fr. J. P. Migne, Paris, 1855; 215.

⁴ Annales Ecclesiastici, Cardinal Caesar Baronius, ed. August Theiner, 1864, vol. 1.

Falsehoods cannot be respected

This brings us to your main concern: your religious dogma that all religions must be respected. Even from a non-Catholic perspective, this is false and illogical. How can anyone who really believes in what he professes respect another religion that contradicts his beliefs? That is like telling a math professor to respect a new mathematics that teaches 2+2=5 instead of denouncing it as false and thus utterly destructive to true math. One certainly is free to disagree and hold to the false math, but who would dare say that the false math is not an attack against true math. For instance, the Catholic religion *is* attacked whenever someone disagrees with the Catholic dogma that Jesus is God and Messiah. This disagreement is apostasy and blasphemy. Lee, your religious dogma that men must respect all religions is not only illogical but also heretical. Under pain of heresy, Catholics are forbidden to respect false religions; instead, they must denounce them as evil. Pope Leo XIII teaches that the Catholic Church is the only true Church and thus all other Churches are false and adulteresses who are to be disrespected and their members are rebels against God:

Pope Leo XIII, *Satis Cognitum*, 1896: "We say, therefore, that the Catholic Church is unique in its essence, in its doctrine, in its origin, and in its excellence... For this reason Christ, speaking of the mystical edifice, mentions only one Church, which he calls His own – 'I will build my church'; any other Church except this one, since it has not been founded by Christ, cannot be the true Church. ... The Church... regarded as rebels and expelled from the ranks of her children all who held beliefs on any point of doctrine different from her own... Whosoever is separated from the Church is united to an adulteress."

Pope Leo XIII, *Custodi Di Quella Fede*, 1892: "Know them by their fruits and avoid them... Every familiarity should be avoided, not only with those impious libertines who openly promote the character of the sect, but also with those who hide under the mask of universal tolerance, respect for all religions, and the craving to reconcile the maxims of the Gospel with those of the revolution. These men seek to reconcile Christ with Belial, the Church of God and the State without God."

Therefore under pain of heresy, Catholics must disrespect and denounce all false Churches and religions.

The profession of the Catholic Faith

As you can see, it is a Catholic dogma that Catholics must under pain of heresy disrespect and denounce false religions. Here is where your hypocrisy shows itself. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "When was Rick's religion attacked? Nobody said, 'Don't believe this.' ... No one even said, 'Don't talk about your religion.' All anyone asked is that Rick knock off his comments on other people's religion. ... We don't go around bad-mouthing each other's religion, regardless of whether or not we disagree with it. ... They were profoundly insulted by this attack on their religion. At least at a University, that sort of thing 'just isn't done.' Rick, if indeed he was let go over this episode, was not fired for upholding the position of the Catholic Church... but for putting other people's religion down."

Lee, you have attacked Rick's Catholic religion by telling him what he must believe and what he cannot talk about when you commanded him to "knock off his [negative] comments on other people's religion. ...Don't go around bad-mouthing each other's religion." And you informed me that "Rick, if indeed he was let go over this episode, was not fired for upholding the position of the Catholic Church... but for putting other people's religion down." As a Catholic, Rick is obliged under pain of heresy to "badmouth" other religions. How, then, can you say Rick is free to profess his Catholic religion while at the same time you command him not to believe and profess Catholic dogmas that you do not agree with? Can you not see your hypocrisy?

Anti-Catholic inquisitions

By exposing your hypocrisy, we get to a root of the problem. You and your like have a common agenda; that is, the suppression of Catholic dogmas that you do not agree with and thus the suppression of the true Catholic Church and true Catholics. To suppress one dogma is to suppress all dogmas and the Catholic Church and Catholics: "Whosoever... offend in one point, is become guilty of all." (James 2:10)

Pope Leo XIII, *Satis Cognitum*, 1896: "The practice of the Church has always been the same, as is shown by the unanimous teaching of the Fathers, who were wont to hold as <u>outside Catholic communion</u>, and alien to the Church, <u>whoever would</u> <u>recede in the least degree from any point of doctrine</u> proposed by her authoritative Magisterium."

In order to suppress true Catholics from professing Catholic dogmas you do not agree with, you conduct anti-Catholic inquisitions and punish the "offenders" if they do not concede to your demands. You have acknowledged that Rick, as well as other good Catholics, has been sentenced to death for publicly professing dogmas of the Catholic faith that you and your like do not agree with. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "Since I didn't (and still don't) know where your friend worked, I certainly didn't have any 'inside information.' Rather, after approximately forty years in universities, both as student and professor, I have noticed that people who cause a certain type of uproar almost always fail to advance in the university system. Rick produced just the sort of embarrassment for the University which tends to be the 'kiss of death' in terms of a career. I was therefore merely predicting what was almost certain to occur and which, evidently, did occur."

Here we see all the elements of an anti-Catholic inquisition: the suppression of the Catholic dogma that Catholics must put down false religions by disrespecting and denouncing them as evil, and the "kiss of death" to any Catholic who does not deny his faith and thus persists in his banned belief—death not only to his career, as you have readily admitted, but death to his very life itself by doing all that is within the University system's power to prohibit Rick from providing for himself and his family. I would have less disrespect for you and your like if you burned Rick, his family, and other good Catholics at the stake. That would make them Catholic martyrs who immediately enter heaven and make you less dishonest by making your rabid anti-Catholic position clear, without hypocrisy and deception.

It is expected that non-Catholics do not like Catholic inquisitions, just as Catholics do not like anti-Catholic inquisitions. Lee, since you are not Catholic, it logically follows that you dislike the Catholic Inquisition. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "You may not have a problem with the Catholic Inquisition, but I certainly do. Arresting people for claiming the earth goes around the sun (Galileo) impresses me as pretty unacceptable. Fortunately, the Church doesn't have that kind

of power anymore. And by the way, Pope John Paul II also admitted that the church was wrong in that case."

For the record, Galileo was wrong and the Catholic Church was right. A workable science is possible for both Geocentrism (the earth is immoveable and in the center of the Universe) and Heliocentrism (the Sun is at the center of the Universe). One would have to be outside the Universe in order to scientifically prove which is correct. But true Catholics believe in Geocentrism because God said it, as confirmed by the Bible, popes, Fathers, and saints. Remember, John Paul II was an apostate antipope; hence his teachings do not affect the Catholic Church or Her dogmas any more than Billy Graham's teachings do. Upon request, I will provide information from the Catholic Church and scientists defending Geocentrism.

That is not to say that Catholic inquisitors were never wrong. Even though rarely, a few have been wrong. Who would dare condemn the Medical Profession and all doctors because of a few bad doctors? The same applies to the Catholic Inquisition. Who would dare condemn the Catholic Inquisition and all Catholic inquisitors just because of a few bad Catholic inquisitors? Just as God has ordained the Medical Profession as a good thing for bodies (See: Ecclesiasticus 38), so God has ordained the Catholic Inquisition as a good thing for souls, with the additional benefit of health to bodies and nations and the promise of eternal life for both soul and body. Catholic Inquisitions are the most just and merciful tribunals on earth, as ordained and blessed by God Himself.

Even false religions, non-Catholic States, and non-Catholics conduct inquisitions in order to maintain their beliefs and way of life. Whether for evil or for good, whether in the service of the true God or in the service of Satan, all nations and religions and families and peoples conduct inquisitions. That is how they maintain their beliefs and way of life. The real question, then, is not opposition to inquisitions but who conducts the inquisitions. For instance, what evil men believe to be good and seek to perpetuate by anti-Catholic inquisitions, the Catholic Church infallibly condemns as evil and seeks to eradicate by Catholic inquisitions, such as by condemning and seeking to eradicate the worship of false gods, the practice of false religions, homosexuality, feminism, abortion, divorce and remarriage, contraception, etc.

In these days of the Great Apostasy, non-Catholic inquisitions have replaced Catholic ones. The only man who undergoes an inquisition by being judged and punished is the man who conducts an inquisition regarding true sins and true sinners. For instance, if a man condemns homosexuality and denounces homosexuals or feminism and feminists or abortion and abortionists, the apparent non-judgmentalists become very judgmental and conduct an inquisition against him by condemning his beliefs, denouncing him, and punishing him if possible, such as by causing him to lose his job or status in the liberal community. The worst "offenders" who thus undergo the harshest inquisitions are true Catholics who condemn false religions and denounce their members. The anti-Catholic inquisitors use non-Catholic States as their arm of "justice" to punish anyone who dares to oppose their sinful beliefs and ways. And they denounce Catholic States that condemned true sins and denounced and punished true sinners. The written and unwritten laws of non-Catholic States promote and protect sins against the Catholic faith and morals; and the enforcers of these laws judge, denounce, and punish anyone who opposes their anti-Catholic agenda. They are hypocrites because they pretend to be against inquisitions while conducting their own inquisitions. Hence, what they really are

against is not inquisitions but Catholic inquisitions. (See my book "Bad Books With Imprimaturs: Loss of the Inquisitions Mentality.")

Only a good Catholic State pleases God

Lee, because you are not Catholic, it is expected that you do not want to live in a perfect Catholic State. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "You may want to live in a perfect Catholic state, but the U. S. isn't one, thank God. Actually, I suspect you wouldn't enjoy it much at all, since you might be called in front of an Inquisition to explain why you are putting forth ideas that the Pope has condemned."

A good Catholic does not fear a Catholic inquisition but supports it as the only means to preserve the Catholic faith in peoples, families, and nations. The ideas I teach have been infallibly defined as dogmas by true popes. It is the false popes of the Vatican II Church that stand condemned as apostate antipopes. The next Catholic Inquisition headed by a true pope will confirm this.

Lee, God is only pleased with a good Catholic State and totally pleased with a perfect Catholic State; hence, the god you thank for not living in a perfect Catholic State is actually Satan, the god and king of this evil world, whom Jesus refers to as *"the prince of this world."* (John 12:31) Jesus says, *"No man can serve two masters. For either he will hate the one, and love the other: or he will sustain the one, and despise the other."* (Matthew 6:24) Jesus also teaches that there are only two kingdoms that rule in this world and thus only two masters who rule those kingdoms:

"Every kingdom divided against itself shall be made desolate: and every city or house divided against itself shall not stand. And if <u>Satan</u> cast out Satan, he is divided against himself: how then shall <u>his kingdom</u> stand? And if I by Beelzebub cast out devils, by whom do your children cast them out? Therefore they shall be your judges. But if I by the Spirit of God cast out devils, then is the <u>kingdom of God</u> come upon you." (Matthew 12: 24-28)

Hence men belong to either the Kingdom of God or the Kingdom of Satan. Satan's kingdom, disguising itself in many forms, contains all false gods, false religions, false ideologies, non-Catholic States, and non-Catholics. God's Kingdom does not disguise itself. When it is present, it is visible for all to see as a beacon of light on a mountain. God's Kingdom on earth consists of the Catholic Church, the Catholic religion, Catholic States, and Catholics. Even bad Catholics are part of God's Kingdom on earth. However, if they die as bad Catholics, they go to hell and thus cannot enter God's everlasting Kingdom. Hence no matter what men may think, they only have two choices: God's Kingdom, which is Christ's Catholic Kingdom, or Satan's kingdom, which all other kingdoms serve:

Pope Leo XIII, *Humanum Genus*, 1884: "1. The race of man, after its miserable fall from God, the Creator and the Giver of heavenly gifts, 'through the envy of the devil,' separated into two diverse and opposite parts, of which the one steadfastly contends for truth and virtue, the other of those things which are contrary to virtue and to truth. The one is the kingdom of God on earth, namely, the true Church of Jesus Christ; and those who desire from their heart to be united with it, so as to gain salvation, must of necessity serve God and His only-begotten Son with their whole mind and with an entire will. The other is the kingdom of Satan, in whose possession and control are all whosoever follow the fatal example of their leader

and of our first parents, those who refuse to obey the divine and eternal law, and who have many aims of their own in contempt of God, and many aims also against God.

"2. This twofold kingdom St. Augustine keenly discerned and described after the manner of two cities, contrary in their laws because striving for contrary objects; and with a subtle brevity he expressed the efficient cause of each in these words: "Two loves formed two cities: the love of self, reaching even to contempt of God, an earthly city; and the love of God, reaching to contempt of self, a heavenly one." At every period of time each has been in conflict with the other, with a variety and multiplicity of weapons and of warfare..."

Both God's Kingdom and Satan's kingdom impose and enforce laws on their subjects and punish offenders—one for good, the other for evil. A faithful subject of Christ's Kingdom, a good Catholic, knows that Christ's *"yoke is sweet and burden light."* (Matthew 11:30) He also knows that Satan's yoke is sour and burden heavy beyond measure.⁵ He knows that God is the most beneficent King and Satan is the most tyrannical king. Many blasphemously believe the opposite: that God is a tyrant and Satan is beneficent, especially when *"Satan himself transformeth himself into an angel of light"* (2Cor. 11:14) and thus goes by the name of Lucifer. God allows this illusion, this operation of error, to punish obstinate sinners who obstinately refuse to believe the truth:

"[Antichrist], whose coming is according to the working of Satan, in all power and signs and lying wonders: And in all seduction of iniquity to them that perish: because they receive not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. Therefore God shall send them the operation of error, to believe lying: That all may be judged who have not believed the truth but have consented to iniquity." (2 Thess. 2:9-11)

As you may have surmised, the true God is not pleased with the non-Catholic United States and thus does not bless this harlot that corrupts the whole world with her perversions, as mentioned in the book of the Apocalypse, Chapter 18. First it rots from within, just like the pagan Roman Empire, and then God destroys it. If you live long enough, you will see God punish this harlot by destroying it. He is already beginning to warn its citizens by natural catastrophes. (See my book *End Time Signs* and my *Letter to a Protestant Historical Revisionist*.)

True peace and joy is only in Christ's Kingdom

True peace and joy and most of all eternal salvation are found only in Christ's Kingdom, the Catholic Church. Therefore, only good Catholics and good Catholic nations have true peace and joy—not just in prosperity but also in tribulation and persecution. Lee, you seek a false peace, a peace obtained by ungodly men. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "The truly important point, and the one that I think will damage your brother in Christ's career, is that in this country we don't go around bad-mouthing each other's religion, regardless of whether or not we disagree with it. How can we peacefully co-exist (as we must) if you insult one of the basic aspects of my religion?"

Lee, I wonder just how far you would be willing to compromise what you know to be true for the sake of peace. Who says we must live with one another in temporal peace

⁵ See my book "*How to Be a Good Catholic*: At first hard and then easy."

no matter how great our sins against God and one another may be? That is illogical. God decrees that "there is no peace to the wicked." (Isaias 57:21) The God of the Catholic Church decrees that all men who worship false gods or practice false religions or violate His moral commandments are wicked. Hence, your desire that wicked men should have peace is contrary to God's will and common sense. It is a natural consequence that wicked men torment themselves and one another by the very nature of their sins: "By what things a man sinneth, by the same also he is tormented." (Wisdom 11:17) Christ did not come to give the world a false peace in which men rest comfortably in their sins. That is why He said, "Do not think that I came to send peace upon earth: I came not to send peace, but the sword." (Matthew 10:34) Because the earth was and is mostly inhabited by wicked men and wicked nations, Christ does not give peace to them and the earth they dominate. God does not give true peace to non-Catholic nations or even bad Catholic nations: "Sin maketh nations miserable." (Proverbs 14:34) That is why Jesus said the peace He gives, which is true peace, is not the false peace the evil world offers to men. Jesus said. "Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you: not as the world giveth, do I give unto you." (John 14:27) Christ only gives peace to men and nations who faithfully serve Him, as only good Catholics and good Catholic nations can:

Pope Pius X, *E Supreme Apostolatus*, 1903: "7. The desire for peace is certainly harbored in every breast, and there is no one who does not ardently invoke it. But to want peace without God is an absurdity, seeing that where God is absent thence too justice flies, and when justice is taken away it is vain to cherish the hope of peace. 'Peace is the work of justice' (Is. xxii., 17). There are many, We are well aware, who, in their yearning for peace, that is for the tranquility of order, band themselves into societies and parties, which they style parties of order. Hope and labor lost. For there is but one party of order capable of restoring peace in the midst of all this turmoil, and that is the party of God. It is this party, therefore, that we must advance, and to it attract as many as possible, if we are really urged by the love of peace.... 9. Now the way to reach Christ is not hard to find: <u>it is the [Catholic]</u> <u>Church</u>. ...The Church is thy hope, the Church is thy salvation, the Church is thy refuge."

Pius IX, Encyclical on St. Francis of Assisi: "People, taught by the experience of the past not to expect peace and security if they do not return to God, look to the Catholic Church as the one source of salvation."

In spite of the illusion of peace, sudden destruction will befall all individuals and nations who seek a false peace: "For when they shall say, peace and security, then shall sudden destruction come upon them, as the pains upon her that is with child, and they shall not escape." (1Thess. 5:3) Any man who promises the utopia of peace in a world in which false gods are worshipped and false religions are practiced and sins of immorality are committed is a liar and a false prophet: "And it was not enough for them to err about the knowledge of God, but whereas they lived in a great war of ignorance, they call so many and so great evils peace." (Wisdom 14:22) Christ taught us that this world is evil and destined to be destroyed by fire: "[Christ] who gave himself for our sins, that he might deliver us from this present wicked world." (Gal. 1:4) "The day of the Lord shall come as a thief, in which the heavens shall pass away with great violence and the elements shall be melted with heat and the earth and the works which are in it shall be burnt up." (2Pt. 3:10) Lee, to what point do you want peace with a world that will be destroyed and with people who will inevitably die and cannot give themselves or you eternal life with God? "It is appointed unto men once to die, and after this the judgment."

(Hebrews 9:27) It is only Christ and His Catholic Kingdom that can give you eternal salvation: "Jesus Christ of Nazareth... neither is there salvation in any other. For there is no other name under heaven given to men, whereby we must be saved." (Acts 4: 10, 12) "Jesus saith... I am the way, and the truth, and the life. No man cometh to the Father, but by me." (John 14:6) And it is only Christ upon His second coming who will bring true and everlasting peace to a new and everlasting world that He will bring (Apocalypse 21). Eternal life in heaven and the new world to come is only reserved for good Catholics, which includes all of God's faithful chosen people who lived during the Old Testament era.

Lee, the peace that you and your like seek is a phantom, an illusion. Just look around you at the world you live in. There is no true peace in nations, peoples, and families whether rich or poor. St. Paul describes the bad fruit all around us that Satan's kingdom naturally produces:

"Know also this, that, in the last days, shall come dangerous times. Men shall be lovers of themselves, covetous, haughty, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, ungrateful, wicked, without affection, without peace, slanderers, incontinent, unmerciful, without kindness, traitors, stubborn, puffed up, and lovers of pleasures more than of God: Having an appearance indeed of godliness, but denying the power thereof. Now these avoid." (1Timothy 3:1-5)

"Know you not that the unjust shall not possess the kingdom of God? Do not err: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor the effeminate, nor liers with mankind, nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor railers, nor extortioners, shall possess the kingdom of God." (1 Corinthians 6:9-10)

"And he said to me: It is done. I am Alpha and Omega; the beginning and the end. To him that thirsteth, I will give of the fountain of the water of life, freely. He that shall overcome shall possess these things, and I will be his God; and he shall be my son. But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcerers, and idolaters, and all liars, they shall have their portion in the pool burning with fire and brimstone, which is the second death." (Apocalypse 21:6-8)

Your contradictions regarding original sin (inherited guilt)

Lee, you contradict yourself regarding your understanding of the Catholic dogma of original sin. In one place you seem to present a correct understanding of this dogma; that is, Adam and Eve committed the original sin and future generations of men inherit Adam and Eve's guilt. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "We are all equally sinful according to the doctrine of original sin. ... The guilt of original sin and the guilt from a specific act of a specific individual are very different things."

Yes, they are very different things. The guilt of original sin is inherited and the guilt of actual sin is self-imposed, but both are sins and thus inflict guilt. Your above statement logically implies that the descendents of Adam and Eve incur guilt by *inheriting* the guilt of original sin unlike men who incur guilt by *committing* sins (actual sin). Yet contradicting yourself, you heretically said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "Original sin doesn't justify blaming people for acts that they didn't perform. My point about being condemned to Hell based on someone else's action

holds. I repeat, surely you don't think that your child is guilty of something that you did."

The dogma of original sin <u>does</u> blame men, even infants, for the guilt of the original sin that they did not commit:

Council of Trent, Decree on Original Sin, Session V, 1546: "2. If any one asserts that the prevarication of Adam... being defiled by the sin of disobedience, has only transfused death, and pains of the body, into the whole human race, but not sin also, which is the death of the soul; let him be anathema. ...4. If any one denies that infants, newly born from their mothers' wombs... derive nothing of original sin from Adam... -let him be anathema. For that which the apostle has said, by one man sin entered into the world, and by sin death, and so death passed upon all men in whom all have sinned, is not to be understood otherwise than as the Catholic Church spread everywhere hath always understood it. For, by reason of this rule of faith, from a tradition of the apostles, even infants, who could not as yet commit any sin of themselves, are for this cause truly baptized for the remission of sins, that in them that may be cleansed away by regeneration which they have contracted by generation. For unless a man be born again of water and the Holy Ghost, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God."

Therefore, Lee, your statement that "Original sin doesn't justify blaming people for acts that they didn't perform" is heretical because it contradicts the Catholic dogma of original sin. It also contradicts your own statements. You rightly said, "We are all equally sinful according to the doctrine of original sin." How, then, do men become sinful by incurring the guilt of original sin if they did not commit the original sin? Even you said there is a difference between how guilt is incurred in these two cases. You said, "The guilt of original sin and the guilt from a specific act of a specific individual are very different things." What, then, do you think the difference is? Hence from your own statements you imply that the guilt of original sin is inherited by those who did not commit the original sin.

Lee, you also said that no one is sent to hell because of a sin they did not commit. You said, "My point about being condemned to Hell based on someone else's action holds. I repeat, surely you don't think that your child is guilty of something that you did." But that is precisely what the Catholic Church infallibly teaches about all those, even infants, who die with the inherited guilt of original sin:

Pope Eugene IV, *The Council of Florence*, 15th century: "The souls of those who depart in actual mortal sin or in original sin only descend immediately into Hell but to undergo punishments of different kinds."

There are only two actual sins that men inherit: 1) men inherit the guilt of original sin, the actual sin committed by Adam and Eve; 2) unbelieving Jews inherit the guilt of Deicide, the actual sin committed by the evil Jews when they murdered Christ. All other actual sins, such as stealing, are not inherited. Hence your example of the guilt incurred by the actual sin of stealing does not apply because this guilt is not inherited:

Lee Stauffer: "I repeat, surely you don't think that your child is guilty of something that you did. Would you suggest that he or she be imprisoned because you stole something. Of course not! That would be unfair. You surely don't want to claim that God would act less fairly than your local judge."

Your first sentence is heretical because men do inherit the guilt of original sin and Deicide. Your second sentence is true because men do not inherit the guilt of the sin of

stealing. Your last sentence is true—God is not less fair than a local judge; but it shows an improper understanding of who is the ultimate judge as to what is fair, especially regarding things that a man cannot know unless God reveals them to him, such as the Catholic dogma of original sin. How does a local judge compare to God who is allpowerful, all-knowing, all just, and all merciful: *"For my thoughts are not your thoughts: nor your ways my ways, saith the Lord. For as the heavens are exalted above the earth, so are my ways exalted above your ways, and my thoughts above your thoughts."* (Isaias 55:8-9) Does the local judge know all things? Was the local judge present when God created the universe? Was the local judge present when Adam and Eve committed the original sin? Listen as God speaks to arrogant men who think God is unjust: *"Gird up thy loins like a man: I will ask thee, and answer thou me. Where wast thou when I laid the foundations of the earth? tell me if thou hast understanding."* (Job 38:4)

There are many true things that men cannot know unless God reveals them to men. This is called supernatural revelation. And many of these truths are above the understanding of men: "For many things are shewn to thee above the understanding of men." (Ecclesiasticus 3:25) Hence men must accept by faith truths that are above their reasoning ability. Therefore, just because a local judge cannot reasonably understand how God's decree regarding original sin is just does not mean that it is unjust. We know it is just because God is not unjust: "Thou art just, O Lord: and thy judgment is right." (Psalm 118:137) "All my words are just, there is nothing wicked, nor perverse in them. They are right to them that understand, and just to them that find knowledge." (Proverbs 8:8-9) It follows, then, that the only judge that can judge all things justly is a Catholic judge who judges all things in the light of the Catholic faith because he believes things about sin that he could not otherwise know unless God had revealed them to him by the dogmas of the Catholic Church. Hence a Catholic judge knows the root cause of all sins. However, to completely eradicate sin, it is not enough to know the root cause of all sins but to also have the remedy. Only a Catholic judge has the remedy to eradicate sins because God has given power to the Catholic Church as the only entity that can strike at the very root of sin by eradicating it from the souls of men and thus from societies because "Outside the Church there is no salvation or remission of sin." (Pope Boniface VIII, Bull Unam Sanctum, 1302.) For instance, a local judge can mete out a just punishment for a sinner and the sinner can serve his time and even amend his life by no longer committing that sin; but, nevertheless, in God's eyes the sinner remains guilty unless he is Catholic and has properly confessed his sins. Hence, the Catholic Church alone has the perfect remedy for sin because only She has the power to remit sin and thus effect a perfect and complete amendment of the entire life of a sinner. She also has the perfect remedy to punish sinners so that they do not infect others. That is why Catholic inquisitions were the most just tribunals on earth.

However, that does not mean that a non-Catholic local judge is totally blind regarding the dogma of original sin. Even a non-Catholic judge can know by the law upon his heart that there is an inherited evil in men by observing the many and great evils men have committed in the history of the world, by observing infants who stubbornly rebel against authority no matter how just it may be, and by observing that men get sick and die:

Venerable Louis of Granada, O.P., Writer of the Spanish Empire, 16th century: "As a result of that original sin, our first parents lost all the graces and virtues they had received from God, their mastery over all creation, and their control over the flesh

and its passions. ... Human nature was weakened and corrupted by original sin so that nothing sound remained in them from the soles of their feet to the tops of their heads. In other words, original sin affected the most hidden and secret parts of man, both spiritual and corporal. It affected the body, with its senses and passions, and penetrated to the soul, so that the intellect was blinded, the will was weakened, freedom became flaccid, and the memory became distraught and forgetful of the Creator. As a result of the sin of our first parents, we all came unto this world weak, inclined to evil, subject to the devil, devoid of grace and justice and doomed to die. ...Let us now consider the principal disorders of human life and see how they have sprung from the evil root of original sin in which every man is born. ... We see from experience how far the majority of men are from living according to reason and virtue. Generally they are governed by their passions and inordinate desires. Consequently, there must be some sickness in human nature if it does not do that which is so proper to itself....for generally virtue is most difficult for men and vice is very pleasing and delightful. Hence, there is some sickness in a nature that manifests such a disorder. Another proof of the disorder in human nature is the great esteem which men have for the goods of the body and the disregard they have for the goods of the spirit.Not only do the majority of men fail to employ themselves in the cultivation of virtue, but the intellect has become the inventor of all manner of vice. In this regard man has not only become like beasts, but much worse, because when armed with the powers of reason, malice extends to many worse evils. For that reason a philosopher has said that there is no more fearful beast than malice aided by the genius of a brilliant mind. ... But the Christian religion, the mistress of truth, delivers us from all perplexity and error and teaches that none of these disorders proceeded from the hand of God, but that their origin and source is sin. Someone may ask how we can prove this. Even before they are capable of sinning, little children manifest the seeds of these evils, for even then they experience anger, envy, hatred, desire for revenge, and other passions which could not possibly flow from personal sins, since they are not yet guilty of sin. Hence, we must admit that since all men are born with these evil inclinations and not by reason of any personal sins there must have been some sin in the father of the human race and that because of his guilt the whole human race has been sentenced to his penalty. ... Nor is it any wonder that the children should be deprived of grace and original justice which the parents had lost. A nobleman who commits a crime against his king loses his estate and office and because of him his descendents likewise lose these dignities. So also, when the first man committed treason against his God, he lost the great dignity he had received and we, his children, also lost it through him. Such is the wretched state into which the human race was cast through original sin."⁶

Original sin alone makes unbelieving Jews evil

Lee, you have acknowledged that according to the dogma of original sin unbelieving Jews are sinful:

Lee Stauffer: "True, the Catholic Church accepts the position of original sin. However, the Jews are no more sinful than anyone else. We are all equally sinful according to the doctrine of original sin."

By implication, then, you acknowledge that unbelieving Jews are evil because any sin that places a man on the road to hell makes him a servant and child of Satan and thus evil. It is a Catholic dogma that the guilt of original sin places a man on the road to hell and makes him a servant and child of Satan and thus evil:

⁶ Summa of the Christian Life, vol. 2, chap. 2, Effects of Original Sin.

Pope Pius V, *Council of Trent*, Session V, On Original Sin, 16th century: "1. If any one does not confess that the first man, Adam, when he had transgressed the commandment of God in Paradise, immediately lost the holiness and justice wherein he had been constituted; and that he incurred, through the offence of that prevarication, the wrath and indignation of God, and consequently death, with which God had previously threatened him, and, together with death, captivity under his power who thenceforth had the empire of death, that is to say, the devil, and that the entire Adam, through that offence of prevarication, was changed, in body and soul, for the worse; let him be anathema."

Pope Eugene IV, *Council Of Florence*, 15th century: "Regarding children, indeed, because of danger of death, which can often take place, when no help can be brought to them by another remedy than through the sacrament of baptism, through which they are snatched from the domination of the devil and adopted among the sons of God, it advises that holy baptism ought not to be deferred for forty or eighty days, or anytime according to the observance of certain people, but it should be conferred as soon as it can be done conveniently, but so that, when danger of death is imminent, they be baptized in the form of the Church, early without delay, even by a layman or woman, if a priest should be lacking."

Before catechumens get baptized into the Catholic Church, they must renounce their former master, Satan, and all his works and pomps:

The Ceremonies of Baptism: *Imposition of Hands, Summary of prayer*: "Drive from thy servant, O Lord, all blindness of heart, break all the bonds of Satan by which he was tied..." <u>And the Exorcism prayer</u>: "I exorcise thee, unclean spirit, in the Name of the Father + and of the Son + and of the Holy Ghost +, that thou go forth and depart from this servant of God [name], ...Therefore, accursed spirit, acknowledge thy sentence; give honor to the true and living God, to His Son Jesus Christ, and to the Holy Ghost, by withdrawing from this servant of God [name]." <u>And the Renunciation of Satan</u>: "1) Q. N.....dost thou renounce Satan? A. I do renounce him. 2) Q. And all his works? A. I do renounce them. 3) Q. And all his pomps? A. I do renounce them."⁷

Therefore, without any other consideration, unbelieving Jews are evil (they belong to the "empire of death, that is to say the devil") because they are stained with the guilt of original sin, which can only be washed away by faith in Jesus Christ and baptism into the Catholic Church.

Lee, by implication you have denounced as evil all Jews who have the guilt of original sin. You said, "The Jews are no more sinful than anyone else. We are all equally sinful according to the doctrine of original sin." It would be illogical if you believed a man can be guilty of a sin that merits hell and not be evil.

Because God is all just, He does not place men on the road to hell unless they are evil: "Thou art just, O Lord: and thy judgment is right." (Psalm 118:137) Because God is all good, all just, and all merciful, He loves all men and thus gives evil men a chance to convert and become good: "Thou hast mercy upon all, because thou canst do all things, and overlookest the sins of men for the sake of repentance. For thou lovest all things that are, and hatest none of the things which thou hast made: for thou didst not appoint, or make any thing hating it." (Wisdom 11:24-25) "God our Saviour, who will have all men to be saved, and to come to the knowledge of the truth." (1 Timothy 2:3-4) Because God is all good, all just, and all merciful, He does not send ultimately good people to hell;

⁷ St. Andrew Missal, 1952, Dom Gaspar Lefebvre, O.S.B., Imprimatur +Brugis, 8 Julii 1953, M. Dekeyzer, vic. gen.

hence every person in hell is an ultimately evil person who did not ultimately cooperate with God's mercy. After they die and during their particular judgment, Jesus condemns these evil men with the following sentence: *"Then he shall say to them also that shall be on his left hand: Depart from me, you cursed, into everlasting fire which was prepared for the devil and his angels."* (Matthew 25:41)

Calvin's heretical doctrine on predestination

Lee, you falsely accused me and by implication the Catholic Church of believing in Calvin's heretical doctrine on predestination:

Lee Stauffer: "The position you take is more informed by John Calvin than Christ in my opinion, in that you take certain passages out of context in order to support a degree of determinism which most Christians have long ago recognized to be unwarranted."

The Catholic Church has always condemned Calvin's heretical doctrine on predestination; hence, I condemn it also. I believe in the Catholic Church's dogmatic teachings on predestination.

Calvin denied the part of free will in man's salvation or damnation. Calvin heretically believed that God did not will for all men to be saved, but only certain men. Hence, he heretically believed that God wills for certain men to be evil and thus these men cannot repent and be saved even if they want to. Therefore he also heretically believed that God wills for only certain men to be good and that because God wills for them to be good, they cannot be evil no matter how evil they actually are—like the current day heresy that all Jews are good because of their race no matter how evil they actually are.

The Catholic dogma on predestination does not deny the necessity of God's grace or man's free will in his salvation. She teaches that God wills for all men to be saved, to be good. Thus no matter how evil a man is (either because of inherited sin or actual sin) he can choose to repent and be saved by cooperating with God's grace. And no matter how good a man is he can still go to hell if he stops cooperating with God's grace and becomes evil. I have never said nor do I believe that an evil Jew cannot repent, convert, and thus be saved. It is my ardent hope that they do convert and gain eternal salvation. (See my book "*The Salvation Dogma*: The Catholic Doctrine on Predestination.")

I pick on the Jews because they are among the worst enemies of Christ

Lee, you rightly accused me of picking on evil Jews the most:

Lee Stauffer: "We are all equally sinful according to the doctrine of original sin. You might argue that the Jews don't share in the forgiveness of sin that results from a belief in Christ, but then, according to the Church neither do Protestants, Buddhists, Hindus, etc. Why pick on the Jews unless your intention is not an observation, but an attack?"

The first reason I pick on and attack evil Jews the most is because they are the number one enemies of Christ and thus of the Catholic Church and Catholicism. This is confirmed by Catholic and apostate Jewish sources and the evidence before good-willed eyes:

Catholic sources:

Jewish-Masonic Action in the Council: "The Jewish plot against the Catholic Church which in our age is reaching its culmination with its penetration among the high Catholic clergy and in the Vatican was revealed to the public in 1936 by the London periodical 'Catholic Gazette', official monthly paper of the Catholic Missionary Society of England."

Antonio Garcia, Bishop of Tuy, in his *Collective Letter of the Spanish Hierarchy*, on July 1, 1937, said the following: "It is evident that the present conflict is one of the most terrible wars waged by Anti-Christ, that is, by [Talmudic] Judaism, against the Catholic Church and against Christ."

Apostate Jewish sources:

Rabbi Lewis Browne: "The Synagogue constituted a living challenge. It was the one unmistakable, ever-flaming protest against the presumption of the Church. It was more than a thorn. Scattered as it was throughout Christendom, the Synagogue operated on every side rather like a network of tiny rapiers that bled the sense of self-sufficiency in the Church. <u>That explains why the Church would give the Jew no rest. He was its most dangerous enemy</u>, for wherever he migrated he encouraged heresies." (*The Story of the Jews*, pp. 245-246.)

Freiheit, January 10, 1937, a New York Jewish paper: "According to the Jewish religion, the Pope is the enemy of the Jewish people by the very fact that he is the head of the Catholic Church. The Jewish religion is opposed to Christianity and to the Catholic Church in particular."

An efficient General knows his most lethal enemy and responds with the appropriate defense and counter attack. Your following statement is one proof that Rick's counter attack against evil Jews and Talmudic Judaism was successful because he told the truth about our Catholic religion and thus did not choose to flatter evil Jews with hypocrisy and lies about the condition of their souls and their false religion:

Lee Stauffer: "Several of the professors at Highlands are Israeli. They were profoundly insulted by this attack on their religion."

Come now, let us not be foolish children by pretending that different religions do not attack one another by the very nature of their differences. All false religions are an attack against the one true religion of Catholicism, and vice versa. As a true Catholic, I am profoundly insulted by Talmudic Jews' attack upon my God, my Church, and my religion. Their belief that Jesus Christ is not God and Messiah is the worst attack against all three because Jesus Christ is the corner stone that holds the Catholic Church and religion together: The Catholic Church is *"built upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets, Jesus Christ himself being the chief corner stone: In whom all the building, being framed together, groweth up into an holy temple in the Lord."* (Eph. 2:20-22) If Christ is not God and Messiah, then Christ is a liar, the Catholic Church and religion are false, and Catholics are idolaters: *"If Christ be not risen again, your faith is vain: for you are yet in your sins."* (1 Cor. 15:17)

I pick on the Jews because the Jewish race is idolized

I also pick on evil Jews the most because almost everyone in the world is *unpicking* on the evil Jews. No matter how evil they are, Jews are now exalted almost worldwide as being good simply because of their race, and that is racism! Proving that He is not a racist, let us listen to a good Jew—in fact the best Jew ever—denounce evil Jews: "And Jesus ... said to them ...O generation of vipers, how can you speak good things, whereas you are evil?" (Matthew 12:34) "You then being evil... and the evil tree bringeth forth evil fruit." (Matthew 7:11, 17)

For quite some time now, Jews have been the only racial group intimidating almost everyone in the world from denouncing any Jew no matter how evil he is (of course, they will make an exception in my case, which only proves their hypocrisy). They do this with their infamous calumny of anti-Semitism against anyone who denounces Jews as evil because of their actions or beliefs and not because of their race. They slander such persons by equating them with true anti-Semites who hate Jews because of their Jewish race, such as the KKK. They punish the "offender" by ruining his reputation or causing him to lose his job or position or in some countries by imprisoning him or even by murdering him if possible, etc.

Your contribution to Jewish racism and lies

Lee, you are contributing to this Jewish racism, this fanatical mania of un-picking on the Jews no matter how evil they are. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "I continue to hold to my position that blaming the Jewish people for the death of Christ is both un-Christian and contrary to the doctrines of the Church and the clear meanings of the Biblical text."

The opposite is the truth. It is un-Christian to lie by placing the primary blame on Romans and not on evil Jews. It is also un-Christian and racism to un-blame evil Jews because of their Jewish race. Lee, one wonders what Bible you are reading! The Bible clearly blames evil Jews as the primary crucifiers of Christ. Preaching on Pentecost Sunday, St. Peter said, "*Let all the house of Israel know most certainly, that God hath made both Lord and Christ, this same Jesus, whom you have crucified*." (Acts 2:36)

While you deny the Catholic dogma that unbelieving Jews inherit the bloodguilt of Christ, you indirectly prove that this is at least possible because you concede that the guilt of the original sin is inherited. You said the following:

Lee Stauffer: "The guilt of original sin and the guilt from a specific act of a specific individual are very different things. I repeat that no contemporary Jew is guilty because of the acts of his or her ancestor. Even if the Jews did say 'His blood be on us and our descendents,' that has absolutely no effect on whether the descendents are guilty. For example, I could say, 'You are a sinner' from now until Doomsday, but whether or not you are a sinner (beyond the issue of original sin) would depend only on you (not on me). I can't make you guilty, especially just by saying something."

The Bible also clearly teaches that unbelieving Jews inherited the bloodguilt of Christ when their unbelieving forefathers said, *"His blood be upon us and upon our children."* (Matthew 27:25) Proving that bloodguilt can be inherited, Jesus teaches that evil Jews who lived during His first coming inherited the bloodguilt of their evil

forefathers who murdered the prophets: "Woe to you scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites; that build the sepulchres of the prophets, and adorn the monuments of the just, And say: If we had been in the days of our Fathers, we would not have been partakers with them in the blood of the prophets. Wherefore you are witnesses against yourselves, that you are the sons of them that killed the prophets. Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers. You serpents, generation of vipers, how will you flee from the judgment of hell? ... Upon you may come all the just blood that hath been shed upon the earth, from the blood of Abel the just, even unto the blood of Zacharias the son of Barachias, whom you killed between the temple and the altar. Amen I say to you, all these things shall come upon this generation." (Matthew 23:29-36) History also confirms the bloodguilt of unbelieving Jews by the unique curses that have fallen upon them from the time they crucified Christ. (See my book "The Jews: 'Bloodguilt of Unbelieving Jews' and 'Historical Proof of Accursed Jews."")

I pick on Jews because I love them

I also pick on evil Jews because I truly love them. When Jesus says, "Love your enemies" (Matthew 5:44), everyone seems to forget the second part; that is, Jesus teaches that evil Jews are enemies to Him and Catholics. Some would say Jesus is an anti-Semite for saying there are evil Jews and that they are His enemies. Yet, Jesus also says we must love our enemies. Clearly, then, neither Jesus nor good Catholics are haters of men, let alone an entire race. Picking on evil Jews is their only hope for conversion into the Catholic Church and thus eternal salvation. Evil Jews who sincerely convert, like St. Paul, will thank me and other Catholics for picking on and attacking them, even if they must be knocked off their horses. I will not flatter evil Jews and let them rest comfortably in damnation. If I did that, I would actually hate them. I make St. Paul's words my own: "I have great sadness, and continual sorrow in my heart. For I wished myself to be an anathema from Christ [meaning punished for a season but not unto damnation], for [the conversion of] my brethren: who are my kinsmen according to the flesh." (Romans 9: 2-3) But sadly, unbelieving Jews are not my spiritual brethren because only those who have the Catholic faith are children of God and thus spiritual brothers to one another: "Know *ye therefore, that they who are of faith, the same are the* [spiritual] *children of Abraham.* ... For you are all the children of God by faith, in Christ Jesus." (Gal. 3: 7, 26) "That is to say, not they that are the children of the flesh, are the children of God; but they, that are the children of the promise, are accounted for the seed." (Romans 9:8)