

# RJMI Heretics and Schismatics List

February 22, 2007

“And why, even of yourselves, do you not judge that which is just?” (Lk. 12:57) “I beseech you, brethren, to mark them who make dissensions and offences contrary to the doctrine which you have learned and avoid them.” (Rom. 16:17) “Them that sin reprove before all that the rest also may have fear.” (1 Tim. 5:20)

“The practice of the Church has always been the same, as is shown by the unanimous teaching of the Fathers, who were wont to hold as outside Catholic communion, and alien to the Church, whoever would recede in the least degree from any point of doctrine proposed by her authoritative magisterium.”  
(Pope Leo XIII)<sup>1</sup>

What follows is a list of those who go by the name Catholic but are not Catholic. They are non-Catholic heretics and/or non-Catholic schismatics. The Catholic Church has automatically (*ipso facto*) excommunicated them, also known as *latae sententiae* excommunication. Canon 2314§1 of the 1917 Code of Canon Law decrees that “All apostates from the Christian faith, and all heretics and schismatics: (1) are *ipso facto* excommunicated.”<sup>2</sup> Therefore, even though these heretics and schismatics call themselves Catholics, they are nevertheless non-Catholics: “It has always been the custom of heretics and schismatics to call themselves Catholics,” warns Pope Pius IX, “and to proclaim their many excellences in order to lead peoples and princes into error.”<sup>3</sup>

The most dangerous non-Catholics who go by the name Catholic are all of the Traditionalists, who in many ways look and sound Catholic but are not: “The Church is built on the rock of Peter,” says Pope Pius IX, “and he who eats the Lamb outside this holy dwelling is reprobate...He who eats the Lamb outside this Apostolic See has no part with God.”<sup>4</sup> Pope Leo XIII teaches that “[Heretics and] schismatics cannot promise themselves the graces and fruits of the perpetual sacrifice and of the sacraments which, although they are sacrilegiously administered, are nonetheless valid and serve in some measure that form and appearance of piety which St. Paul mentions and which St. Augustine speaks of at greater length... ‘The corporal sacraments, which some keep and use outside the unity of Christ, can preserve the appearance of piety. But, the invisible and spiritual virtue of true piety cannot abide there any more then feeling can remain in an amputated member.’”<sup>5</sup>

This is only a partial list of known heretics and schismatics. I will not include most of the bishops and priests directly associated with the non-Catholic Vatican II (Conciliar)

---

<sup>1</sup> *Satis Cognitum*, 1896, par. 9.

<sup>2</sup> See my book *Quick Reference Manual: Latae and ferendae sententiae excommunications*.

<sup>3</sup> *Quartus Supra*, January 6, 1873, par. 4.

<sup>4</sup> *Multis Gravibusque*.

<sup>5</sup> *Exima Nos Laetitia*.

Church since in these latter days of the Great Apostasy they are all apostates and heretics because of their association with this notoriously non-Catholic sect. Those who pray for the apostate antipope of the Vatican II Church in the *Te Igitur* of the Canon of the Mass are directly associated with the non-Catholic Vatican II Church and thus are non-Catholics.

The heretics or schismatics on this list may be guilty of other crimes not noted here. I have only listed their crimes of which I am aware. The abbreviations of their crimes are explained after the list. The list will be updated when necessary; and the names of those who repent of their crimes, convert, and abjure will be removed. If I have falsely accused anyone of holding a heresy or schismatic position, let me know and I will make the correction and print the defense of your orthodox position.

The following persons, along with anyone associated with them in religious matters, are notorious heretics and/or notorious schismatics and are thus to be avoided in religious matters:

Blanco, Fr. Mario - NAR, CIS

Bowden, David (“Pope” Michael) - SD, NFP, FE, BWS

Cabrini, Sr. Mary and Sr. Michael<sup>6</sup> - BWS, SD, NAR, ES,

Campbell, Fr. Louis - NAR, CIS, FE, IOS, SOM

Cekada, Fr. Anthony - IOS, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, NAR, DI, OM, NJS, NFP, FE

Champagne, Fr. Bernard - NAR, CIS, FE, IOS, SOM

Collins, Fr. Joseph - CIS, IOS, BWS, NAR, NJS, NFP, FE

D’Silva, Fr. Francis - NAR, CIS, FE, IOS, SOM

Daly, John S. - CIS, IOS, NAR, NFH, BWS, DI, OM, SOM, FE

Dimond, Michael and Peter<sup>7</sup> - IOS, CIS, NAR. SD

---

<sup>6</sup> Of the Missionaries of the Sacred Heart, Clarksburg, Ohio. They heretically believe that the Catholic Church cannot have another pope or bishops to rule Her. Hence they teach that the perpetual papal succession has ended, which is the denial of the dogma defined at the Vatican Council of 1870 which teaches that perpetual papal succession can never be broken. In effect they heretically teach that the Apostolic mark of the Church no longer exists. The Church does not always have to have a pope, but She always has to have the potential to get a pope when the Holy See is Vacant so that the office can be filled. (See my book *Quick Reference Manual: Perpetual Papal Succession and Vacancies*.) They also publicly attempt to teach the Catholic faith without any authority: First, and foremost, because they themselves are not Catholic; and Second, they violate Canons 1384 and 1385 by publicly attempting to teach the Catholic faith without permission from a bishop with ordinary jurisdiction because they cannot be exempted from violating the letter of these laws since they deny that the principle of epikeia applies to them. (See my book *Exceptions to the Law: 2) Public teaching of the Catholic faith*.)

<sup>7</sup> See my book *Quick Reference Manual: Against the Dimond Brothers (QRM)*.

Dolan, Bishop Daniel - IOS, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, NAR, DI, OM, NJS, NFP, FE

Egregyi, Rev. Francois<sup>8</sup> - ES

Fulham, Fr. Terrance - IO, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, NAR, DI, NFP, FE

Giardina, Abbot Leonard - IO, FMH, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP, FE

Gibson, Hutton - SD, BWS, NAR, NFP, FE

Giuffrey, Gary - NAR, CIS, FE, IOS, SOM, SD

Gruner, Fr. Nicholas - MCC, SOM, SCH, IOS, CIS, NFH, NJS, NFP, FE

Henry, Patrick<sup>9</sup> - ES, NAR, SOM, FE

Hesson, Bishop John - IO, FMH, CIS, NFH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP, FE

Kelly, Bishop Clarence<sup>10</sup> - IO, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, AMH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP

Lane, John<sup>11</sup> - CIS, IOS, NAR, NFH, BWS, DI, OM, SOM, FE

McCormack, Fr. Dennis - NAR, CIS, FE, IOS, SOM, IO

McKenna, Bishop Robert - IO, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, FMH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP, FE

Oravec, Bishop Oliver - IO, FMH, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP, FE

Pivarunus, Bishop Mark, C.M.R.I. - IO, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP, FE

Pothier, Fr. Pierre - NAR, CIS, FE, IOS, SOM

Pulvermacher, Fr. Lucian (Antipope Pius XIII) - SD, BWS, OM, NFP

Radecki, Fr. Dominic - IO, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP, FE

Radecki, Fr. Francisco - IO, SD, CIS, BWS, NFH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP, FE

Saint Benedict Center - New Hampshire - MCC, SOM, CIS, NFH, AMH, NFP, FE

---

<sup>8</sup> He is also suspect of heresy and guilty of immorality, lack of vigilance, and sacrilegious administration of the sacraments. (See my book *Against Rev. Francois Egregyi*.)

<sup>9</sup> See my book *Against Patrick Henry*.

<sup>10</sup> Head of the Society of Saint Pius V. Bishop Kelly also believes that the head of the Vatican II Church is a doubtful pope while leaving his name out of the *Te Igitur* prayer of the Canon of the Mass. By this act of omission, he publicly denies that the head of the Vatican II Church is the pope, and thus Kelly is guilty of schism and heresy because he bases this omission upon his own doubtful opinion and not upon Church law. He is also guilty of the mortal sin of hypocrisy because he believes that the head of the Vatican II Church may be the pope but, nevertheless, does not pray for him as the pope in the Canon of the Mass.

<sup>11</sup> See my book *Against John Lane*.

Saint Benedict Center - Still River, MA - MCC, SOM, CIS, NFH, NFP

Sanborn, Fr. Donald - IOS, SD, BWS, FMH, NAR, DI, OM, NJS, NFP, FE

Society of St. Pius X - MCC, SOM, SCH, IO, CIS, BWS, SD, NFH, NJS, NFP

Sparks, Thomas<sup>12</sup> - FE, NAR, CIS

Taylor, Bishop Patrick - MCC, IO, CIS, NFH, DI, NJS, SOM

Traditio Website - NAR, CIS, FE, IOS, SOM, SD

Vailancourt, Fr. Kevin - IO, SD, CIS, NFH, NAR, DI, NJS, NFP

Vezelis, Bishop Louis<sup>13</sup> - IO, SD, BWS, NFP

Wathen, Fr. James<sup>14</sup> - MCC, SOM, NFH

---

<sup>12</sup> Sparks is suspect of heretical beliefs regarding predestination. It seems that he believes that God created certain men to be evil and hence God does not want them to be saved. It is a dogma that God wants all men to be saved (1 Tim. 2:3-4). In God's passive will He wills that all men be saved, but in God's active will He does not will that all men be saved because He knows that certain men will be obstinately evil because they do not or will not cooperate with His grace and aid. For example, a parent's passive will is that all his children be good. But a parent's active will forces him to punish his bad children and even cast them out of the home if they are very bad. I say Sparks is suspect of heresy because I have not had time to read all of his teachings regarding this matter. It is easy to take out of context one's beliefs about predestination if all his teachings regarding this most difficult topic are not considered. So then why did I post this warning without reading all of Sparks' teachings on this topic? I wrote it to inform those who have read all his teachings on predestination and may have detected heresy that I do not support his heresy; that is, if he indeed believes in heresy regarding predestination.

The same applies to Sparks' apparent support of the Jansenist heretics. Sparks is also suspect for supporting the Jansenist heretics who have been infallibly condemned by popes. I say suspect because I have not read enough of Sparks' teachings on this topic. He may only be supporting certain propositions of the Jansenists that were correct while acknowledging they held heresies.

Sparks also takes the teachings of true popes out of context in an attempt to prove that they taught heresy.

<sup>13</sup> Bishop Vezelis claims to have ordinary jurisdiction (control over territory in the USA). This is a schismatic act because only a pope can give ordinary jurisdiction and a territory to a bishop. In an emergency situation and by the principle of epikeia, a Catholic bishop without ordinary jurisdiction can function as a Catholic bishop with delegated jurisdiction that comes directly from the Church through the vacant Holy See, but he cannot have ordinary jurisdiction or possession of territory. (See my book *Exceptions to the Law: Catholic bishops with no territory must demand obedience from priests he ordains.*)

<sup>14</sup> Fr. Wathen is also a heretic because he heretically believes that Catholics can never become non-Catholics. He is known to say, "Once a Catholic, always a Catholic." Thus, even if a Catholic becomes a heretic, Fr. Wathen believes this heretic is still a Catholic instead of what he truly is, a non-Catholic heretic. Pope Leo XIII, *Satis Cognitum*: "The Church has always regarded as rebels and expelled from the ranks of her children all who held beliefs on any point of doctrine different from her own... St. Augustine notes that 'other heresies may spring up, to a single one of which, should any one give his assent, he is by the very fact cut off from Catholic unity... if any one holds to one single one of these [heresies] he is not a Catholic' (S. Augustinus, De Haeresibus, n. 88)."

- AMH:** They believe in the heresy of Americanism: This heresy, also known as religious liberty, teaches that God gives men the right to believe in and practice whatever religion they choose and that they have the God-given right to publicly practice and propagate their false religions. In his Syllabus of Modern Errors, Pope Pius IX condemns the following proposition: “15. Every man is free to embrace and profess that religion which he, led by the light of reason, thinks to be the true religion.” This heresy also teaches that the State has the God-given right to be separate from the Catholic Church and Catholic religion. In his Syllabus of Modern Errors, Pope Pius IX condemns the following proposition: “55. The Church is to be separated from the State, and the State from the Church.” Men have the free will to embrace whatever religion they want, and a godless State can give them the right to do so, but God does not give men the right to do so. God does not give men the right to worship false gods and practice false religions, nor does He give the State the right to be separated from the Catholic Church and Catholic religion. They also condone Democracy, whose very nature is always godless because it legislates separation of all Churches and all religions from the State and thus there is no possibility of having the Catholic Church and Catholic religion united to the State. By its very nature Democracy also incites rebellion by overthrowing God’s hierarchic order by attempting to place the power to decide and rule in the hands of all of the people, which is illogical and contradicts the law upon all men’s hearts.
- BWS:** They wrongly believe that it is heretical, instead of only erroneous, to hold one of the following opinions: 1) Baptism by water is absolutely necessary for justification and salvation. 2) Baptism by water is absolutely necessary for salvation but not always for justification. 3) Baptism by water is not always necessary for justification and salvation; that is, catechumens can be justified by baptism of desire, if they have perfect contrition, or by blood martyrdom, which implies perfect contrition. (See my book The Baptism Controversy.)
- CIS:** They heretically teach that Catholics can knowingly pray in communion with notorious heretics or notorious schismatics. The worst of these heretics send people into churches that they admit are not Catholic to pray in communion with non-Catholics. (See my book Faith Before the Mass.)
- DI:** They deny the infallible nature of dogmas by reducing them to opinions. On the one hand they admit that dogmas are infallible, but on the other hand they say that it is only their opinion that a particular dogma is a dogma, or they say that a particular dogma is a dogma but they cannot know the meaning of that dogma with all certainty; in both cases they reduce dogmas to opinions.<sup>15</sup> Because the Holy See is vacant, they imply

---

<sup>15</sup> For instance, they say that it is a dogma that men do not have the God-given right to believe in and practice false religions; but on the other hand they will say that this belief of theirs is only an *opinion*.

that dogmas no longer actually bind Catholics under pain of sin because Catholics cannot know for certain which dogmas are truly dogmas or what a particular dogma means unless there is a pope ruling the Church. Hence they imply that past popes' infallible definitions (dogmas) have not been defined clearly enough for priests and laymen to know what these dogmas mean and thus be bound to them. Consequently they have effectively reduced dogmas to mere opinions and are nothing more than Protestants who are putting forward their personal opinions (interpretations) with no certainty that what they teach is truly infallible. This heresy is the effective denial of the eternal binding power of papal infallibility by teaching that when there is no recourse to Catholic authorities, past dogmas can only be put forward as non-binding opinions by priests and layman, that they must wait for a future pope to make judgments on past popes' infallible dogmatic binding decrees. Hence they also fall under the anathema (excommunication) of *Exsecrabilis* by appealing to a future pope or council to judge dogmas that have already been infallibly defined by past popes. By logical conclusion they also effectively deny automatic (*latae sententiae*) excommunications because there is no way for a Catholic to incur such a sentence since there is no way for a Catholic to know for certain which dogmas are dogmas or what a particular dogma means; and thus a Catholic can never be guilty for denying a dogma, at least as long as he does not have recourse to a pope.

- **ES:** They are guilty of denying or violating the principle of and Church law on *epikeia*; that is, Catholics being exempt in emergency situations from Church laws that do not deal with faith or morals. They either deny the legitimacy of *epikeia* altogether or the proper use of *epikeia*. Because of this, they are guilty of violating Church laws that do not deal with faith or morals because they do not justify themselves by appealing to *epikeia*. (See my book [Quick Reference Manual: Epikeia – Exemptions from the Law.](#))
- **FE:** They heretically participate in a false ecumenism by being in religious communion with other non-Catholics who contradict Catholic beliefs and practices that they themselves hold. They mock God and His true Catholic Church by thinking that some good can come from a group of non-Catholics coming together to advise and council one another on how to save the Catholic Church to which they do not even belong.
- **FMH:** They believe in the “pope not the pope” heresy: They believe that it is possible for certain popes to not have authority and jurisdiction over Catholics and thus these popes are not popes formally but they are popes materially. They believe these popes are physically popes but not spiritually popes. Thus they have a corpse as the head of the Church, a dead body without a soul. This belief is heretical because it denies the infallible decree of the Vatican Council of 1870, Session IV, Chapters 2 and 3, which state that all popes have “full and supreme power of jurisdiction over the universal Church.” This heretical theory is known as the Mate-

rialiter/Formaliter Theory or the Cassiciacum Thesis that was formulated by the Thucite Bishop Michel-Louis Guérard des Lauriers. (See my book [A Notorious Heretic Cannot Be the Pope: Pope not the Pope Heresy.](#))

- **IO:** They have been illegally consecrated as bishops or illegally ordained as priests. (See my books [Exceptions to the Law](#) and [Against the Thucites.](#))
- **IOS:** They support illegally consecrated bishops or illegally ordained priests. (See my books [Exceptions to the Law](#) and [Against the Thucites.](#))
- **MCC:** They are professed members of the non-Catholic Conciliar (Vatican II) Church. They believe that the Conciliar Church is the Catholic Church and that its apostate antipopes were and are Catholic and popes. They are guilty of all of the crimes of the non-Catholic Conciliar Church and its apostate antipopes, whose notorious crimes in these latter days of the Great Apostasy are manifest to all and have been institutionalized and made mandatory for all laymen to embrace from the time of September 8, 1997, when apostate Antipope John Paul II promulgated the heretical Catechism of the Catholic Church. (See my book [Baptized Non-Catholic Children: Self-professed Catholics and Catholic churches that are not Catholic.](#))
- **NAR:** They deny or disobey the infallible Church law on abjurations, which are necessary for baptized non-Catholics to enter the Catholic Church. If they deny this law, they are heretics; if they disobey it, they are schismatics. Some deny or disobey the need of any abjuration for baptized non-Catholics to enter the Catholic Church. Some deny or disobey the need of specific abjurations for fallen-away Catholics to enter the Church. Hence, these clerics are not Catholic and have no way to bring baptized non-Catholics into the Catholic Church. This causes these clerics to commit other crimes, such as sacrilegious administration of the sacraments. Guilty also are the laymen who agree with either this denial of the Church law on abjuration or disobedience to it, as well as the laymen who attend churches that support this. (See my books *The Abjuration from the Great Apostasy* and *Against Fr. Mario Blanco.*)
- **NFH:** They effectively deny automatic (*latae sententiae*) excommunication: Some deny it by teaching that offenders who violate laws that inflict automatic excommunications must be first warned and declared excommunicated before they are automatically excommunicated. The Catholic Church infallibly denounces as heresy the following condemned proposition: “47. Likewise, the proposition which teaches that it is necessary, according to the natural and divine laws, for either excommunication or for suspension, that a personal examination should precede, and that, therefore, sentences called “*ipso facto*” [automatic] have no other force than that of a serious threat without any actual effect, —false, rash, pernicious, injurious to the power of the Church, erroneous.” (Errors of the Synod of Pistoia) Some deny it by teaching that there is no way for any-

one to know if offenders have incurred automatic excommunications unless a competent judge first declares the offenders as having been automatically excommunicated; thus they verbally profess belief in automatic excommunications while effectively denying them. Many of them refer to an objective/subjective and formal/material argument to the extent that no one can ever be known to be truly (formally) guilty of a crime unless there is a competent judge to make a declaration. They make a mockery of God's justice and pacify a "Catholic's" ability to make just judgments by making it impossible for him to fulfill his obligation to profess the faith, which entails condemning and avoiding notorious heretics and notorious schismatics even before a declaration from a competent judge. They admit there is such a thing as an automatic excommunication but impose such conditions as to make it impossible for anyone to know if an offender has incurred this penalty, even in cases regarding the most notorious criminals. Therefore they are guilty of heresy for effectively denying automatic excommunications, and they are mortally guilty for endangering the common good by attempting to keep Catholics in communion with notorious heretics and schismatics and by silencing them when they attempt to profess the faith by condemning these criminals as automatically excommunicated non-Catholic heretics or schismatics. (See my book Quick Reference Manual: Excommunications: Latae and ferendae sententiae excommunications.)

- **NFP:** They heretically and immorally believe in the contraceptive method of Natural Family Planning. (See my book Natural Family Planning is Contraception.)
- **NJS:** They are guilty of the heresy which states that bishops and priests can legally function without any jurisdiction whatsoever.
- **OM:** They oppose teachings that are part of the ordinary magisterium or promote authors and books whose teachings do the same.
- **SB:** They teach the heresy that men can be both inside and outside the Church at the same time. They teach that men can be externally/outside the Church while they are internally/inside the Church or, as some say, they can belong to the soul of the Church while not belonging to Her body.
- **SCH:** They are guilty of schism because they profess allegiance to the papacy and to a man whom they believe is the pope and to his bishops while not rendering obedience to them when obedience is due. The Catholic Church infallibly teaches that if a baptized man "refuses to be subject to the Supreme Pontiff, or to have communication with the members of the Church subject to the Pope, he is a schismatic." (1917 Code of Canon Law, Canon 1325, § 2.) It is important to note that obedience is not owed to Church authorities in all cases. In certain cases disobedience to Church authorities is necessary and just. (See my book A Notorious Heretic Cannot Be the Pope: Popes can make bad disciplinary laws and be resisted.)

**SD:** They deny the Salvation Dogma. They believe that certain men who live and die worshipping false gods or practicing false religions can be saved. (See my book The Salvation Dogma.)

- **SOM:** They are guilty of sins of omission for not properly condemning sins or properly denouncing sinners. Some do not condemn sins or denounce sinners at all, and others diminish the nature of the sin and the guilt of the sinner. They do not call heresy heresy or a heretic a heretic, or apostasy apostasy or an apostate an apostate, or idolatry idolatry or an idolater an idolater. Those who diminish the nature and severity of these sins only refer to these sins as errors, deviations from the faith, scandal, or similar words. Therefore they equally share in the guilt of the sins they do not properly condemn and the guilt of the sinners they do not properly denounce. (See my book Quick Reference Manual: Catholics must profess the faith, judge, and denounce.)
- **ST:** These believe in the heretical Siri Thesis. They heretically and illogically believe that Cardinal Giuseppe Siri was the pope while Siri served and obeyed as popes the apostate Antipopes John XXXIII, Paul VI, and John Paul I, and John Paul II. Hence they heretically believe that the Vicar of Christ can serve and obey the Vicar of Antichrist (the apostate antipopes of the Vatican II Church) while not letting anyone know he is the true pope. The mere fact that Siri did not denounce the apostate antipopes and instead prayed in communion with them proves that he too was an apostate. Also, they do not denounce Siri as a notorious heretic even though Siri signed the notoriously heretical Second Vatican Council documents. If he were the pope (which could not be), he would have automatically lost his office for this act of public heresy. (See my book Chasing Ghosts: The Siri Thesis.)

The above beliefs and practices are anathematized by the solemn magisterium (infallible teachings of the Catholic Church) or by the ordinary magisterium, or they violate the Church's disciplinary laws. Anyone who practices or believes in the above heretical, schismatic, or other mortally sinful crimes and dies unrepented will surely go down into the fires of hell. My primary concern is for the sheep that are being led astray by the leaders of these groups who claim to be teachers of the Catholic faith. Those on this list (the clerics, the sects they have founded, and the laymen) are divided one from another over matters concerning the faith or morals and bitterly fight with one another. This is not a fruit of the Holy Ghost: *"God shall hear, and the Eternal shall humble them. For there is no change with them, and they have not feared God: He hath stretched forth his hand to repay. They have defiled his covenant, They are divided by the wrath of his countenance, and his heart hath drawn near. His words are smoother than oil, and the same are darts. ...But thou, O God, shalt bring them down into the pit of destruction."* (Ps. 54:20-24) It does not matter if a person or group professes ninety-nine percent truth. One heresy or sin of schism places a Catholic outside the Catholic Church and he is thus no longer Catholic. To trap the elect, the devil always sets up opposition parties that teach many truths while teaching or practicing at least one heresy or schism. Also, one mortal sin

against the moral commandments places a Catholic on the road to hell, as does one mortal sin of disobedience to a Church disciplinary law.

Mary's Little Remnant  
302 East Joffre St.  
TorC, NM 87901-2878  
Website: [www.JohnTheBaptist.us](http://www.JohnTheBaptist.us)  
(Send for a free catalog)