

What's New?

What's New Archive

What's New Archive 2012

12/22/12 - New or revised RJMI works:

RJMI books:

- Apostate Antipope Pius IX from at Least March 17, 1856 (This book replaced the RJMI article "Pius IX Denied the Salvation Dogma and Lost His Office." I also added a section titled "Suspicion of being a Freemason")
- Heresy and Heretics

RJMI articles:

- Banned from Office for Simony or Secret Formal Heresy
- Cajetan's and Bellarmine's Heresies on Formal Heretics and Loss of Papal Office
- Fallible Vatican Council of 1870, The
- Good-without-Grace Heresy Taught by Aquinas and Popes
- History of the Solemn and the Ordinary Magisterium
- Popes Do Not Always Condemn Their Predecessors' Crimes
- Popes, Antipopes, Possible Antipopes, and Presumed Antipopes
- Putative Officeholders and Laws

Articles by Non-Catholics:

- The Washington Monument's Stone from Rome and Masonic Consecration

Corrections:

1) Not only public formal heretics but also occult formal heretics are banned from holding offices in the Catholic Church. This is based upon the solemn and the ordinary magisterium basic dogma that all formal heretics, even occult (secret) ones, are not members of the Catholic Church and thus not Catholic. As a result of this basic dogma, it is a solemn and an ordinary magisterium deeper dogma that all formal heretics, even secret ones, cannot hold offices in the Catholic Church because they are not members of the Catholic Church and not Catholic and thus cannot have power or rights over Catholics, which was taught by the unanimous consensus of the

Church Fathers and solemnly defined by Paul IV in 1559 in his Bull *Cum ex Apostolatus Officio*. For example, a baptized man that is a formal heretic is not a member of the Catholic Church and not Catholic and thus cannot be the head of the Catholic Church, cannot be a pope. Nor can he hold any other office. Beware of notorious heretics, like Cajetan and Robert Bellarmine, who hold the formal heresy that occult formal heretics are not automatically excommunicated and are members of the Catholic Church. And Cajetan also holds the formal heresy that even baptized Protestants and Schismatics are believers and members of the Catholic Church. (See RJMI article “Cajetan’s and Bellarmine’s Heresies on Formal Heretics and Loss of Papal Office.”)

2) The Vatican Council of 1870 is a fallible Catholic Council because it lacks papal approval since Pius IX, who approved the council, was an apostate antipope. Expect a future pope to resume the unclosed Vatican Council, revise and add decrees to it, and then approve and promulgate it. The Catholic Church, Catholic faith, and Catholics survived quite well for over 1800 years without the definitions contained in the Vatican Council. That is because the dogmas on the ordinary magisterium, the solemn magisterium (of papal infallibility), and papal supremacy existed as ordinary magisterium dogmas from the promulgation of the Catholic Church on Pentecost Sunday. The dogma on papal supremacy was made a solemn magisterium dogma in 431 by Pope St. Celestine I at the Council of Ephesus. And the dogma on papal infallibility was made a solemn magisterium dogma in 517 by Pope St. Hormisdas in a profession-of-faith definition titled *Libellus Professionis Fidei* that he composed.

3) Alleged formal heretics who hold offices are not only presumed to be formal heretics and presumed to be automatically excommunicated but they are also presumed to hold no offices. Hence a so-called pope who was an alleged formal heretic is a presumed antipope.

4) In my book *Heresy and Heretics*, I corrected the section titled “Honorius I was denounced and sentenced as a formal heretic after his death.” The former position was that the notorious heretic Honorius I was an alleged formal heretic while he lived, which is true, and that hence he still held the papal office, which is not true. Below is the corrected text:

“After his death Honorius I was denounced and sentenced as a formal heretic by a declaratory sentence from Pope St. Leo II in the Third Council of Constantinople in 681 AD... This declaratory sentence decreed that Honorius I (625-638) was a formal heretic. And by this declaratory sentence he was branded as a public formal heretic by notoriety of law. However, when he was alive, he was an alleged formal heretic and a formaliter occult heretic because even though his heresy of denying a deeper dogma and his identity were public, his guilt (his culpability) was secret. Hence while he was alive, he was an alleged formal heretic, presumed to be automatically excommunicated, presumed to hold no office, and thus was a presumed antipope. However, when he was sentenced as a

notorious heretic after his death, it was then known that while he lived he was an automatically excommunicated formal heretic and thus was an apostate antipope from at least 634, according to the first evidence we have on record that he believed in heresy. (See RJMI book *Apostate Antipope Honorius I from at Least 634.*)”

12/22/12 – Correction to RJMI book *Miracle of the Immaculate Conception:*

- **Correction:**

The “it” mentioned in 2 Par. 8:11 is the holy womb of St. Anne and not the holy womb of the Blessed Virgin Mary. Below is the corrected text:

“The second greatest miracle of all time is the Immaculate Conception of the Blessed Virgin Mary, second only to the Incarnation, when Christ became man in the womb of the Virgin Mary. Mary is the New Covenant Ark in which God would dwell. And Mary’s holy mother, the Good St. Anne, was the sanctified womb in which this Holy Ark would dwell: “For it [Anne’s womb] is sanctified: because the ark of the Lord [Mary] came into it.” (2 Par. 8:11) Without the Immaculate Conception there is no Incarnation. The Immaculate Conception prepared a worthy dwelling place and tabernacle for the Lord God Most High to come to earth as a man...”

12/22/12 – Added *Mary’s Little Remnant Catholic Calendar 2013* to the “Pastoral Menu.”

10/24/12 – New RJMI article:

- **Pius IX Denied the Salvation Dogma and Lost His Office**

10/24/12 – Corrections to RJMI works that referred to Pius IX as the pope after 1856:

- **RJMI books:**

Bad Books with Imprimaturs
Bad Laws in the 1917 Code
Bad Popes, Heretical Books, and the Salvation Heresy
Baptism Controversy Revision
Baptized Non-Catholic Infants and Children
Book of Evidence
End Time Signs
Heresy and Heretics
How to Be a Good Catholic
Mass Deceptions
Persecution of Rick Gonzalez
Salvation Dogma, The

Woe to You Who Call Evil Good!

- **RJMI refutations:**

Against Fr. Brian Harrison

Against Fr. Lucian Pulvermacher (available upon request)

Against Fr. Mario Blanco

Against Hutton Gibson

Against John Lane

Against the Saint Benedict Center

Against the Thucites

Against Tom Nelson

Brief against the SSPX

Brief against the Thucites

Dimonds Deny the Salvation Dogma

Supplement to "Against the SSPX"

- **RJMI articles:**

Death Penalty in Catholic States for Obstinate Dangerous Heretics

Great Apostasy Is upon Us!, The

History of the Solemn and Ordinary Magisterium

- **RJMI letters:**

Letter to a Protestant Historical Revisionist

- **Miscellaneous Papal Acts:**

Papal Teachings on the Education of Catholic Children

- **Special mention:**

Correction to Against Hutton Gibson

Notes on October 2012 Correction:

Hutton Gibson correctly pointed out to me that I granted Pius IX a fool's pardon for teaching the salvation heresy because I tried to excuse Pius IX from teaching the heresy. I can no longer make excuses since I have obtained an old copy of Pius IX's allocution *Singulari Quidem*. The allocution was in 1856 and the copy is from 1863, seven years later. It is contained in the following book:

Sanctissimi D. N. PII PP. IX., Epistola Encyclica, Die VIII. Decembris MDCCCLXIV

(1864), p. 92. Publisher: Ratisbonae, MDCCCLXV.

Pius IX taught the salvation heresy in 1856 in his allocution *Singulari Quidem* and in 1863 in his encyclical *Quanto Conficiamur Moerore*. Hence Pius IX automatically lost his office in 1856 and thus became an apostate antipope. (See RJMI article "Pius IX Denied the Salvation Dogma and Lost His Office.") Therefore, I deleted parts of this refutation in which I said that Pius IX did not deny the Salvation Dogma. Even though Hutton was right on this point, he is still a notorious heretic for denying the Salvation Dogma and for teaching that Catholics are allowed to be in religious communion with heretics. He is also guilty of non-judgmentalism and non-punishmentalism. Hutton knows that a pope can automatically lose his office for publicly defecting from the Catholic faith. I pray that he will now see that Pius IX did publicly defect from the faith by denying the Salvation Dogma and thus automatically lost his office and hence Hutton will no longer follow Pius IX in his heresy and in his damnation.

Correction to *The Salvation Dogma*

In the previous version, I said that Pius IX did not deny the Salvation Dogma. However, he did deny the Salvation Dogma and thus lost his office. The old section was titled: "Pope Pius IX on Salvation." The new section is titled: "Pius IX Denied the Salvation Dogma and Lost His Office." I have also made this a separate article.

10/24/12 - Addition to RJMI book *Bad Books on Salvation*:

- **Added:** "Pius IX, 1792-1878 (C1-D1)"

10/24/12 - New RJMI article:

- **"Good-without-Grace Heresy Taught by Aquinas and Popes"**

The heretics Thomas Aquinas, Pope Pius V, Pope Gregory XIII, and Pope Clement XI held the Pelagian Good-without-Grace heresy. It is a deeper dogma of the solemn magisterium and possibly a deeper dogma of the ordinary magisterium that without God's actual or sanctifying grace (any grace from God) men cannot think or do good and hence can only think and do evil.

10/24/12 - Correction to RJMI book *Baptism Controversy Revision*:

- **Correction:**

In the previous version, I did not denounce Pope Pius V as a heretic for condemning Michael du Bay's orthodox proposition 27. Pope Pius V was a heretic for doing so,

and I added this to the book in the section titled: "Censure of Michael du Bay's errors regarding baptism of desire and perfect contrition." (See RJMI article "Good-without-Grace Heresy Taught by Aquinas and Popes.")

10/24/12 – Correction to RJMI book *Heresy and Heretics*: Pope Honorius I was denounced and sentenced as a formal heretic after his death:

- **Correction:**

In the previous version, I said that Pope Leo II's declaratory sentence against Pope Honorius I as a notorious heretic was infallible. It was not. It was a disciplinary law. Popes' condemnations of heretics and canonizations of saints are disciplinary laws and thus are fallible. Their judgment can be wrong based upon incomplete or erroneous evidence or corruption and prejudice of the pope. However, one must obey these decrees, just as he must obey all disciplinary decrees under pain of mortal sin unless he has credible evidence that proves the judgments were erroneous. The basis of these laws is dogmatic but the object of them is not, the persons being judged. (See RJMI book *The Magisterium of the Catholic Church*: Orthodox dogma-related disciplinary laws (aka dogmatic facts).

8/31/2012 – Update on progress of RJMI book *The Magisterium of the Catholic Church*:

- **Update:**

The book is taking longer to complete because I am merging two books into one. My former books *The Solemn and Ordinary Magisterium* and *Revelation and Infallibility* will be one book titled *The Magisterium of the Catholic Church*. Because the topics are closely related, it is better to deal with them in one book. The book may be completed before Christmas 2012. This book is of the utmost importance because it deals with the whole basis of the Catholic faith and thus of the Holy Catholic Church, without which no one can be saved.

8/31/2012 – New video added to "Zionism Conspiracy" page:

- **The Ultra Zionists**, by Louis Theroux, broadcasted on BBC

This video shows how apostate Israel allows and even assists Jews to violate international law by occupying what the United Nations and even Israel itself has declared as Palestinian land. It also shows how ultra-orthodox Jews who are full Zionists want to occupy all of Biblical Israel, also called Greater Israel or *Eretz Yisrael* in Hebrew.

7/13/12 – Major revision of RJMI Revised Challoner Douay-Rheims Bible:

- **Revisions:**

The Bible text had many OCR spelling errors that have now been corrected. I deleted more Challoner commentaries and added more RJMI commentaries. I plan to add more RJMI commentaries if I have time. I also plan to correct the grammar in the Bible text if I have time.

7/7/12 – New RJMI article “The Seven Necessary Things to Be Catholic” in the “RJMI Catholic Articles” menu:

- **The Seven Necessary Things to Be Catholic**

The first page of this four-page article lists the seven things necessary to be Catholic. This concise and complete list enables one to determine if he or others are Catholic or not. The other pages teach how non-Catholics learn about the Catholic Church and faith and enter the Catholic Church even in areas that have no Catholic churches, Catholics, and Catholic sources.

7/7/12 – Correction to RJMI book *Baptized Non-Catholic Infants and Children*:

- **Deleted and replaced:** the section titled “No one can be saved in areas where the Catholic Church is not present”

The new section title is “If no access to Catholics, non-Catholics must learn about the Catholic faith and Church from other sources.”

I corrected my opinion that a non-Catholic needs to have at least one Catholic or a Catholic source in an area in order to enter the Catholic Church and thus become Catholic. The correct opinion is that under certain circumstances a non-Catholic can enter the Catholic Church and be Catholic in an area that has no Catholics and no Catholic sources. Below is the corrected section:

“As long as an area has at least one Catholic or another source, such as documents or audios or videos, that contains all the things one needs to know to become Catholic, then non-Catholics who have access to this Catholic or other source can learn the true Catholic faith from either and enter the true Catholic Church and be justified and have a hope to be saved. If there are no Catholics in the area, then the other sources, such as documents, must contain all the necessary things he needs to know to enter the Catholic Church, which are all the basic dogmas of the Catholic Church. And if he adheres to a false sect, religion, or church, he must reject and condemn it and its rulers and heresies that he knows about. Every false god, sect, religion, or church has obvious falsehoods that all men can know by God’s grace, the natural law, and

reason. Hence even if a man never heard of the Catholic faith, he can reject and condemn every false god, sect, religion, or church. If he knows about the Catholic faith, this can assist him in rejecting false gods, sects, religions, or churches.

“This other source does not have to be a Catholic source. It can be a non-Catholic source that either tries to refute Catholicism or teaches about Catholicism for historical or other reasons. The non-Catholic source can be heretical imprimatured books. The non-Catholic source must contain all the basic dogmas of the Catholic Church and could contain information that would assist the non-Catholic in rejecting and condemning the false sect, religion, or church he adheres to. By God’s grace he can see the lies contained in the non-Catholic sources and reject them while embracing the truths contained in them. To enter the Catholic Church, he would then have to know and believe all the basic dogmas of the Catholic Church; reject and condemn the false sect, religion, or church he adheres to and its rulers and heresies that he knows about; make a profession of the Catholic faith; and get baptized if he was not baptized or was invalidly baptized.

“After he enters the Catholic Church, he must continue to learn the Catholic faith, which includes the deeper dogmas he must know by necessity of precept and other deeper dogmas when necessary. As long as he is inculpably ignorant of any deeper dogma, even the ones he must know as a necessity of precept (such as the deeper dogma of the sacrament of extreme unction), he is still Catholic.” (For more details, see RJMI article “The Seven Necessary Things to Be Catholic.”)

6/27/12 – New RJMI article “History of the Solemn and Ordinary Magisterium” in the “RJMI Catholic Articles” menu:

- **History of the Solemn and Ordinary Magisterium**

This article gives a concise history and explanation of the Catholic Church’s solemn and ordinary magisterium. It shows how the ordinary magisterium is all the dogmas on faith and morals that were taught by the unanimous consensus of the Church Fathers. The twelve apostles were the first Church Fathers and thus their unanimous teachings on faith and morals are infallible and were the first dogmas of the Catholic faith. It also shows how on Pentecost Sunday the dogma of the ordinary magisterium was first promulgated and then the ordinary magisterium promulgated the dogma of the solemn magisterium, which is the dogma of papal infallibility. The ordinary magisterium had to be exercised first because St. Peter, the first pope, did not make solemn definitions as soon as the Catholic Church was made public on Pentecost Sunday but only when necessary either because an ordinary or solemn magisterium dogma was being significantly doubted or denied or a dispute needed to be settled regarding an allowable opinion, such as whether or not circumcision should still be retained under the New Covenant. For a more in-depth teaching, see RJMI book *The*

Magisterium of the Catholic Church, which will be posted soon. This article is taken from one of the chapters in that book.

6/27/12 – New RJMI audio lecture “History of the Solemn and Ordinary Magisterium” in the “RJMI Audio Lectures” menu:

- **History of the Solemn and Ordinary Magisterium** (5/28/12)

This lecture is an audio version of RJMI article “History of the Solemn and Ordinary Magisterium.” For description, see above. (Go to the “Picture Gallery of Saint John the Baptist Website Audios” to see the chart mentioned in this lecture.)

6/15/12 – Additions to RJMI book *Basic Dogmas*:

- **Additions** (the additions are underlined)

Jesus gave the apostles all of the basic supernatural dogmas

...All the basic dogmas are taught to catechumens before they can be baptized and are contained in the baptismal ritual, which consists of the Apostles’ Creed, the Lord’s Prayer, the Renunciation of Satan, the Profession of Faith (triple interrogatory), the baptismal matter and form, and the sacrament of baptism.

Holy Office:

A Commentary on the New Code of Canon Law, by Rev. P. Chas. Augustine, O.S.B., D.D., 1920, Commentary on Canon 752: “Adults who are physically and mentally normal cannot be baptized except with their own knowledge and free will and after having been duly instructed and exhorted to be sorry for their sins. This law is based upon the necessity of cooperation in the work of salvation. The Popes have frequently admonished missionaries to instruct candidates before Baptism, if need be by native ministers, and not to admit anyone to this Sacrament unless he were well instructed and imbued with Christian manners. This rule applies to all, including negroes and other infidels who are capable of embracing the Christian religion. No one is to be baptized or abandoned after Baptism has been administered before he knows the truths necessary for salvation. Old people whose memory is failing may be baptized if they give assurance of their belief and profess it. Savages and nomads may be baptized if their mode of life does not conflict with religion or morality. Deaf-mutes may be baptized if they give some signs of religious knowledge. Now-a-days there are adequate means of instructing these unfortunates, and conclusive methods of ascertaining a person’s religious training. Concerning the extent of this instruction, the Roman Ritual demands that neophytes ‘be carefully instructed in the Christian faith and holy manners.’ By Christian faith are to be understood the principal mysteries, i.e., those which must be believed *necessitate medii*, viz.: the Blessed Trinity and the Incarnation. Express belief in our Lord Jesus Christ is specifically mentioned in one decision of the Holy Office. The Apostles’ Creed, which is enjoined in an

Instruction of the S. C. Prop. Fide, contains the principal mysteries of the faith.”

Complete List of All the Basic Dogmas as a Profession of Faith

3. I believe in the basic dogma of the Incarnation, which states that God the Son, Jesus Christ, became man in the womb of the Virgin Mary by the power of God the Holy Spirit. Hence, from the instant of the Incarnation Jesus Christ is both God and man and thus has two natures, the uncreated nature of God from all eternity and the created nature of man from the Blessed Virgin Mary. And even though Jesus has two natures, He is one Divine Person.

7. I believe that natural laws are basic dogmas because they are written in the hearts of all men. One of the natural laws enables men to detect and reject all false religions and false gods.

6/5/12 - New website link added to “Holocaust Conspiracy” page:

- **Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust**, by Bradley Smith

The link is: <http://www.codoh.com>. This site contains many informative books, articles, and videos exposing the phony holocaust.

6/2/12 - New RJMI video and audio lecture:

- **Against Saint Benedict’s Preparatory School (4/2012)**

This video exposes the apostate, idolatrous, and heretical Saint Benedict’s Prep in Newark, NJ, and Fr. Edwin Leahy, its headmaster. And it exposes the apostate, idolatrous, and heretical Abbot Melvin Valvano and the other so-called Benedictines, the Newark Abby Oblates, who are associated with the school. This is just more evil fruit from the rotten-to-the-core Vatican II Church.

5/9/12 - New video added to “Holocaust Conspiracy” menu:

- **The Last Days of the Big Lie**, by Eric Hunt

This documentary exposes Steven Spielberg’s “The Last Days” as a litany of lying “witnesses” of the phony holocaust.

5/9/12 - New video added to “Holocaust Conspiracy” menu:

- **Off Your Knees, Germany!**, by Soaring Eagles Studios

This documentary shows how the Judeo-Masonic controlled governments in Canada, the United States, Germany, Israel, and elsewhere persecuted, kidnapped, and imprisoned Ernst Zündel because he publicly and zealously told the truth about the Holocaust Hoax.

5/19/12 – Revision to RJMI book *Baptized Non-Catholic Infants and Children*:

- **Revision:**

In the previous version I held the allowable opinion that once an infant is baptized into the Catholic Church it cannot fall outside the Catholic Church as an infant even if its Catholic guardian becomes a non-Catholic and exteriorly intends that his infant also be a non-Catholic. That is St. Augustine's opinion. However, I now hold the allowable opinion that this baptized Catholic infant *does* fall outside the Catholic Church, an opinion held by St. Cyprian. This opinion is consistent with the dogma that a baptized Catholic infant gets its belief and faith from its Catholic guardian so that it is truly called a believer and one of the faithful even though it cannot make an act of faith on its own. Likewise, a baptized non-Catholic infant gets its belief and faith from its non-Catholic guardian and hence is truly an unbeliever and not of the faithful. Therefore if a baptized Catholic infant's guardian becomes a non-Catholic, such as a Protestant, and then exteriorly intends that his infant also be a non-Catholic, then that baptized Catholic infant falls outside the Catholic Church and thus becomes a baptized non-Catholic infant. (See in this book "The Allowable Opinion That Baptized Infants Get Their Faith from the Exterior Intention of Their Guardians," p. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**)

- **Deleted** the following parts:

"St. Augustine teaches that a Catholic who denies a deeper dogma that has not been taught to him is not guilty of the mortal sin of heresy because he adheres to the Catholic Church. Conversely, he teaches that a non-Catholic who denies the same deeper dogma is guilty of the mortal sin of heresy with no excuse for ignorance because he adheres to a heretical or schismatic Church and thus is outside the Catholic Church:

"St. Augustine, *On Baptism* (Against the Donatists), Book 4, Chapter 16: "...Let us therefore put the two cases in this way. Let us suppose that the one, for the sake of argument, held the same opinions as Photinus about Christ and was baptized in his heresy outside the communion of the Catholic Church; and that another held the same opinion but was baptized in the Catholic Church, believing that his view was really the Catholic faith. I consider him as not yet a heretic unless when the doctrine of the Catholic faith is made clear to him he chooses to resist it and prefers that which he already holds; and till this is the case, it is clear that he who was baptized outside is the worse. And so in the one case erroneous opinion alone, in the other the sin of schism also requires correction..."

“Hence St. Augustine teaches that a baptized non-Catholic who denies or doubts any dogma is a formal heretic because he is outside the Catholic Church; whereas, a Catholic who inculpably doubts or denies a deeper dogma is only a material heretic because he is inside the Catholic Church.”

For the true interpretation of this passage by St. Augustine, see RJMI book *Heresy and Heretics*: “Baptized men who do not know or believe all the basic dogmas are formal heretics: The allowable opinion that they are not formal heretics but are not in the way of salvation” and “Baptized men who adhere to non-Catholic sects are formal schismatics and formal heretics: The allowable opinion that they are not all formal heretics.”

5/18/12 – Correction of RJMI book *Basic Dogmas*:

- **Correction:**

It has come to my attention that John Cassian (c. 360-c. 435) was not a saint and, even worse, he was a semi-pelagianist heretic. Hence he cannot be a Church Father. He and his works were condemned by Pope Gelasius I (492-496) in 495 AD:

Pope Gelasius I (492-496), Epistle 42, Decretal of Gelasius, *de recipiendis et non recipiendis libris*, 495 AD: “V. The remaining writings which have been compiled or been recognised by heretics or schismatics the Catholic and Apostolic Roman Church does not in any way receive; of these we have thought it right to cite below a few which have been handed down and which are to be avoided by Catholics:

“the works of Cassian the Gallic priest...

“These and those similar ones... and what also all disciples of heresy and of the heretics and schismatics, whose names we have scarcely preserved, have taught or compiled, we acknowledge is to be not merely rejected but eliminated from the whole Roman Catholic and Apostolic Church and with their authors and the followers of its authors to be damned in the inextricable shackles of anathema forever.”

Hence I have changed the title “Church Father St. John Cassian” to “The Heretic John Cassian.”

5/9/12 – New pictures from 2012 added to “Picture Gallery of MLR Good Friday Processions” on the “Pictures” menu.

5/9/12 – New video added to “Holocaust Conspiracy” menu:

- **Auschwitz: The Surprising Hidden Truth**, by Anonymous

This documentary shows how the buildings at Auschwitz where the so-called

Holocaust of Jews took place could not have been used for that purpose.

5/5/12 – New RJMI video and audio lecture:

- **Black Criminals, Racists, and Radicals** (4/2012)

This lecture addresses the black criminals, racists, and radicals throughout the United States. It also exposes the civil rights leaders like Jesse Jackson and Al Sharpton. This lecture shows the hypocrisy of people who refuse to address crimes by blacks; namely, the Newark Riots, the Rodney King Riots, and street crimes by blacks in general.

4/25/12 – New RJMI book *Basic Dogmas* in “RJMI Catholic Books” menu:

- **Basic Dogmas**, by RJMI

This book shows that the Apostles’ Creed and the other basic dogmas were formulated by the apostles before Pentecost Sunday, before they began to preach the gospel to all nations. It shows how all catechumens had to memorize the Apostles’ Creed and the Lord’s Prayer and how and why the Apostles’ Creed was not committed to writing but only handed down orally and only to be shared with catechumens and Catholics. This was known as the Discipline of the Secret. This book also contains a complete list in one page of all the basic dogmas that Catholics must know and believe to be saved.

4/25/12 – Major revision with corrections to RJMI book *Heresy and Heretics*:

- **Revisions and Corrections:**

The April 2012 version of *Heresy and Heretics* is a major revision of the previous version. In this version I correct my former opinion that material heretics incur the penalty of automatic excommunication. My new opinion is that material heretics are not automatically excommunicated but must be *presumed* to be automatically excommunicated until they prove their innocence due to inculpable ignorance in doubting or denying a deeper dogma. (See in this book “Material heretics are not excommunicated but must be presumed to be excommunicated,” p. 99.)

I defend better my opinion that only Catholics can be material heretics. (See in this book “Only Catholics can be material heretics,” p. 61.)

I present better my allowable opinion that nominal Catholics who doubt or deny basic dogmas due to invincible ignorance are nevertheless formal heretics and thus not Catholic. The other opinion is that they are not formal heretics. But for this latter

opinion to be allowable and not heretical, it must hold the ordinary magisterium dogma that these invincibly ignorant so-called Catholics who do not know all of the basic dogmas are not in the way of salvation. It is an ordinary magisterium dogma that all the basic dogmas must be known and believed to be saved and thus with no excuse for ignorance. (See in this book "Baptized men who do not know or believe all the basic dogmas are formal heretics," p. 33.)

I still hold the opinion that baptized men who adhere to non-Catholic sects, such as Protestants, or to no sect at all are formal heretics for every dogma they doubt or deny, be it a basic or a deeper dogma. And I added a new opinion of mine that they are formal heretics by their adherence to a non-Catholic sect or to no sect, which is a mortal sin against the First Commandment and hence against the Catholic faith. Whatever allowable opinion one holds regarding these baptized men, whether all of them are formal heretics or some of them are material heretics, one must hold both the solemn magisterium dogma that they are outside the Catholic Church and hence on the road to hell and also the ordinary magisterium dogma that they are formal schismatics. If one does not hold both of these dogmas, he is a heretic. I show that St. Augustine believed that some of these baptized non-Catholics, such as Protestants, could be material heretics but he held the dogma that they are nevertheless outside the Catholic Church as formal schismatics and thus on the road to hell. (See in this book "Baptized men who adhere to non-Catholic sects are formal schismatics and formal heretics," p. 40.)

I teach more clearly how an occult heretic is a secret heretic in three ways: 1) his heresy (offense) is secret; 2) his heresy is public but his identity is secret; or 3) his heresy and identity are public but his guilt is secret. An occult heretic whose heresy is secret is a materialiter occult heretic because his heretical offense is secret. And an occult heretic whose heresy is public but his identity or his guilt (culpability) is not public is a formaliter occult heretic because his "imputability is not public." (See in this book "Occult Heretics," p. 64.)

I changed my opinion from a public heretic cannot hold offices in the Catholic Church to a public *formal* heretic cannot hold offices in the Catholic Church, which I mention a few times in this book. The reason is that a Catholic who is a material heretic does not actually defect from the Catholic faith. Only Catholics who become formal heretics defect from the Catholic faith. And if their defection is public (that is, if they are public formal heretics, also known as notorious heretics), they cannot obtain offices – Pope Paul IV, *Cum ex apostolatus officio*. And if they hold offices, they automatically lose them – Canon 188, n. 4. (See RJMI book *Loss of Office: Public Formal Heretics Cannot Hold Offices*.)

- **Correction** to “Canon 731 bans formal and material heretics from receiving sacraments”

My old opinion was that Canon 731’s heretics who err in good faith were only Catholics who are material heretics. But the Canon says that these heretics who err in good faith need to be reconciled with the Catholic Church and thus refers to non-Catholics who are material heretics, an opinion I do not agree with. I believe only Catholics can be material heretics. But Canon 731 still upholds the Salvation Dogma for teaching that these non-Catholic heretics who err in good faith are nevertheless outside the Catholic Church because they need to be reconciled to the Catholic Church. And even Catholics who err in good faith, Catholics who are material heretics, are banned from receiving the sacraments until they prove their inculpable ignorance in doubting or denying a deeper dogma. Hence I corrected this section to reflect the true interpretation of Canon 731.

4/16/12 – New RJMI video and audio lecture:

- **Men Must Believe in the Catholic Jesus to Be Saved** (Easter 2012)

This lecture teaches through Bible verses that men must believe in the Catholic Jesus in order to be saved.

3/29/12 – New website link added to “Heliocentrism Conspiracy” menu:

- **Galileo Was Wrong: The Church Was Right**, by Robert Sungenis, Ph.D.

The link is: <http://galileowaswrong.blogspot.com>. This site contains extensive scientific evidence in defense of the ordinary magisterium dogma of Geocentrism.

3/29/12 – A correction was made to RJMI book *Against the Heretic Thomas More* and RJMI letter “On Popes Promoting Heretics” and other RJMI works:

- **Correction:**

I corrected my erroneous opinion that Pope Honorius I was gravely suspect of heresy to the infallible truth that he was a formal heretic. Below is a quote from my soon-to-be-released major revision of my book *Heresy and Heretics*:

“After his death Pope Honorius I was infallibly denounced and sentenced as a formal heretic by a declaratory sentence from Pope St. Leo II in the Third Council of Constantinople in 681 AD:

Third Council of Constantinople, 680-681 AD: "Exposition of Faith. This pious and orthodox creed of the divine favour was enough for a complete knowledge of the orthodox faith and a complete assurance therein. But since from the first, the contriver of evil did not rest, finding an accomplice in the serpent and through him bringing upon human nature the poisoned dart of death, so too now he has found instruments suited to his own purpose – namely Theodore, who was bishop of Pharan, Sergius, Pyrrhus, Paul and Peter, who were bishops of this imperial city, and further Honorius, who was pope of elder Rome, Cyrus, who held the see of Alexandria, and Macarius, who was recently bishop of Antioch, and his disciple Stephen—and has not been idle in raising through them obstacles of error against the full body of the Church sowing with novel speech among the orthodox people the heresy of a single will and a single principle of action in the two natures of the one member of the holy Trinity Christ our true God, a heresy in harmony with the evil belief, ruinous to the mind, of the impious Apollinarius, Severus and Themistius... We foresaw that, together with them, also Honorius, before Pope of Old Rome, is cast out of the Holy Catholic Church of God and anathematized, for we have found by his writings sent to [the heretic] Sergius, that he followed the thinking of the latter in everything, and continued his impious principles. ...To Sergius, the heretic, anathema! To Cyrus, the heretic, anathema! To Honorius, the heretic, anathema!"

"This infallible declaratory sentence decreed that Pope Honorius I was a formal heretic. And by this declaratory sentence, he was branded as a public formal heretic by notoriety of law. However, when he was alive he was an alleged formal heretic and a formaliter occult heretic because his heresy of denying a deeper dogma and his identity were public but his guilt, his culpability, was secret. Hence he did not automatically lose his office because when he was alive he was not a public formal heretic."

In my upcoming book *Loss of Office*, I will refute the liars, excuse fabricators, and papolaters (Cardinal Robert Bellarmine being one of the main criminals in this regard) who have deceived many, myself included, by teaching that Pope Honorius I was not a heretic.

3/17/12 – New RJMI article "Death Penalty in Catholic States for Obstinate Dangerous Heretics" in "RJMI Catholic Articles" menu.

3/17/12 – Additions to RJMI book *Bad Books on Salvation*:

- Additions:

Fr. Michael Muller, 1825-1899, (C2 (possibly C1) D1)

The Catholic Dogma, 1888 (C2 (possibly C1) D1)

Title: *The Catholic Dogma*, 1888

Author: Fr. Michael Müller, C.S.S.R.

Imp.: Permissu Superiorum, Elias Frederick Schauer, 1888

Pub.: Benzinger Brothers

While the heretic Fr. Michael Muller defends the Salvation Dogma as a dogma in most of his book *The Catholic Dogma*, in one part he presents it as only a probable opinion and in several parts he presents the denial of the dogma as an allowable opinion and not as heresy. Nor in the whole of his book does he denounce as heretics the men he is refuting who deny the Salvation Dogma nor condemn as heresy their heretical beliefs. Hence Fr. Muller contradicts himself and undermines his whole defense of the Salvation Dogma. He did the same thing that the heretic Fr. Clifford Fenton did when refuting the salvation heretics while being a salvation heretic himself. (See RJMI book *Bad Popes, Heretical Books, and the Salvation Heresy*.) Fr. Muller was infected with the heresies that all theologians of his day held in order to be theologians or to remain theologians and to get imprimaturs for their books. They were guilty of the heresy of non-judgmentalism, the heresy of non-punishmentalism, and the heresy of using probabilism with dogmas. Fr. Muller held the heresy of non-judgmentalism, which forbids so-called Catholics to either condemn heresy as heresy or denounce heretics as heretics. And Fr. Muller held the heresy of using probabilism with dogmas by presenting the dogma only as a probable opinion and by not denouncing those who deny the dogma as heretics or their heretical teachings as heresy.

Footnote 1: Probabilism allows a Catholic to weigh the different opinions of theologians and hold the most probable opinion. It is allowed when it is used with disciplinary laws and doctrines on faith and morals that do not belong to the solemn, ordinary, or natural magisterium. However, it is heresy when it is used with the dogmas of the solemn, ordinary, or natural magisterium. This heresy allows so-called Catholics to doubt or deny dogmas and escape condemnation, denunciation, and punishment as long as they can produce one so-called Catholic theologian who doubts or denies the dogma. This heresy makes it impossible to know what a dogma really means when theologians forward opposing opinions as to its meaning. It places theologians above dogmas and thus places the theologians and not the Catholic Church's Magisterium as the ultimate source of truth on faith and morals. According to this heresy no pope can infallibly settle the dispute among the theologians because as soon as the pope makes an infallible definition the theologians are free again to forward different opinions as to what the pope meant and Catholics are allowed to believe whatever theologian they choose. If there is a legitimate dispute as to what a dogma means, then only the pope can infallibly settle it, not the theologians. This heresy depends upon unvigilant and evil popes and bishops who allow heresy and heretics to prosper within the ranks of the Catholic Church because they do not denounce and punish the heretics or condemn and ban their heretical works and thus allow the heretics and their heretical works to remain in good standing in the Catholic Church.

In his book *The Catholic Dogma*, the heretic Fr. Michael Muller quotes and defends the

salvation heretic Alphonsus de Liguori's heresy. Alphonsus denied the Salvation Dogma by teaching that it is an allowable and probable opinion to believe that men can be saved during the New Covenant era without explicit belief in the Most Holy Trinity and the Incarnation, even though Alphonsus did not personally hold this belief, just as Fr. Michael Muller did not personally hold this belief. (See RJMI book *Bad Books on Salvation: Alphonsus de Liguori*):

The Catholic Dogma, by Fr. Michael Muller, Chapter 1, Section 2, The Infallible and Only True Guide to Heaven: " 'Some theologians,' says St. Alphonsus, 'hold that the belief of the two other articles – the Incarnation of the Son of God, and the Trinity of Persons – is strictly commanded but not necessary, as a means without which salvation is impossible; so that a person inculpably ignorant of them may be saved. But according to the more common and truer opinion, the explicit belief of these articles is necessary as a means without which no adult can be saved.' (First Command. No. 8.)"

Hence even though the heretics Alphonsus and Muller did not believe that men could be saved without explicit belief in the Incarnation and the Most Holy Trinity, they only believed this as "the more common and truer opinion." Hence they present the opposite opinion, the denial of the dogma (the heresy), as an allowable opinion and even a true one but not as true as their opinion. That is the heretical use of probabilism at its best or, should I say, at its worst.

Also in his book *The Catholic Dogma*, Fr. Muller presents a heretical quote from the salvation heretic Lacroix and does not condemn it as heresy nor denounce Lacroix as a heretic:

The Catholic Dogma, by Fr. Michael Muller, Part 2, Section 6, pp. 166-167: "It can hardly be doubted that, amongst Protestants, many are only material heretics. Reiffenstuel gives this as his opinion regarding great numbers amongst the mass of heretics. The same is the opinion of Lacroix, and several other authors cited by him, with regard to the Protestants of Germany; and what is true of them is equally true of Protestants in other countries. 'Some of them,' he says, 'are so simple, or so prejudiced by the teaching of their ministers, that they are persuaded of the truth of their own religion, and at the same time so sincere and conscientious, that, if they knew it to be false, they would at once embrace ours. Such as these are not formal, but only material heretics; and that there are many such is testified by numbers of confessors in Germany and authors of the greatest experience.'

" 'What is most deplorable in their case,' says Lacroix, 'is that, should they fall into any other mortal sin, as may *very easily* happen to such persons, (because without special grace it is impossible to keep the commandments,) they are deprived of the grace of the principal sacraments, and are *commonly* lost, not on account of *material* heresy, but on account of other sins they have committed, and from which they are not freed by the sacrament of penance, which does not exist amongst them; nor by an act of contrition or perfect charity, which they commonly do not attend to, or think of eliciting (to say nothing of the very great difficulty such men would have in doing so, thinking they are justified by faith alone and trust in Christ; and by this accursed confidence they are miserably lost.' (Lacroix, Lib. ii. n. 94.)"

Hence the salvation heretic Lacroix, as well as Reiffenstuel, believed in the heresy that baptized men who die adhering to non-Catholic sects, such as Protestants, can be saved if they lived a moral life. Not only is this a denial of the Salvation Dogma that outside the Catholic Church there is no salvation but it is also a denial of the dogma that men cannot be saved by living a moral life alone, which all men can do by the natural law, but they must also believe in the true God, the Catholic God, and adhere to and obey His true Church, the Catholic Church:

Pope Gregory XVI, *Mirari Vos*, 1832: "13. This perverse opinion is spread on all sides by the fraud of the wicked who claim that it is possible to obtain the eternal salvation of the soul by the profession of any kind of religion, as long as morality is maintained."

Now Fr. Muller, a few pages later, refutes Lacroix's belief but never condemns it as heresy nor denounces Lacroix as a heretic and thus presents him as a credible Catholic theologian:

The Catholic Dogma, Fr. Michael Muller, Part 2, Section 6, pp. 173-174: "However corrupted our nature is by sin, yet there are few or none of the seed of Adam, who have not certain good natural dispositions, some being more inclined to one virtue, some to another. Thus some are of a humane, benevolent disposition; some tender-hearted and compassionate towards others in distress; some just and upright in their dealings; some temperate and sober; some mild and patient; some also have natural feelings of devotion, and of reverence for the Supreme Being. Now, all such good natural dispositions of themselves are far from being Christian virtues, and are altogether incapable of bringing a man to heaven. They indeed make him who has them agreeable to men, and procure him esteem and regard from those with whom he lives; but they are of no avail before God with regard to eternity. To be convinced of this, we need only observe that good natural dispositions of this kind are found in Mahometans, Jews, and heathens, as well as among Christians; yet no Christian can suppose that a Mahometan, Jew, or heathen, who dies in that state, will obtain the kingdom of heaven by means of these virtues.

"...All this proves that none of the above good dispositions of nature are capable in themselves of bringing any man to heaven. And the reason is, because 'there is no other name given to men under heaven by which we can be saved, but the name of Jesus only,' (Acts iv. 12); therefore, no good works whatsoever, performed through the good dispositions of nature only, can ever be crowned by God with eternal happiness. To obtain this glorious reward, our good works must be sanctified by the blood of Jesus, and become Christian virtues. Now, if we search the Holy Scriptures, we find two conditions absolutely required to make our good works agreeable to God, and conducive to our salvation. *First*, that we be united to Jesus Christ by true faith, which is the root and foundation of all Christian virtues; for St. Paul expressly says, 'Without faith it is impossible to please God.' (Heb. xi. 6.) Observe the word *impossible*; he does not say it is difficult, but that it is *impossible*. Let, therefore, a man have ever so many good natural dispositions, and be as charitable, devout, and mortified as the Pharisees were, yet if he have not true faith in Jesus Christ, he cannot enter into the kingdom of heaven.

"...Hence it is manifest that those who die in a false religion, however

unexceptionable may be their moral conduct in the eyes of men, yet, as they have not the true faith in Christ, and are not in charity with him, they are not in the way of salvation; for nothing can avail us in Christ but 'faith that works by charity.' (Gal. v. 6.)"

Fr. Muller's heresies, then, is non-judgmentalism and the heretical use of probabilism, which caused him to deny the very Salvation Dogma he pretends to uphold. He does not condemn Lacroix's heresy that baptized Protestants can be in the way of salvation if they live a moral life. And he does not denounce Lacroix as a heretic. Consequently the heretic Fr. Muller portrays Lacroix as a credible theologian and hence presents Lacroix's opinion as a probable and allowable opinion, which is the heretical use of probabilism, heretical non-judgmentalism, and heresy for denying the Salvation Dogma. And the heretic Fr. Michael Muller does the same thing in the below quote when he refers to heresy only as an "erroneous opinion" and does not condemn the author of the heresy as a heretic:

The Catholic Dogma, Fr. Michael Muller, Part 2, Section 6, pp. 202-203: "We were surprised to find the following erroneous opinion in a little work, *Catholic Belief*, page 230, § 7:

'Catholics do not believe that Protestants who are baptized, who lead a good life, love God and their neighbor, and are *blamelessly* ignorant of the just claims of the Catholic religion to be the only one true Religion (which is called being in *good faith*), are excluded from Heaven, provided they believe that there is one God in three Divine Persons; that God will duly reward the good and punish the wicked; that Jesus Christ is the son of God made man, who redeemed us, and in whom we must trust for our salvation; and provided they thoroughly repent of having ever, by their sins, offended God. Catholics hold that Protestants who have these dispositions, and who have no suspicion of their religion being false, and no means to discover, or fail in their honest endeavors to discover, the true religion, and who are so disposed in their heart that they would *at any cost* embrace the Roman Catholic Religion if they knew it to be the true one, *are Catholics in spirit* and in some sense within the Catholic Church, without themselves knowing it. She holds that these Christians belong to, and are united to the 'soul,' as it is called, of the Catholic Church, although they are not united to the visible *body* of the Church by external communion with her, and by the outward profession of her faith.'

"How deceptively is not this opinion put?"

And the heretic Fr. Muller does the same thing in the below quote but instead of calling heresy an opinion he calls it an "absurd assertion" and does not condemn the author of the heresy as a heretic:

The Catholic Dogma, Fr. Michael Muller, Part 2, Section 6, p. 182: "But, continues the Rev. A. Young,

'as I was a baptized Christian, I did not, neither could I, lose the *capacity* to make *meritorious* acts of *divine* faith, no matter whether I made them or not; no matter what I believed or disbelieved as I grew up; no matter whether I

became a Protestant, Jew, Mahomedan, or infidel. I will be a baptized Christian for all eternity, because the indelible mark of baptism cannot be taken out of my soul. In this case I was capable of making meritorious acts of divine faith.'

"What stupid and most absurd assertion this! Is it possible that a priest can be so ignorant as to assert what no well instructed Catholic child would assert!"

That is about the roughest that the non-judgmentalist heretic Fr. Michael Muller treats the notorious heretics he refutes in his book, while not once denouncing them as heretics or condemning their teachings as heresy. Yet he has the nerve to call his book *The Catholic Dogma*. To be less dishonest, he should have called it *The Catholic Opinion*.

R. P. Claudio Lacroix, 1652-1714, (C3-D1)

Theologia Moralis, 1707 (C3-D1)

Title: *Theologia Moralis*, 1707

Author: R. P. Claudio La Croix, S.J.

Imp.: Cum Privilegio Sacrae Cesareae Majestatis Speciali, & Superiorum permissa, Anno 1707

Pub.: Bibliopolan, an der hoher Schmidt

Claudio Lacroix, *Theologia Moralis*, Liber II, n. 94: "Some of them [Protestants] are so simple, or so prejudiced by the teaching of their ministers, that they are persuaded of the truth of their own religion, and at the same time so sincere and conscientious, that, if they knew it to be false, they would at once embrace ours. Such as these are not formal, but only material heretics; and that there are many such is testified by numbers of confessors in Germany and authors of the greatest experience. What is most deplorable in their case is that, should they fall into any other mortal sin, as may *very easily* happen to such persons, (because without special grace it is impossible to keep the commandments,) they are deprived of the grace of the principal sacraments, and are *commonly* lost, not on account of *material* heresy, but on account of other sins they have committed, and from which they are not freed by the sacrament of penance, which does not exist amongst them; nor by an act of contrition or perfect charity, which they commonly do not attend to, or think of eliciting (to say nothing of the very great difficulty such men would have in doing so, thinking they are justified by faith alone and trust in Christ; and by this accursed confidence they are miserably lost."

Bishop Alexander MacDonald, 1858-1941, (C1-D1)

The Apostolic Authorship of the Symbol, 1903 (C1, 1-D1)

Title: *The Apostolic Authorship of the Symbol*, 1903

Author: Bishop Alexander MacDonald, D.D., V.G.

Pub.: *American Ecclesiastical Review*, Volume 28

Title: *The Symbol of the Apostles*, 1903

Author: Bishop Alexander MacDonald, D.D., V.G.

N.O.: Remigius Lafort, S.T.L. Censor

Imp.: +John Cameron, Bishop of Antigonish; +Joannes M. Farley, D.D.,
Archbishop of New York, August 3, 1903

Pub.: New York, Christian Press Association Publishing Company, 26 Barclay
Street, 1903

Bishop Alexander MacDonald, *The Apostolic Authorship of the Symbol and Symbol of the Apostles*, 1903: "An unbaptized person in good faith, who should have only attrition for his sins, would obtain the forgiveness of them by receiving Holy Communion from the hands of the Church." (Part 4, p. 444) (Chapter 4, section 3, p. 137.)

There are several heresies in this one statement: 1) the salvation heresy because he has an unbaptized pagan having his sins remitted as a pagan and thus being in the way of salvation; 2) the heresy that non-Catholics are allowed to receive the sacrament of Holy Communion; 3) the heresy that Holy Communion remits original sin and mortal sin; and 4) the heresy and sacrilege that men can receive Holy Communion when they are in a state of original sin or mortal sin. It is dogma that men must be in a state of grace to receive Holy Communion and that Holy Communion remits venial sins but not mortal sins or original sin. The smarter these intellectual heretics are, the more stupid, foolish, and absurd they are. "*Be not more wise than is necessary, lest thou become stupid.*" (Ectes. 7:17)

3/3/12 – Addition to "Victims of the Jews and Zionists" section on the RJMI "Zionism Conspiracy" page:

- **Norman Finkelstein**

Finkelstein exposes Zionist Israel's hypocrisy of not really working for a two-state solution with the Palestinians and of their unjust persecution of the Palestinians and the stealing of their land.

In this debate Finkelstein exposes the lies, frauds, and deceptions contained in Dershowitz's book *The Case for Israel*.

Finkelstein tells how Dershowitz tried to block the publication of his book *Beyond Chutzpah*, in which he shows how Dershowitz misrepresents the facts regarding Israeli human-rights violations. And the Wikipedia article on Finkelstein says that "Alan Dershowitz actively campaigned to block Finkelstein's tenure bid."

Finkelstein shows that many of the Zionist Jews present themselves as victims as an excuse to unjustly victimize other people and steal from them. He also shows how they use the so-called Holocaust and so-called anti-semitism to bribe and victimize the Gentiles.

One hypocrisy of Finkelstein's that needs to be mentioned is that he believes in the

Holocaust, which proves that he himself is not really interested in the whole truth and nothing but the truth. Nevertheless, I hope his defense of some of the truth will gain him grace from the Catholic God so that he will first stop being an atheist and then believe in the Catholic God and enter the true Catholic Church to have a hope to save his immortal soul. Unless he does that, he will go down in history as just one more lying Jew, even though other Jews lied more and better than he. And worst of all, he will be damned to eternal hell where he will suffer everlasting pain. And who knows, maybe the Jew who lies more and better than Finkelstein, such as Alan Dershowitz, may end up converting into the Catholic Church and saving his soul and thus no longer be a lying Jew while Finkelstein ends up in hell along with all the other lying Jews. All who are in hell, devils and Jews and Gentiles, are liars.

2/9/12 - New RJMI video and audio lecture:

- **God's Punishments: 2011** (1/2012)

This lecture addresses some of God's punishments that He sent upon the world throughout 2011. This last year set a record for natural disasters, not only in the USA but around the whole world. The Catholic God is punishing the world to help good-willed people repent and convert before He totally destroys the world by fire.

2/9/12 - Addition to "Victims of the Jews and Zionists" section on the RJMI "Zionism Conspiracy" page:

- **Patricia McAllister**

Patricia McAllister is a former LAUSD school teacher. She was fired for correctly denouncing Zionist Jewish bankers during an interview with reason.tv.

1/31/12 - New RJMI video and audio lecture:

- **God Punishes Sinners and Sinful Nations** (Christmas 2011)

This lecture gives an account of the many ways God punishes sinners and sinful nations. It contains clips from movies which also demonstrate God's ways of punishing. There is only one true God, the Catholic God, who is all merciful – but also all just.

1/24/12 - Revisions and corrections made to RJMI audios:

- **Indelible Mark and the Immaculate Conception** (Alr2)

This audio was revised on January 24, 2012. The revision corrects my former opinion

that it is a dogma that the indelible mark and membership in the Catholic Church can only be had by baptism of water. My current position is this is not a dogma because no pope has infallibly defined that baptism of water is the only way to get the indelible mark and membership in the Catholic Church. Hence it is an allowable opinion that baptism of blood or desire for catechumens can give the indelible mark and membership in the Catholic Church, even though I do not believe in the allowable opinion of baptism of blood or desire. See RJMI book *Baptism Controversy Revision*.

- **A Call for Children to Convert** (Asr223)

Minor revisions have been made to this sermon on January 24, 2012.

- **Four of the Root Causes of the Great Apostasy** (Asr144)

This audio was revised on January 24, 2012. The revisions consist of the removal of the title of saint from Bonaventure because he was a scholastic, a Theophilosopher, and an addition that Pope Honorius I was infallibly declared to be a heretic at the Third Council of Constantinople by Pope Saint Leo II.

- **The Evil Renaissance, Theophilosophy, and More** (Asr139)

This audio was revised on January 24, 2012. I corrected the parts in which I said a pope loses his office if he is a public heretic to he loses his office if he is publicly defects from the Catholic faith.

- **True Meaning of "Ask and You Shall Receive"** (Asr39)

This audio was revised on January 24, 2012. I deleted references to the heretic Alphonsus de Liguori.

- **Preparation for Lent and On Exterior Mortification** (Asr15)

This audio was revised on January 24, 2012. I deleted references to the heretic Thomas Aquinas and to the pagan Seneca.

1/4/12 - New RJMI audio sermon:

- **On False Consolations** (Asr224)

In this sermon I read the chapter "False consolations are an operation of error from God" from my book *Faith before the Mass and the Sacraments*, and I make additional comments.



Mary's Little Remnant

302 East Joffre Street

Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901-2878

Website: www.JohnTheBaptist.us

(Send for a free catalog)