

# Against the St. Benedict Center



R. J. M. I.

By

The Precious Blood of Jesus Christ,  
The Grace of the God of the Holy Catholic Church,  
The Mediation of the Blessed Virgin Mary,  
Our Lady of Good Counsel and Crusher of Heretics,  
The Protection of Saint Joseph, Patriarch of the Holy Family,  
The Intercession of Saint Michael the Archangel  
and the cooperation of

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

To Jesus through Mary

*Judica me Deus, et discerne causam meam de gente non sancta  
as homine iniquo et doloso erue me*

Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam

Original version: 1/2000; Current version: 7/2006

**Mary's Little Remnant**

302 East Joffre St.

TorC, NM 87901-2878

Website: [www.JohnTheBaptist.us](http://www.JohnTheBaptist.us)

(Send for a free catalog)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ST. BENEDICT CENTER’S CALUMNY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
IS JOHN PAUL II AN ANTIPOPE WHO IS TEACHING MANIFEST HERESY? .....	5
<b>REFUTATION OF FR. BRIAN HARRISON AND ST. BENEDICT CENTER.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<i>Faith Before All Else .....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Fr. Harrison Misinterprets Canon 188.4 on Tacit Resignation of Office .....</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Fr. Harrison Nullifies Cum Ex Apostolatus by Twisting Pope Pius XII’s Words.....</i>	<i>14</i>
<b>BR. FRANCIS MALUF’S GOD.....</b>	<b>17</b>



## **St. Benedict Center's Calumny**

Taken from Exurge Journal, Issue 1, January 2000

I will deal with the topic of the St. Benedict Center of New Hampshire's recent attack against me in their *Mancipia* newsletter dated May 1999. Accusations without refutation are baseless. For instance, I can say, "Joe Smith is nuts; he is crazy." If I was to leave it at that and not present the reasons and facts for this accusation, I would be guilty of detraction or calumny. If one thinks what I have said is wrong, is in error, or is heresy, it is a spiritual act of mercy to admonish the sinner and instruct the ignorant. This is not done by a baseless accusation of heresy that is not backed up, point by point, by theological refutation. To merely state that one is teaching a strange heresy or is a heretic is meaningless unless a theological argument is put forth, based upon Church dogma, to support the accusation, and then a debate can ensue. In the vein of theological refutation I will respond to the St. Benedict Center's un-theological attack against me.

### ***Is John Paul II an Antipope who is Teaching Manifest Heresy?***

The books I have written accuse Antipope John Paul II of teaching manifest heresy by the sheer force of the evidence presented and the past popes' condemnations against John Paul II. Therefore, John Paul II is a manifest heretic - the worse the world has ever known. My four books and pamphlet version prove, beyond a shadow of a doubt, that the Conciliar Church is not the Catholic Church and John Paul II is a non-Catholic antipope.

A few simple questions are put forward to the St. Benedict Center, and I demand an answer that can be printed in my next journal. If I receive no answer, I will make this publicly known. They would then prove to be guilty by their silence, and guilty of making accusations based upon pure sentiments without refutation.

- 1) Has the Holy See been vacant (*sede-vacante*) before? (See: Pamphlet Version, p. 36)
- 2) Is perpetual, papal succession lost when the Holy See is vacant? (See: Pamphlet Version, p. 36)
- 3) Does the Catholic Church defect when the Holy See is vacant? (See: Pamphlet Version, p. 36)
- 4) Have Antipopes sat in Rome in the history of the Church, pretending to be legitimate popes? (Ibid., p. 37)
- 5) Has it been taught that a pope can be *ipso facto* excommunicated for manifest heresy?
- 6) Has it been taught that a pope can be deposed *ipso facto* without a declaration if he becomes a manifest heretic?

7) Has it been taught that if a bishop was a heretic before his election to the papacy, his election even if elected by the unanimous consent of the Cardinals, would be null and void?

8) Does the Second Vatican Council teach heresy? (See: Pamphlet Version, pp. 6-10) and (See: Book Four)

9) Is John Paul II publicly teaching heresy? (See: Pamphlet Version, pp. 6-10) and (See: Book Four)

10) Is John Paul II a heretic? (See: Pamphlet Version, pp. 17-20) and (See: Book One)  
The St. Benedict Center in New Hampshire has accused me of trash talk: *“What bothered us, at first, was their ‘sedevacantist-like trash talk about the pope;”* while they themselves defend Antipope John Paul II. To all those who have read my material or viewed my video tapes, I ask you one question, “Who is guilty of trash talk, I or Antipope John Paul II and the Conciliar non-Catholic Church hierarchy? Is it I who has committed these crimes and manifestly taught these heresies? Is what I have written and said, true or false? And if it is true, is this of no concern to the St. Benedict Center? It is as our Lord has said, *“These people honor me with their lips but their heart is far from Me. They have ears and hear not, and eyes and see not.”* But they say they can see, and so, they are doubly culpable, more so, than the laymen who are misled by them. The true trash talker, Antipope John Paul II, has just dumped more apostate garbage into the public domain, teaching “Catholics” how to be good apostates.

*The Wanderer*, May 27, 1999: “Pope John Paul II... **‘Jews and Christians Share Much Together’** ...this year particularly dedicated to God the Father first of all concerns Jews, our ‘elder brothers,’... the Second Vatican Council, especially in the declaration *Nostra Aetate*, gave a new direction to our relationship with the Jewish religion... the Year 2000 can be a magnificent occasion to meet, possibly, in places of significance for the great monotheistic religions (cf. *Teritio Mellennio Adveniente*, n. 53)... There is a long period of salvation history which Christians and Jews can view together. ‘The Jewish faith,’ in fact,... is already a response to God’s Revelation in the Old Covenant (*Catechism of the Catholic Church*, n. 839). ...Today the courageous witness of faith should also mark the collaboration of Christians and Jews in proclaiming and realizing God’s saving plan for all humanity... It is our duty to work together in promoting a human condition that more closely conforms to God’s plan.... Christians and Jews will help create a new civilization founded on the one, holy, and merciful God, and fostering a humanity reconciled in love.”<sup>1</sup>

*The Wanderer*, May 27, 1999: “Pope John Paul II... **‘Muslims and Christians Adore the One God’** ...Muslims, who ‘together with us adore the one, merciful God’ (*Lumen Gentium*, n. 16; cf. *Catechism of the Catholic Church*, n. 841). The Church has a high regard for them, convinced that their faith in the transcendent God contributes to building a new human family based on the highest aspirations of the human heart... Today I would like to repeat what I said to young Muslims some years ago in Casablanca, ‘We believe in the same God, the one God, the living God, the God who created the world and brings His creatures to their perfection’ (*Insegnamenti*, VIII/2. [1985], p. 497).”<sup>2</sup>

I demand to know, as all true Catholics should, the St. Benedict Center’s official stance on these apostate teachings: whether they think JP2 is guilty of trash talk, or whether it is

---

<sup>1</sup> *The Wanderer*, May 27, 1999, p. 1, General Audience April 28

<sup>2</sup> *The Wanderer*, May 27, 1999, p. 1, General Audience May 5

I who is guilty of trash talk for condemning from the house-tops this easily known public crime of crimes (spiritual deicide, apostasy by denying Jesus Christ, a sin against the First Commandment)! Are these above apostate teachings of no concern to the St. Benedict Center? And they claim to be teachers of the Catholic faith who can expose heresies, point out heretics, and instruct Catholics as to who they should avoid! The apostate newspaper, *The Wanderer*, prints this trash with glee in total acceptance of this monster, John Paul II. They are the fallen angels who directly followed Lucifer in his rebellion against God. The St. Benedict Center in New Hampshire are the fence sitting, lukewarm fallen angels, who did not completely separate from Lucifer and follow God.

Of special note, it is a fact that JP2 refers to the robbers' Second Vatican Council as a dogmatic source and links it with the New Catechism of the Catholic Church, in an attempt to confirm his manifest apostate teachings. Thus, we see how Vatican II is a null and void non-Catholic council, which is referred to by the apostates to overthrow past Catholic Councils' infallible teachings. Therefore, the Second Vatican Council has incurred the penalty mentioned in the papal bull *Exsecrabilis*, of Pope Pius II in 1459 (See: Pamphlet Version, p. 29). Antipope JP2 could not possibly use a teaching from a Council or Papal Bull/Encyclical before the Second Vatican Council to support his apostate and heretical teachings.

To prove that many are under the spell of the current day St. Benedict Centers, and are guilty of mortal sins of omission, and outside the Catholic Church, is proven by a speech I gave in Massachusetts that is available on videotape as listed in my catalogue. At this speech Doug Bersaw (Bro. Anthony Mary) was present. During the question and answer period Doug told me to turn off the camera. When the camera was off he said that JP2 is teaching heresy and he is a heretic, but he did not want it public because people would think that this is the position of the St. Benedict Center of New Hampshire. We told him, how could he associate with a group that is silent about the heresies and heretics of the Conciliar Church? We told him he is guilty of sins of omission. I am sure if the cameras went back on Doug would not accuse JP2 of teaching heresy or of being a heretic. In this, we see a clear-cut case of a fully culpable sin of omission - Camera Off: JP2 is persistently teaching heresy and he is a heretic. Camera On: JP2 is only teaching error and creating scandal, but he is not a heretic.

Doug also admitted a pope can be excommunicated *ipso facto*, after reading the Papal Coronation Oath, and admitted a legitimate pope cannot teach heresy in his infallible capacity and that the excommunication for heresy, therefore, applies to the all the other teachings of the pope that are not infallible (his private teaching capacity). If a pope was to be a manifest heretic - his heresy would have to be public, notorious in fact and persistent - he would be *ipso facto* be excommunicated and this would automatically depose him without declaration by the operation of Church law (See: my book, "*Strange Voices*," Book One). Doug finally admitted the possibility of this, but when we asked him, "What more does John Paul II have to do, to incur these *ipso facto* penalties?" Doug replied, "I don't believe it can ever happen with John Paul II." So we see, Doug has deified a man, John Paul II, above even past popes, whom Doug admitted would have been excommunicated and deposed if they taught manifest heresy, but, not with John Paul II, placing JP2 in the temple of God, as if he were God.

I end this section with a blasphemous event that took place on May 14, 1999, when Antipope John Paul II kissed the Koran; true to his apostate teachings, he puts into practice what he preaches.

*Patriarch Raphael I, Iraq: Speaks, "On May 14th I was received by the Pope, together with a delegation composed of the Shite Iman of Khadum mosque and the Sunni President of the council of administration of the Iraqi Islamic Bank.... At the end of the audience the Pope bowed to the Muslim holy book the Qu'ran presented to him by the delegation and he kissed it as a sign of respect. The photo of that gesture has been shown repeatedly on Iraqi television and it demonstrates that the Pope... has also great respect for Islam."*

I just wonder what Doug Bersaw, Bro. Andre, Bro. Francis, Fr. Michael, and Fr. Charles think about this act of idolatry, this sin against the First Commandment of Almighty God? I know what God thinks of it, but what do they think of it? And, what do they propose to do about it?

## **Refutation of Fr. Brian Harrison and St. Benedict Center**

Taken from Exurge Michael Journal, Issue 6, September 2001.

*"He that justifieth the wicked, and he that condemneth the just, both are abominable before God." (Proverbs 17:15)*

Why do men grasp at straws to justify the wicked and condemn the just? Because these men are wicked themselves, just as wicked as the wicked men the attempt to justify. Yea verily, they do this in the face of overwhelming evidence, and throw out the weapons that God has given Catholics to defend themselves against manifest idolaters, apostates, heretics, and schismatics. Who are these men who have thrown out the weapons of the Church, and thrown off the armor of God, so that they cannot stand against the deceits of the Devil? Who are these men who have thrown out, or watered down, the Bull *Cum Ex Apostolatus Officio* and Canon 188.4 of the 1917 Code, that teach manifest heretics cannot hold an office in the Catholic Church, be it a bishopric, Cardinalate, or the papacy? Who are these men who attempt to denude these weapons of the power God has invested in them, by explaining them away as merely disciplinary matters, when they deal with preservation of the deposit of faith? Yes, a manifest heretic's crimes are public and notorious, thus he is easily known not to be Catholic. A manifest heretic, who professes to be Catholic, is the worst threat to Catholics, for if they follow him in his crimes they also lose the faith. If they doubt or deny one dogma they lose the whole deposit of faith in that one doubt or denial. Woe to those who say it is not a dogmatic fact that a manifest heretic cannot be a pope, a Cardinal, or a Catholic bishop, especially in the days when we are living under the curse of the Great Apostasy, with the very evidence before our eyes of the damage a man can cause who is a manifest heretic and pretends to be the pope, along with his manifestly heretical bishops who pretend to be Catholic bishops. The chaos, disunity, and carnage of souls are innumerable, but the first crime is blasphemy against God, which cries out to heaven for vengeance, for they commit these crimes in the name of God, thinking they are doing God a service, while condemning us who are exposing them. The past theologians did not have the privilege of this evidence before their eyes, and nevertheless, the vast majority of them, almost



everyone, had foresaw this possibility by teaching that a manifest heretic could not hold an office in the Catholic Church, the papacy included, and rule over God's sheep.

### **Faith Before All Else**

Who, then, are these men who will stop at nothing, even the cost of their own soul, to remain in communion with manifest idolaters, apostates, heretics? Like pigs who again-and-again return to the mud, and dogs that return to their vomit, they return to defend idolaters, apostates, and heretics, the minute they are attacked by just accusations. Indeed, who are these men who have cast off the breastplate of justice, the shield of faith, and the helmet of salvation, whose loins are not girt about with the truth? Who are these men who rather sit comfortable in the assembly of the malignant instead of condemning them from the rooftops and avoiding them like a plague? These men are many, and sadly, they are legion (very many) and what makes them even worse is that they profess to be Catholic and are not Catholic at all.

Apostate Antipope Pius IX,<sup>3</sup> *Quartus Supra*, January 6, 1873: "4. It has always been the custom of heretics and schismatics to call themselves Catholics and to proclaim their many excellences in order to lead peoples and princes into error."

In this brief article we will deal with just one such man, the non-Catholic heretic, Fr. Brian Harrison, and a non-Catholic sect, the St. Benedict Center in New Hampshire, that promotes Fr. Harrison's defense of manifest heretics ruling the Catholic Church and murdering souls. These men would not know an idolater, apostate, or heretic if he bit them on the nose, because they are idolaters, apostates, and heretics, by way of omission, association, and commission. This is the first and worst sin that these types commit, sins against the faith. They are non-Catholic idolaters, apostates, and heretics themselves, on this primary point, no matter what else they may teach rightly or wrongly. Dear reader, always keep this in mind, these heretics never call a heresy, heresy or a heretic, a heretic; they never call idolatry, idolatry or and idolater, an idolater. They are easy to detect are they not? That is easy if you have eyes that truly see, and ears that truly hear. Easy if you have the guts (courage) God has even given to pagans to question something when you see or hear something wrong or strange. Catholics are obliged to profess and defend the Catholic faith when the situation presents itself or they share in the sins of the perpetrators. (See: in this Journal "Sins of Omission")

Instead of fulfilling their obligation to defend the Catholic faith by pointing out idolatry, apostasy, and heresy and accuse and condemn the criminals that commit these crimes, they go out of there way and bend over backwards to make it clear that they are not condemning the manifest crimes, nor the manifest criminals who commit them, and thus they share in their sins. They always qualify their statements to make sure the reader knows they are not accusing the criminals of the crimes they are committing. A brief example will suffice from the St. Benedict Center's letter, Sedevacantism, that is on their website.

St. Benedict Center, New Hampshire, Sedevacantism: "Fr. Harrison... entertains, as an academic possibility, that these popes could indeed have... embraced and advanced heresy. So that Fr. Harrison is not misunderstood, we emphasize: He is not accusing those popes of anything; he is simply granting a *hypothetical reality*... (For the record, we agree that the present Holy Father

---

<sup>3</sup> Pius IX lost the papal office in 1856. See RJMI article "Pius IX Denied the Salvation Dogma and Lost His Office." (Add in October 2012.)

has done things objectively sinful and scandalous such as kissing the Koran. He has also made various statements which, understood in their most obvious sense the way everyone interprets them contradict the Catholic Faith.) ...However, to 'defect publicly' from the faith, in this context, clearly means something a lot more drastic than making heretical (or allegedly heretical) statements in the course of public speeches or documents."

They go out of their way to make it clear the Fr. Harrison is not accusing John Paul II and the other Conciliar apostate antipopes of teaching heresy. Then, for the record they only refer to John Paul II's crime of kissing the Koran as scandal and sin, and only objective sin—meaning he may or may not be really guilty (subjectively). For the record they have not accused him of the great crime that it is, idolatry, the worst sin a man can commit, a sin against the First Commandment. It was not a minor sin, or just an objective sin, or just scandal; it was a willful act of public and notorious idolatry. That is what it is, and that is not what the St. Benedict has said it is. They have watered down this abominable crime against God, and are not ashamed to put this denial of God on the record. Surely if they do not repent God will be ashamed of them on their Judgment and throw them into hell.

The early Christians who venerated false gods in any way, even due to extreme torture, were known as *lapsi* (lapse Christians) and fell outside the Catholic Church by one such act. The lapsed Christians denied the faith under bribes, threats, persecution, or torture. There were three basic types of lapsed Christians. The *sacrificati*, those who had actually offered a sacrifice to the idols, *thuruficati*, those who had burnt incense on the altar before the statues of the gods; *libellatici*, those who had drawn up attestation (*libellus*), or had, by bribing the authorities, caused such certificates to be drawn up for them, representing them as having offered sacrifice, without, however, having actually done so. All three types of lapsed Christians fell outside the Catholic Church and had to repent, convert, and abjure to re-enter the Church. Many of these lapsed Christians did not subjectively believe in the idols. They still subjectively believed in Christ, but because of their objective denial of Christ by worshiping or venerating idols in anyway, they fell outside the Catholic Church and had to abjure if they wanted to reenter the Church.

The Catholic Encyclopedia, 1907, Lapsi: Many of the lapsi, indeed the majority of the very numerous cases in the great persecutions after the middle of the third century, certainly did not return to paganism out of conviction: they simply had not the courage to confess the Faith steadfastly when threatened with temporal losses and severe punishments (banishments, forced labor, death), and their sole desire was to preserve themselves from persecution by an external act of apostasy, and to save their property, freedom, and life. The obligation of confessing the Christian Faith under all circumstances and avoiding every act of denial was firmly established in the Church from Apostolic times. The First Epistle of St. Peter exhorts the believers to remain steadfast under the visitations of affliction (i, 6, 7; iv, 16, 17).

John Paul II venerates the false religion of Islam with the highest form of veneration, by referring to their god, Allah, as the true God. John Paul II has committed many acts of idolatry, not just kissing the Koran to prove his love of Islam. In 1986 he allowed Buddhists to burn their strange incense in front of the altar in a Church in Assisi, while they placed a statue of Buddha on top of the Tabernacle, and prayed to their god, Buddha. He has committed these acts of idolatry, and many more, willfully and joyfully, without any threats of torture or coercion. As a matter of fact he pays others to let him commit his acts of idolatry, and pouts when they do not let him play with them, as the Greek

Schismatics who refused to pray with him, in spite of his begging and pleading. Please, he says, oh please, let me defile myself. Have I not given you enough money or other incentives? Please, I yearn to fornicate with you. Our Lord says John Paul II and the Conciliar Church are worse than prostitutes or harlots whose motive is money for her dirty deeds, because he and the Conciliar Church are adulterers who pay others to defile them.

“How is the faithful city, that was full of judgment, become a harlot? (Isa. 1:21) Thou hast also committed fornication... Thou hast also multiplied thy fornications ...Wherein shall I cleanse thy heart, saith Lord God: seeing thou dost all these the works of a shameless prostitute? Because thou hast built thy brothel house at the head of every way, and thou hast made thy high place in every street: and wast not as a harlot that by disdain enhanceth her price, But is an adulteress, that bringeth in strangers over her husband. Gifts are given to all harlots: but thou hast given hire to all thy lovers, and thou hast given them gifts to come to thee from every side, to commit fornication with thee. And it hath happened in thee contrary to the custom of women in thy fornications, and after thee there shall be no such fornication, for in that thou gavest rewards, and didst not take rewards, the contrary hath been done in thee. Therefore, O harlot, hear the word of the Lord. Thus saith the Lord God: Because thy money hath been poured out, and thy shame discovered through thy fornications with thy lovers, and with the idols of thy abominations, by the blood of thy children whom thou gavest them: Behold, I will gather together all thy lovers with whom thou hast taken pleasure, and all whom thou hast loved, with all whom thou hast hated: and I will gather them together against thee on every side, and will discover thy shame in their sight, and they shall see all thy nakedness. And I will judge thee as adulteresses, and they that shed blood are judged: and I will give thee blood in fury and jealousy. And I will deliver thee into their hands, and they shall destroy thy brothel house, and throw down thy stews: and they shall strip thee of thy garments, and shall take away the vessels of thy beauty: and leave thee naked, and full of disgrace. And they shall bring upon thee a multitude, and they shall stone thee with stones, and shall slay thee with their swords. And they shall burn thy houses with fire, and shall execute judgments upon thee in the sight of many women: and thou shalt cease from fornication, and shalt give no hire any more.” (Ezechiel 16: 28-41)

You have just read of the sure destruction of apostate Rome and all those who are members of, or associated in anyway with apostate, Antipope John Paul II and the Conciliar Church. This is the destiny that awaits Fr. Brain Harrison and those at the St. Benedict Center, unless they repent, convert, and abjure. This also applies to the non-Catholic sedevacantist groups, who go by the name Catholic but are not, who are the pre-Vatican II heretics that led to the great apostasy, by teaching the modernist heretical theology that justifies guilty men. Anyone who says that a man who lives and dies worshipping a false god and practicing a false religion can get to heaven has fornicated with every false religion under the sun, and is no better than apostate, Antipope John Paul II.

Just ask the St. Benedict Center and Fr. Harrison, is it a minor sin and just scandal, or is it a major scandal and a mortal sin of apostasy from the Catholic faith to teach that Moslems worship the one true God? Ask them, is it a minor sin and just scandal, or is it a major scandal and a mortal sin of idolatry and apostasy to kiss the Koran? Then ask, if John Paul II and the Conciliar Church are guilty of these crimes and see what answer they give you. (See: Exurge Michael, Issue #4, “Do you worship the same god as the Vatican II Church and John Paul II?) Dear reader, if you are afraid to ask them, then you placed the fear of men over the fear of God, and chose the temporary comforts of this world and cast off your cross. What is to be said of the people who blindly follow these men and

nod their heads in silent submission and acceptance of these sins of omission? They are just as guilty. The blind leads the blind into the pit of eternal damnation.

The St. Benedict Center admits on one hand that it obviously seems as if John Paul II is teaching contrary to the Catholic faith, but they will still not call it manifest heresy. Then they say that he has “allegedly” made heretical statements. If a pope had made one statement that obviously seemed to be heretical, it may very well have been a mistake, a bad choice of words, or taken out of context, etc. In such a singular case the pope would have to be questioned to see if he meant what he seemed to say to the hearer. But, that is not the case when heresy is repeated so as to remove all doubt as to what is meant. That is what a manifest heretic is, someone whose heresy is both public and notorious.

*Public:* A crime is public, if it is already commonly known or the circumstances are such as to lead to the conclusion that it can and will easily become so. *Notorious in Fact:* A crime is notorious in fact, if it is publicly known and was committed under such circumstances that no maneuver can conceal nor any legal defense excuse it.”<sup>4</sup>

Even worse is the manifest heretic who practices what he teaches. Notorious crimes leave no doubt that the perpetrator meant what he said or did. The bulk of the evidence against them is overwhelming. That is what John Paul II is, a manifest apostate and heretic, and anyone who denies this is also a manifest heretic by sins of omission, and many by sins of commission, for defending and patronizing the crime and the criminal. Now that we have established this first consideration, the primary crimes against the faith committed by these men, we move on to another consideration, another crime.

### **Fr. Harrison Misinterprets Canon 188.4 on Tacit Resignation of Office**

In the last sentence above in the Saint Benedict Center article, quoting Fr. Harrison, it says, “However, to ‘defect publicly’ from the faith, in this context, clearly means something a lot more drastic than making heretical (or allegedly heretical) statements in the course of public speeches or documents.” They falsely teach that a pope has to adhere to, or join a publicly professed non-Catholic sect and is so doing not even refer to himself as a Catholic in order to incur the penalty of tacit resignation of office as decreed in Canon 188.4. Here the father of lies is at work blinding them to the clear words of the canon, that says all is needed is a public defection from the Catholic faith.

1917 Code of Canon Law: “C. 188. 4. There are certain causes which effect the tacit resignation of an office, which resignation is accepted in advance by operation of law, and hence is effective without any declaration. These causes are: ... (4) if he has publicly defected (fallen away) from the Catholic faith.”

There is no mention that the perpetrator has to also stop calling himself Catholic, or adhere to, or join a publicly professing non-Catholic sect. Canon 188.4 does not say the perpetrator has to publicly profess he has defected from the Catholic Church. He only has to defect from the Catholic faith. Because a defection from the Catholic faith is an automatic defection from the Catholic Church, even if the perpetrator still thinks he is Catholic. Canon 2314.3 deals with a pope or bishops that publicly adheres to, or joins a non-Catholic sect, and that in these cases also, being an obvious defection from the Catholic faith they would lose their offices.

---

<sup>4</sup> Bouscaren and Ellis, *Canon Law a Text and Commentary*, Canon 2197, p. 838.

1917 Code of Canon Law: “2314. All apostates from the Christian faith, and all heretics and schismatics: (1) are *ipso facto* excommunicated... (3) if they have joined a non-Catholic sect or publicly adhered to it, they are *ipso facto* infamous, and clerics, in addition to being considered to have tacitly renounced any office they may hold, according to canon 188.4, are, if previous

Two separate laws for two separate cases, both related, but differing in degree of defection from the faith. Fr. Harrison only takes into account Canon 2314.3 while deceptively referring to it as the true interpretation of Canon 188.4. Almost the unanimous consent of the canonists and theologians who comment on Canon 188.4 teach that indeed it applies also to a pope as well as a bishop if they are manifest heretics, and that all they have to do is openly profess heresy to be deposed.

### **A Public Heretic Cannot be Pope**

Matthaeus Conte a Coronata, 1950: “III. Appointment to the office of the Primacy [i.e. papacy]. 1. What is required by divine law for this appointment: (a) ...Required for validity is that the man appointed be a member of the Church. Heretics and apostates (at least public ones) are therefore excluded.... “2. Loss of office of the Roman Pontiff. This can occur in various ways: ...c. Notorious heresy. Certain authors deny the supposition that the Roman Pontiff can become a heretic. It cannot be proven, however, that the Roman Pontiff, as a private teacher, cannot become a heretic—if, for example, he would contumaciously deny a previously defined dogma. Such impeccability was never promised by God. Indeed, Pope Innocent III expressly admits such a case is possible. If indeed such a situation would happen, he [the Roman Pontiff] would, by divine law, fall from office without any sentence, indeed without even a declaratory one. He who openly professes heresy places himself outside the Church, and it is not likely that Christ would preserve the Primacy of His Church in one so unworthy. Wherefore, if the Roman Pontiff were to profess heresy, before any condemnatory sentence (which would be impossible anyway), he would lose his authority.”<sup>5</sup>

Eduardus F. Regatillo, 1956: “The Roman Pontiff ceases his office... (4) Through notorious public heresy. Five answers have been given:

1. ‘The pope cannot be a heretic even as a private teacher.’ A pious thought, but essentially unfounded.
2. ‘The pope loses office even though in secret heresy.’ False, because a secret heretic can be a member of the Church.
3. ‘The pope does not lose office because of public heresy.’ Objectionable.
4. ‘The pope loses office by a judicial sentence because of public heresy.’ But who would issue the sentence? The See of Peter is judged by no one (Canon 1556).
5. The pope loses office *ipso facto* because of public heresy.’ This is the more common teaching, because a pope would not be a member of the Church, and hence far less could he be its head.”<sup>6</sup>

Fr. Harrison and the St. Benedict Center seem not to know of these above teachings by these theologians that are commenting on Canon 188.4 regarding Tacit Resignation of Office, and the Bull *Cum ex Apostolatus Officio* on the inability of a manifest heretic to be validly elected to an office in the Church. One does not even need to know of these teachings to innately know that God cannot allow such a monstrosity as John Paul II to exist as a pope, and once common sense ponders this dilemma, God will then get the proper teachings to such a good willed man. What is to be said of those who are presented with the teachings and deny them, thus choosing to stay in communion with manifest idolaters, apostates, and heretics? God has given them a way out and they do not

---

<sup>5</sup> *Institutiones Iuris Canonici*. Rome: Marietti, 1950, 1:312, 316

<sup>6</sup> *Institutiones Iuris Canonici*. 5th ed. Santander: Sal Terrae, 1956, 1:396.

take it. They deny the way God has shown them and follow the apostate, false prophet John Paul II.

The one canonist I know of that did not teach a pope can be deposed, Fr. Augustine, is easily proven wrong, and even dishonest in what he omits. If he were alive today, living through the effects of the Great Apostasy, he would have to change his false opinion or be damned. (See: my book “Strange Voices, Book One,” for a detailed explanation on the true meaning of these canons, and the teachings that a manifest heretic cannot be pope, and Fr. Augustine’s dishonest tactics.) Yet, in the face of overwhelming evidence the St. Benedict Center prefers the lie, they prefer to follow Fr. Harrison’s false teachings, that allows them to wallow comfortably in the belly of the beast.

### **Fr. Harrison Nullifies *Cum Ex Apostolatus* by Twisting Pope Pius XII’s Words**

Fr. Harrison, grasping at straws, misinterprets the clear words of Pope Pius XII, to try and validate the election of a manifest heretic.

Pope Pius XII, *Vacantis Apostolicae Sedis*, 1945: “34. None of the Cardinals may in any way, or by pretext of any excommunication, suspension, or interdict whatsoever, or of any other ecclesiastical impediment, be excluded in the active and passive election of the Supreme Pontiff. We hereby suspend such censure solely for the purposes of the said election; at other times they are to remain in vigor.” (AAS 38, 1946, p. 76)

What this has to do with the fact that Cardinals cannot elect a manifest heretic to the papacy, as infallibly taught in the Bull *Cum ex Apostolatus Officio*, is beyond anybody with an ounce of common sense and good will. Fr. Harrison, like a magician who tries to make something appear to be what it is not, implies that as long as an election takes place, even if the candidate was a manifest heretic, the candidate would still take possession of the office and must be treated as a Catholic. That is not what Pope Pius XII teaches above. Pope Pius merely teaches that Cardinals that are under the ban of excommunication are allowed to participate in the election of a pope, and after the election they remain under the ban of excommunication. That is it, nothing more, or nothing less. It does not deal with the faith of a candidate for the papacy, and the fact that a manifest heretic cannot be validity elected to an office, even if the electors are eligible and followed all the rules for electing a pope. For the sake of argument, and the enlightenment of the reader, we will cover all the possibilities.

**1) Minor and Major Excommunications.** Major excommunications place the perpetrators outside the Catholic Church. Cardinals or Catholic bishops who publicly fall-away from the Catholic faith, thus becoming manifest heretics, are no longer Catholics and they lose their titles and offices by tacit resignation (c. 188.4). Thus, Cardinals who become manifest heretics, meaning their heresy is notorious and public, are no longer Cardinals with an office in the Church. Being that they are no longer Cardinals they cannot participate in a papal election, not only because of the major excommunication, but because they are not Cardinals. That means the excommunications of Cardinals that Pope Pius XII was referring to are minor excommunications. A minor excommunication does not place a Catholic outside the Catholic Church, but deprives him from the reception of the sacraments, and/or some other benefits of the Church. Minor excommunications apply to Catholics who have procured an abortion, who are living in an illegal second “marriage,” etc. These are still members of the Catholic Church; they are Catholic; they

are not outside the Catholic Church. Thus Cardinals that are under the ban of a minor excommunication are still Cardinals.

**2)** For the sake of argument let's say that Cardinals who are manifest heretics—which as stated above is impossible because a manifest heretic cannot be a Cardinal—could participate in the election of a pope.

**a)** That does not affect the teaching of the Bull *Cum Ex Apostolatus* that teaches even if Cardinals, who were in good standing with the Church, unanimously elected a man to be pope who was a manifest heretic, such an election would be null-and-void. This Bull speaks of the inability of Cardinals to elect a candidate to be pope if that candidate had previously publicly deviated from the Catholic faith.

**b)** Pope Pius XII also says that after the election those that were under the ban of excommunication remain under the ban, “We hereby suspend such censure solely for the purposes of the said election; at other times they are to remain in vigor.” Thus if the electors and/or the elected were manifest heretics who lost their offices before the election, this would remain in force after the election. They would only be able to legally act in a conclave to elect a pope, and then after the election they would still be non-Catholic manifest heretics who have no office in the Catholic Church. And, if they happened to elect a man to be pope who was also a manifest heretic, that man also would remain a manifest heretic with no office after the election.

**3)** For the sake of argument we will now consider another impossibility. Fr. Harrison implies that a manifest heretic can be elected to be pope, by electors who are heretics. So what would we have after such an election? A pope, who is a manifest heretic, who had publicly fallen-away from the Catholic faith, and thus he would immediately fall under the penalty of Tacit Resignation of Office as decreed in Canon 188.4. Therefore, if it were possible, which it is not, that a manifest heretic could be elected to be pope, such a pope would immediately lose the office of papacy the very second he obtained it, by Tacit Resignation according to Canon 188.4. Pope Pius XII teaches that after the conclave all excommunications remain in full vigor, meaning the penalties that go along with the excommunication, the penalty for violators of Canon 188.4 being automatic loss of office without the need of a declaration.

You see how wonderfully our loving and just God, the God of the Catholic Church, the Good Shepherd, Jesus Christ, has protected his little sheep. Yes, He has protected them from raving wolves that would murder the souls of His flock. The spotless, pure, and indefectible Spouse of Christ, the Holy Roman Catholic Church, has protected the Chair of Peter, the papacy, from ever being occupied by a manifest heretic who would stain the unblemished Apostolic See (the Church). If a candidate were a manifest heretic before his election to the papacy the election would be null-and-void by the force of *Cum Ex Apostolatus Officio*, and he would not be the pope. If a pope became a manifest heretic while in office, he would be automatically excommunicated and at the same time lose his office and be deposed by the Church—by the operation and force of Her Canon Law 188.4, and would no longer be the pope.

No, but, Fr. Harrison would rather have a pope and a hierarchy at all costs, even the cost of the loss of his own soul and that of the flock. Fr. Harrison, as quoted by the St. Benedict Center, says that it is better to have a pope who is a manifest heretic than to have no pope at all, and then leads the uneducated reader to believe that the traditional laws of the Church make this possible, when the opposite is the truth.

St. Benedict Center, quoting Fr. Harrison: "Some readers may spontaneously react with incredulity to the news that the Church's traditional law goes out of its way to make it possible, thus, for heretics, apostates and Freemasons, among others, to be elected to the See of Peter. Surely such enemies of the Church should be the very first to be excluded from any participation whatever in something so sacred? At first sight it would certainly seem so. but a moment's reflection shows that such legislation is necessary precisely in order to protect the papacy from the calamity which sedevacantist say has now in fact befallen it: a Church with no visible head, and therefore no visible unity—a Church whose structures lie in utter chaos."

First of all, Fr. Harrison pretends there is no chaos in the Conciliar Church and that there is unity. He says it is better to have a teacher that teaches his students lies, rapes and murders them, then to have no teacher at all. He says it is better to have a pope who is a manifest heretic who foists his heresy (lies) on the flock, raping and murdering their souls, and the souls of potential converts, then no pope at all. With such logic there would be no Catholic flock left for such a pope to tend too.

Many false religions and Churches have an outward appearance of unity and order, but they are dead, and inhabited by dead souls. But, that is not even the case with the Conciliar Church, because they have no order or unity, and are in utter chaos. Only an idiot who has lost all common sense would propose such a thing as Fr. Harrison has, and that is why the St. Benedict Center and Fr. Harrison are worthy of the apostate, idolatrous, heretical, and blasphemous John Paul II and Conciliar Church. As I have said, time and time again, fallen-away Catholics are the stupidest of all God's creatures, because God punishes them more severely than others, because they are supposed to know better.

"For if, flying from the pollutions of the world, through the knowledge of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, they be again entangled in them and overcome: their latter state is become unto them worse than the former. For it had been better for them not to have known the way of justice than, after they have known it, to turn back from that holy commandment which was delivered to them. For, that of the true proverb has happened to them: The dog is returned to his vomit; and: The sow that was washed to her wallowing in the mire." (2 Pt. 2:20-22)

Then in an act of evasion, in order to try and defend their foolish abominable non-Catholic position, they change topics by speaking of occult heretics, which is a separate topic all together. An occult heretic is no danger to any one's soul except his own. He is no threat to the common good (salvation of souls). The Church has made specific laws that allow an occult heretic to function as a Catholic, for the common good, until he is declared by Church authorities, or until his heresy becomes manifest (public and notorious). (See: my book, "Strange Voices, Book One, Occult Heretics")

Dear reader, if you are under the spell of Fr. Harrison or the St. Benedict Center, or men like them, I leave you with this warning and admonition. These men have bewitched you so that you would not obey the truth (Gal. 3:1). They are master magicians of the illusion. They put the best Hollywood or Black magician to shame. They will have you believe you did not really see what you saw, or hear what you heard, a crime is not really a



crime and a criminal is not really a criminal. They will have you believe a dung heap is a rose bush and rose bush is a dung heap. God has allowed this operation of error to come upon you, because you would not obey the truth (2Thess. 2:9-11). You will actually believe the illusion, because you are under a veil of darkness and are in a very deep, deep sleep. So I say to you, **WAKE UP NOW**, before it is too late. **REPENT, CONVERT, AND ABJURE!!! GOD WILL NOT BE MOCKED!**

## **Br. Francis Maluf's god**

Taken From Exurge Michael Journal, Issue 19, May 2003.

Whoever does not denounce John Paul II as an apostate, blasphemer, and heretic, and condemn his crimes for what they are is equally guilty by sins of omission, and many, in order to defend the crimes, will eventually explicitly profess heresy. Well, this has happened with Br. Francis Maluf of the St. Benedicts Center in New Hampshire. A Catholic brother who abjured from the Great Apostasy, William G. Norris, called Br. Francis on February 12, 2003, 4:00-4:24 pm MST:

Will Norris: "Brother, what about *Nostrae Aetate*, paragraph 3. It says that Moslems worship God. Isn't that heresy?"

Br. Francis: "No, Moslems do believe in God, He who made heaven and earth."

Will Norris: "But isn't the Most Holy Trinity God? So how can you say Moslems worship God?"

Br. Francis: "I am from the Arab world. When Moslems say Allah, they mean God. Allah means God. They are referring to God that made heaven and earth. You cannot accept the Trinity except through divine revelation..."

So, there you have it. Br. Francis told William that Moslems believe in the true God and implies they never heard of Jesus Christ and the Most Holy Trinity. Jesus already revealed to the world that He and the Most Holy Trinity is God; Moslems not only know it, but they also explicitly deny that Jesus and the Most Holy Trinity is God in their religious book, the Koran. Nevertheless, Br. Francis has the audacity to say they believe in the true God. Therefore, Br. Francis explicitly denied Jesus Christ and the Most Holy Trinity just as the Moslems do.

Br. Francis also heretically implies that under the New Covenant no matter what god or gods a man believes in, he believes in the true God as long as he never heard of the Most Holy Trinity.

Br. Francis has also watered down John Paul II's crime of kissing the Koran by only referring to it as scandal.

Will Norris: "Brother, what about John Paul II kissing the Koran?"

Br. Francis: "These are all scandalous activities..."

It is much more than just scandal. It is apostasy and idolatry. It is a denial of Jesus Christ and the Most Holy Trinity. It is the same crime the placed the early Christians outside the Church and earned them the name "*lapse*" (the lapsed). Even those who broke under torture or just pretended to respect and venerate idols in order to save their lives fell outside the Catholic Church and had to abjure to re-enter Her. By not denouncing this crime that cries out to God for vengeance for what it is, Br. Francis has

himself become guilty of apostasy and idolatry. He has denied Christ and the Most Holy Trinity again, and is outside the Catholic Church. Br. Francis cannot have it both ways. He cannot truthfully say that Jesus is God while saying Moslems, who deny that Jesus is God, also believe in God. That is mixing the sacred with the profane (syncretism). It is speaking with a double tongue and double heart. All you who are under this apostate, Br. Francis Maluf, this lover of men more than God, I warn you again, leave immediately and abjure from the Great Apostasy for you are surely on the broad road to hell. If you do not believe Br. Francis said this just ask him yourself. You are duty bound to do so. Publicly denounce John Paul II and his crimes in front of him or his apostate brothers and see what answer you get.

Br. Francis, if you wish to publicly retract any of these statements I will print them in my Journal. Anyone who wants to verify this information can call William Norris at 505-894-1822 or email him at [willyg77@hotmail.com](mailto:willyg77@hotmail.com).