

# Against Fr. Mario Blanco



R. J. M. I.

By

The Precious Blood of Jesus Christ,  
The Grace of the God of the Holy Catholic Church,  
The Mediation of the Blessed Virgin Mary,  
Our Lady of Good Counsel and Crusher of Heretics,  
The Protection of Saint Joseph, Patriarch of the Holy Family,  
The Intercession of Saint Michael the Archangel  
and the cooperation of

Richard Joseph Michael Ibranyi

To Jesus through Mary

*Judica me Deus, et discerne causam meam de gente non sancta  
as homine iniquo et doloso erue me*

Ad Majorem Dei Gloriam



“Son of man, I have made thee a watchman to the house of Israel: and thou shalt hear the word out of my mouth, and shalt tell it them from me. If, when I say to the wicked, Thou shalt surely die: thou declare it not to him, nor speak to him, that he may be converted from his wicked way, and live: the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity, but I will require his blood at thy hand. But if thou give warning to the wicked, and he be not converted from his wickedness, and from his evil way: he indeed shall die in his iniquity, but thou hast delivered thy soul.”

(Ezekiel 3:17-19)

Original version: 8/2000; Current version: 9/2003

**Mary's Little Remnant**

302 East Joffre St.

TorC, NM 87901-2878

Website: [www.JohnTheBaptist.us](http://www.JohnTheBaptist.us)

(Send for a free catalog)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>DENUNCIATION OF FR. MARIO BLANCO .....</b>	<b>7</b>
POINT 1: SACRILEGE: THE PROFANATION OF THE HOLY EUCHARIST! .....	7
POINT 2: DENIES THE NECESSITY OF ABJURATION .....	8
POINT 3: THE SIN OF RELIGIOUS INDIFFERENTISM .....	8
POPE GREGORY XVI .....	8
THE HOLY PROPHET OSEE .....	11
POINT 4: FALSE ECUMENISM .....	11
GOD’S JUDGMENT AGAINST FALSE ECUMENISTS .....	13
POINT 5: IMMORALITY .....	13
<b>CONSEQUENCES OF A CONVERT ENTERING SUCH A CHURCH .....</b>	<b>14</b>
NO UNITY OF FAITH OR TRUE LOVE AMONG THE FLOCK .....	14
<i>Deception by Enforced Silence</i> .....	15
INQUIRY DISPELS DARKNESS AND DECEPTION .....	16
<b>WAYWARD PRIEST CAN TAKE TWO COURSES .....</b>	<b>17</b>
HE CAN REPENT, CONVERT, ABJURE, AND THEN ADMONISH AND EXPEL THE UNREPENTANT.....	17
HE CAN REMAIN IN DARKNESS AND DAMNATION .....	17
<b>HISTORY OF FR. BLANCO’S CRIMES AND MY REPENTANCE AND CONVERSION .....</b>	<b>18</b>
THE CRIMES.....	19
MY REPENTANCE AND ABJURATION FROM FR. BLANCO.....	21
THE FIRST STEP .....	21
THE SECOND STEP.....	22
ALL ARE GUILTY WHO ATTEND MASS AT SUCH A CHURCH .....	23
FAITH BY OSMOSIS.....	25
FATHER BLANCO’S SPEECH IMPEDIMENT .....	26
<b>UPDATE: FR. BLANCO IS UNDER THE ROMANS’ ONE CURSE.....</b>	<b>27</b>



## Denunciation of Fr. Mario Blanco

What applies to Fr. Blanco also applies to many other priests. You will recognize them. Fr. Blanco's crimes are rampant among many priests who claim to be Catholic but are not. They claim to be upholding the traditions of the Catholic Church, but they are not. Below is a list of Fr. Blanco's crimes:

1. **Sacrilege**: He allows anyone who attends his Masses to receive Holy Communion with no regard for what they believe. As a result he is guilty of willfully administering sacrilegious Communions.
2. **Abjurations Denied**: He does not require an abjuration from those who have believed in heresy or schism, or from those who have been in communion with those who are in public heresy or schism.
3. **Religious Indifferentism**: He does not teach the Catholic faith to his flock, ignoring his duty, as taught by Pope Pius X in *Acerbo Nimis*, to instruct the ignorant. Therefore, he does not admonish sinners or demand they hold the full deposit of the Catholic faith before approaching his altar rail. In Fr. Blanco's case he does not teach the faith at all, but the same applies to priests who teach some dogmas of the faith but ignore others.
4. **False Ecumenism**: As a result of his religious indifferentism and denial of the need of abjurations, Fr. Blanco is guilty of the sin of schism and heresy by being in communion with non-Catholics (*communicatio in sacris*), as condemned most recently by Pope Pius XI in *Mortalium Animos*. A man who is in communion with public heretics is either a heretic by commission if he explicitly believes the heresy himself, or by omission (silence) for not condemning those that are in heresy and demanding they hold, profess, and live the full deposit of the Catholic faith. Fr. Blanco is guilty of the heresy or schism of the non-Catholics he does not require abjurations from or instruct with the orthodox position and require them to hold, profess, and practice it. Therefore, Fr. Blanco sins by omission and thus shares the guilt of the heretics he does not admonish, condemn, and call to conversion. He also sins by association because he allows them to attend his Masses and thus he prays in communion with heretics or schismatics.
5. **Immorality**: Fr. Blanco does not have any dress code or rules of conduct that must be obeyed in the chapels he says Mass at. He does not have laymen appointed to enforce a dress code or to keep proper order in his chapels.

### ***Point 1: Sacrilege: The Profanation of the Holy Eucharist!***

*"Whosoever shall eat this bread, or drink the chalice of the Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of the body and of the blood of the Lord... For he that eateth and drinketh unworthily eateth and drinketh judgment to himself, not discerning the body of the Lord."* (1Cor. 11:27,29) A sacrilegious reception of Holy Communion is one of the worse mortal sins a man can commit. It is a profanation of the Holy Eucharist by putting what is pure (the Body, Blood, Soul and Divinity of Jesus Christ) into a corrupted vessel (a human in original or mortal sin). Canon 731 of the 1917 Code teaches that baptized non-Catholics, even if they are of good faith, are not allowed to receive the sacraments, such as the Holy Eucharist.

**1917 Code of Canon Law:** "c. 731. It is forbidden to administer the Sacraments of the Church to heretics and schismatics, even though they are in good faith and request the sacraments unless they shall have previously renounced their errors and obtained reconciliation with the Church."

A penitent who is resolved to abjure his false religion and enter the Catholic Church, who is studying the Catholic faith in preparation for his abjuration, is a catechumen and thus is of good faith. But, even this penitent catechumen who is of good faith cannot receive any sacraments until he first abjures and enters the Catholic Church.

Fr. Blanco does not require abjurations from heretics or schismatics, or from those who were in communion with heretics or schismatics, thus he gives Holy Communion to those who are still

outside the Church. He also does not examine the faith of those who attend his Masses; therefore, he has no way of knowing if they are Catholic or not, and thus he fosters sacrilegious receptions of Holy Communion, because of his indifference toward the faith and lack of vigilance. His ignorance of those who believe in heresy or schism in the churches he says Mass at is deliberately fostered, it is affected (culpable) ignorance, which makes him equally guilty of his flocks' crimes and of administering sacrilegious receptions of Holy Communion.

### ***Point 2: Denies the Necessity of Abjuration***

A priest violates the Church's law on abjurations if he does not require an abjuration from a baptized man who either believed in a heretical or schismatic teaching or was in communion with those who do. He would be instituting a new practice that has never been allowed in the history of the Church. The Church, infallibly speaking through all the past popes, has always required abjurations from those who have either believed in heresy or schism or were in communion those who do. A future pope cannot change this infallible requirement; therefore, the accusation against us "of acting like the pope" for requiring abjurations actual applies to our accusers who do not require it. We are clearly following the constant teaching of the Catholic Church, whereas our accusers have introduced a novelty, a new teaching and practice that has never been allowed by the Catholic Church, by any pope. (See: my book, *The Abjuration from the Great Apostasy*, for the Church law on abjurations)

### ***Point 3: The Sin of Religious Indifferentism***

*"And it was not enough for them to err about the knowledge of God, but whereas they lived in a great war of ignorance, they called so many and great evils peace... And as they liked not to have God in their knowledge, God delivered them up to a reprobate sense..." (Wisdom 14:22 – Romans 1:28)*

#### **Pope Gregory XVI**

*Mirari Vos*, 1832: "4. We come to you grieving and sorrowful because We know that you are concerned for the faith in these difficult times. Now is truly the time in which the powers of darkness winnow the elect like wheat. 'The earth mourns and fades away.... And the earth is infected by the inhabitants thereof, because they have transgressed the laws, they have changed the ordinances, they have broken the everlasting covenant.' 5. ...Hence sound doctrine is perverted and errors of all kinds spread boldly. 6...It is not enough for Us to deplore these innumerable evils unless We strive to uproot them. We take refuge in your faith and call upon your concern for the salvation of the Catholic flock. Your singular prudence and diligent spirit give Us courage and console Us, afflicted as We are with so many trials. We must raise Our voice and attempt all things lest a wild boar from the woods should destroy the vineyard or wolves kill the flock. It is Our duty to lead the flock only to the food which is healthful. In these evil and dangerous times, the shepherds must never neglect their duty; they must never be so overcome by fear that they abandon the sheep. Let them never neglect the flock and become sluggish from idleness and apathy. Therefore, united in spirit, let us promote our common cause, or more truly the cause of God; let our vigilance be one and our effort united against the common enemies.... 7. Indeed you will accomplish this perfectly if, as the duty of your office demands, you attend to yourselves and to doctrine... 8. In this you must labor and diligently take care that the faith may be preserved amidst this great conspiracy of impious men who attempt to tear it down and destroy it. May all remember the judgment concerning sound doctrine with which the people are to be instructed."



A Catholic priest's primary duty, even above administering the sacraments and offering up the Holy Sacrifice of the Mass, is to teach the entire deposit of the Catholic faith to his flock and require them to hold, profess, and practice it, without which they cannot legally receive the sacraments or be saved.

If a member of his flock does not hold or practice the Catholic faith, the priest must expel him from active participation in his Mass and forbid him from receiving the Holy Eucharist. This also prevents the profanation of the Holy Eucharist by sacrilegious receptions. Pope Pius X, in his encyclical *Acerbo Nimis* (On Teaching Christian Doctrine), April 15, 1905, teaches that the Catholic faith must be the primary concern of bishops and priests—"their first and most important work is the instruction of the faithful."

Pope Pius X, *Acerbo Nimis*, 1905: "2. ... We declare that a great number of those who are condemned to eternal punishment suffer that everlasting calamity because of ignorance of those mysteries of faith which must be known and believed in order to be numbered among the elect... 6. How many and how grave are the consequences of ignorance in matters of religion! And on the other hand, how necessary and how beneficial is religious instruction! It is indeed vain to expect a fulfillment of the duties of a Christian by one who does not even know them. 7. ... That this most important duty rests upon all who are pastors of souls. On them, by command of Christ, rest the obligations of knowing and of feeding the flocks committed to their care; and to feed implies, first of all, to teach... the first duty of all those who are entrusted in any way with the government of the Church is to instruct the faithful in the things of God... 9. Here then it is well to emphasize and insist that for a priest there is no duty more grave or obligation more binding than this... The Church demands this knowledge of those who are to be ordained to the priesthood... 10. If what We have just said is applicable to all priests, does it not apply with much greater force to those who possess the title and the authority of parish priests, and who, by virtue of their rank and in a sense by virtue of a contract, hold the office of pastors of souls? These are, to a certain extent, the pastors and teachers appointed by Christ in order that the faithful might not be as 'children, tossed to and fro and carried about by every wind of doctrine devised in the wickedness of men...' 11. For this reason the Council of Trent, treating of the duties of pastors of souls, decreed that their first and most important work is the instruction of the faithful... 16. ... It follows, too, that if faith languishes in our days, if among large numbers it has almost vanished, the reason is that the duty of catechetical teaching is either fulfilled very superficially or altogether neglected... 17. What We have said so far demonstrates the supreme importance of religious instruction. We ought, therefore, to do all that lies in our power to maintain the teaching of Christian doctrine with full vigor, and where such is neglected, to restore it; for in the words of Our Predecessor, Benedict XIV, 'There is nothing more effective than catechetical instruction to spread the glory of God and to secure the salvation of souls...' 27. And now, Venerable Brethren, permit Us to close this letter by addressing to you these words of Moses: 'If any man be on the Lord's side, let him join with me'. We pray and entreat you to reflect on the great loss of souls due solely to ignorance of divine things. ... With all possible zeal and diligence and care, see to it and urge on others that the knowledge of Christian doctrine pervades and imbues fully and deeply the minds of all."

Pope Pius X, *Editae Saepe*, 1910: "21. Since they attack the very root of faith either by openly denying, hypocritically undermining, or misrepresenting revealed doctrine, we should above all recall the truth Charles often taught. 'The primary and most important duty of pastors is to guard everything pertaining to the integral and inviolate maintenance of the Catholic Faith, the faith which the Holy Roman Church professes and teaches, without which it is impossible to please God.' Again: 'In this matter no diligence can be too great to fulfill the certain demands of our office.' We must therefore use sound doctrine to withstand 'the leaven of heretical depravity,' which if not repressed, will corrupt the whole. That is to say, we must oppose these erroneous opinions now deceitfully being scattered abroad, which, when taken all together, are called Modernism. With Charles we must be mindful 'of the supreme zeal and excelling diligence which the bishop must exercise in combating the crime of heresy.'

"22. We need not mention the Saint's other words (echoing the sanctions and penalties decreed by the Roman Pontiffs) against those prelates who are negligent or remiss in purging the evil heresy out of their dioceses. It is fitting, however, to meditate on the conclusions he draws from these papal decrees. 'Above everything else,' he says, 'the Bishop must be eternally on guard and continually vigilant in preventing the contagious disease of heresy from entering among his flock and removing even the faintest suspicion of it

from the fold. If it should happen to enter (the Lord forbid!), he must use every means at his command to expel it immediately. Moreover, he must see to it that those infected or suspected be treated according to the pontifical canons and sanctions.””

It is the sin of religious indifferentism for a priest not to teach his flock the entire deposit of the Catholic faith and require them to believe it, profess it, and live by it. If the catechism is superficially taught; if any part of the Catholic faith is omitted; if any denunciation of heresy and heretics and schism and schismatics is omitted, especially the public and prevalent ones, then the priest is guilty of the sin of religious indifferentism. Thus, he would be guilty of the sins of those in his flock who believe in or practice heresy or schism, and of those whom he does not condemn and tell his flock to avoid, because he has made no effort to dispel their ignorance, but instead fosters it.

There are those who attend Fr. Blanco’s Masses that go from one sect (religion) to another, as long as they get the Roman Rite of the Mass as codified by Pope Pius V. Fr. Blanco readily admits that the Conciliar Church, the Society of St. Pius X, all independent chapels who believe John Paul II is Catholic and the pope, and all those associated with the CMRI line, the Thuc line, and the Kelly line, are non-Catholic sects. Yet, he has no problem administering the sacraments to those who are associated with these non-Catholic religions and allows them to continue to actively participate in his Masses. He does not instruct them with the full truth. He does not instruct them at all. He does not require that they abjure their association with non-Catholic sects, and he does not demand they hold, profess, and practice the full deposit of the Catholic faith.

Fr. Blanco’s crime of religious indifferentism makes him guilty, in practice, of the heresy of Religious Liberty:

Pope Gregory XVI, *Mirari Vos*: “14. This shameful font of indifferentism gives rise to that absurd and erroneous proposition which claims that liberty of conscience must be maintained for everyone.”

This heresy teaches that all men have the God given right to worship false gods and practice false religions. Fr. Blanco will verbally deny he holds this heresy, but in practice he is guilty. Those who attend his Masses and receive Holy Communion from him are “free to embrace and profess that religion which, guided by the light of reason, he shall consider true.” He allows people who belong to non-Catholic sects and attend Mass at non-Catholic churches, such as the Conciliar Church, the Society of St. Pius X, the Thuc line churches, to attend his Masses also and actively participate and receive the Holy Eucharist from him, while they are free to go back to non-Catholic churches, and free to believe in heresy with no penalty of expulsion by Fr. Blanco if they do. He is giving these non-Catholics his stamp of approval and treating them as if they are in the way of salvation (a state of grace); therefore, he is implicitly saying that salvation can be achieved in these non-Catholic religions.

Pope Gregory XVI, *Mirari Vos*: “13. Now We consider another abundant source of the evils with which the Church is afflicted at present: indifferentism. This perverse opinion is spread on all sides by the fraud of the wicked who claim that it is possible to obtain the eternal salvation of the soul by the profession of any kind of religion, as long as morality is maintained.”

Fr. Blanco religious indifferentism promotes the heresies of False Ecumenism, Religious Liberty, and implies men who belong to false religions can be saved. He holds his flock to no standard. He does not demand they hold, profess, and practice the full deposit of the Catholic faith. His flock, indeed, is silent regarding the main issues of the Catholic faith that are being denied, and this silence and lack of knowledge brings down God’s wrath upon Fr. Blanco and his flock, and will send them to hell if they do not repent, convert, and abjure before they die.

## The Holy Prophet Osee

“My people have been silent, because they had no knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will reject thee, that thou shalt not do the office of priesthood to me: and thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I also will forget thy children. According to the multitude of them, so have they sinned against me: I will change their glory into shame. They shall eat the sins of my people, and shall lift up their souls to their iniquity. And there shall be like people like priest: and I will visit their ways upon them, and I will repay them their devices.” (Osee 4:6-9)

God has certainly rejected Fr. Blanco, as He has certainly rejected Bishops Pivarunas, Dolan, Vezelis, McKenna, Oravec, Hesson, Martinez, Kelly, and Fathers Villancourt, Cekada, Sanborn, Fulham, Leonard Giardina, the Radecki brothers, Joseph Collins, etc., because they have rejected God.

### **Point 4: False Ecumenism**

“Because thou hast built thy brothel house at the head of every way... as an adulteress, that bringeth in strangers over her husband... thou didst also build thee a common stew, and madest thee a brothel house on every street.” (Ezechiel 16:31, 32, 24)

As a consequence of Fr. Blanco’s mortal sin of religious indifference, he practices the same false ecumenism as the Conciliar Church. In his chapels one belief has no right to assert itself over opposing beliefs, Catholic dogma has no right to assert itself over heretical doctrines, both exist side-by-side. In this we see a false ecumenism being practiced that is identical to that of the Conciliar Church. At Fr. Blanco’s Masses, men of contrary beliefs sit side-by-side, pray together, and receive the Holy Eucharist together.

This also occurs outside of the Mass in the form of dialogue. Most who hold the *sedevacante* position dialogue with men as equals who hold heretical or schismatic tenants or are in communion with those who do. They do not admonish, denounce and condemn them, and call them to repent, convert, and abjure. They practice the same type of dialogue the Conciliar Church does with non-Catholics. Among the Traditionalist, this religious indifferentism, dialogue with non-Catholics as equals, and false ecumenism can be called *Vatican III*, because while they verbally denounce *Vatican II*, they hold and practice many of its heretical and idolatrous teachings and practices.

For instance, two non-Catholic priests who claim to be Catholic, Fr. Paul Wickens and Fr. Kevin Villancourt, are leaders in this Vatican III Church. Fr. Wickens is a leading delegate who believes John Paul II is the pope but has set up an independent chapel outside the “jurisdiction” of and disobedient to a man he believes is the pope. Fr. Kevin Villancourt is a leading delegate who believes John Paul II is an apostate, antipope. Both of these men dialogue on equal terms with men of opposing views regarding dogmatic and schismatic issues. Let’s take a look at whom Antipope John Paul II dialogues and prays with, and then compare it to Fr. Wickens and Fr. Villancourt.

(FSSP) (Protestants) (Schismatics) - **Antipope John Paul II** - (Buddhists) (Jews) (Moslems)

(NO) (SSPX) (FSSP) (SBC) - **Fr. Paul Wickens** - (CMRI) (FM) (Dolan) (B. Kelly)

(NO) (SSPX) (FSSP) (SBC) - **Fr. Kevin Villancourt** - (CMRI) (FM) (Dolan) (B. Kelly)

- NO – (Those who attend the Novus Ordo Mass.)
- SSPX – Society of Saint Pius X (Those who offer the Roman Rite of the Mass without an indult, nor jurisdiction from a man they believe is the Roman Pontiff.)

- FSSP – Fraternity of Saint Peter (Those who attend the John XXIII indult Mass under John Paul II)
- SBC – Saint Benedict Centers (Those who offer the Roman Rite of the Mass without an indult, or jurisdiction from a man they believe is the Roman Pontiff. They associate with men they believe are heretics, those who believe men can be saved without explicit faith in the Incarnation and the Most Holy Trinity.)
- CMRI – The non-Catholic sect of Bishop Pivarunus. (Those who support and defend the heretical and schismatic lineage of Bishop Thuc, and are also guilty of other heresies, such as believing certain men who live and die worshipping false gods and practicing false religions can be in the way of salvation and be saved, and the contraception heresy of Natural Family Planning.)
- FM – Those who hold the Formaliter/Materialiter heresy. (Those who believe John Paul II is materially the pope but not formally, thus they heretical believe such a pope has not jurisdiction over the Church. Among them are Bishops McKenna, Hesson, Oravec, and Abbot Leonard Giardina. This motley crew holds several other heresies.)

All of the above are not Catholic on different points. Some hold one heresy others another. Some hold one schismatic position others another. The only enemy of these traditionalist heretics and schismatics is the Catholic who does not participate in their orgy of spiritual fornication. While having an appearance of godliness they are full of intellectual carnal pride, hypocrisy, cowardly feebleness, and totally devoid of the Catholic virtues of faith, hope, true charity, fortitude, courage, prudence, justice, temperance, and fear of the God of the Catholic Church (2 Tim. 3:1-5). Their only enemy is the Catholic who demands that the full deposit of the Catholic faith be held, professed, and practiced. Their only enemy is the Catholic who denounces, condemns, and avoids these non-Catholic dialoguers and their false ecumenism. The ultimate aim of this false ecumenism, this religious indifferentism, is to pacify the lost sheep and silence those who know the truth, leading them back into the lap of the wolves (the Conciliar Church), and placing them into communion with Satan by way of the Conciliar Church and Antipope John Paul II, whose notorious crimes in these latter days of the great apostasy are manifest to all, leaving no excuse for those who do not denounce and condemn the crimes and criminals.

The watchword among these Traditionalist Vatican III heretics or schismatics is that everything must be put forward as opinions and not as dogmas. Even if they admit to some dogmas, no one is bound to believe them, profess them, and practice them under pain of anathema; thus, in practice, they reduce dogmas to opinions. To practice this traditionalist false ecumenism—in order that they all may be one (stay united and friendly)—they must ignore their differences and concentrate on what they have in common. Does this sound familiar? It should, it is the key heretical and idolatrous tenant that is taught and practiced by the Conciliar Church in order to unite with non-Catholic religions so that all may be one (*Ut Unam Sint*), treating them as friends, praying with them, and dialoging with them as equals and respecting their false religions and beliefs. Pope Pius XI condemns all these false ecumenists, the liberals and conservatives of the Conciliar Church, and the Traditionalists, those who believe John Paul II is the pope and those who do not—all, indeed, are liberals.

Pope Pius XI, *Mortalium Animos*: “9. ...Everyone knows that John himself, the Apostle of love, who seems to reveal in his Gospel the secrets of the Sacred Heart of Jesus, and who never ceased to impress on the memories of his followers the new commandment, ‘Love one another,’ altogether forbade any intercourse with those who professed a mutilated and corrupt version of Christ's teaching: ‘If any man come to you and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into the house nor say to him: God speed you.’”

A common trait of these false ecumenists is that they are rebels. They have the same mentality as Protestants. Each man is his own pope. He interprets past infallible teachings of the popes as he pleases and never tells anyone they are bound to believe infallible dogmas under pain of anathema, heresy. They will not submit to any authority, not even that of the past infallible decrees and judgments of the Catholic Church. Each is allowed to have their own opinion and none can be accused of heresy or schism. They have reduced dogmas to opinions, just as Protestants have.

Another trait is that these Traditionalists never allow any Catholic to dialogue with them unless the Catholic effectively denies his obligation to profess the faith and perform the spiritual acts of mercy of admonishing and converting the sinner. Before they allow the Catholic to dialogue with them, the Catholic must promise not to denounce anyone as an apostate, heretic, or schismatic, or tell anyone he needs to repent, convert, and abjure. They are known to say, "If you want to dialogue with us, no *ad homine* attacks (denunciations of men they dialogue with) or we will cut you off." There are not just referring uncharitable attacks against the character of a person, but most important, they do not want anyone involved in their dialogue to be denounced as an apostate, heretic, or schismatic, and told they are in a state of damnation and must repent, convert, and abjure if they want a hope to be saved. This is religious indifferentism, false ecumenism, and religious liberty at its best. They echo apostate, Antipope John XXIII's novel and heretical credo of no more condemnations (anathemas).

Apostate, Antipope John XXIII, *Opening Speech of the Second Vatican Council*, 1962: "And often errors vanish as quickly as they arise, like fog before the sun. The Church has always opposed these errors. Frequently she has condemned them with the greatest severity. Nowadays however, the Spouse of Christ prefers to make use of the medicine of mercy rather than that of severity. She considers that she meets the needs of the present day by demonstrating the validity of her teaching rather than by condemnations."

This is one of the main credos of the Vatican II Church, and it is rampant among the Traditionalists, both those who believe John Paul II is the pope and those who do not. Complain as they will about the Vatican II Church, these Traditionalists hold many of the same heresies and idolatrous practices as the Vatican II Church.

### God's Judgment Against False Ecumenists

"Therefore, O harlot, hear the word of the Lord... I will judge thee as adulteresses, ...and I will give thee blood in fury and jealousy... Behold, I will gather together all thy lovers with whom thou hast taken pleasure... And I will deliver thee into their hands, and they shall destroy thy brothel house, and throw down thy stews: and they shall strip thee of thy garments, and shall take away the vessels of thy beauty: and leave thee naked, and full of disgrace." (Ezekiel 35, 38, 37, 39)

### ***Point 5: Immorality***

Fr. Blanco does not appoint laymen to enforce a dress code, monitor proper behavior during Mass, and screen the unworthy, such as catechumens, from receiving the Holy Eucharist. He does not have any sign posted in the back of the church telling people what they must believe and how they must dress and act during Holy Mass, and, if they are newcomers to not approach the altar rail for Holy Communion until they see the priest.

This lack of vigilance makes Fr. Blanco fully culpable for the immoral sins that are committed in the churches he says Mass at. The people who witness these acts are also guilty if they do not speak up, denounce these sins, and demand that Father be vigilant and put a stop to them.

I had sent a friend of mine to Fr. Blanco's Mass in Malibu, California. He had just embraced the *sedevacante* position and left the Society of St. Pius X. He, along with eleven of his family members, went to Fr. Blanco's chapel on my advice (and bad advice that was). During Mass they noticed a man enter the church who was wearing shorts and tennis shoes. This man proceeded to approach the altar rail and Father Blanco gave him Holy Communion. Now, it may be true that Fr. Blanco did not see the shorts and tennis shoes, but the fact remains that this man entered that church and no one was appointed to stop this from occurring, and worse, not one church member got up and stopped him, as if this was a normal occurrence. If Fr. Blanco was vigilant in guarding the sanctity of the church, the Holy Mass, and the Holy Eucharist, as is his duty, this would not have occurred. Both Fr. Blanco, and the members of that church who sat idly by and did not demand something be done to avoid this in the future, are guilty. This was not a good example for my friend and his family members to see. As you will read, I have since abjured my association with Fr. Blanco and confessed my sins. I called my friend and warned him of Fr. Blanco's other crimes and apologized for allowing him to be scandalized by sending him to Fr. Blanco's chapel.

## **Consequences of a convert entering such a church**

### ***No Unity of Faith or True Love among the Flock***

"Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that you all speak the same thing and that there be no schisms among you: but that you be perfect in the same mind and in the same judgment."

- 1 Corinthians 1:10 -

A convert who attends Mass at a church that is religiously indifferent and practices false ecumenism would notice many different religious beliefs among the flock regarding faith (dogmas) or morals. Because of this he would see dissensions (schisms) between the flock, lack of unity, and no true love among the different sects within the church.

Being the priest does nothing to correct this, thus fostering it, his church cannot be identified as a Catholic church. The flock in a Catholic church must be of one mind regarding dogmas of faith and morals. They must be united in one faith, which is a key mark of a Catholic church.

*"One Lord, one faith, one baptism."* (Eph. 4:5) *"Stand fast in one spirit, with one mind labouring together for the faith of the gospel."* (Phil. 1:27) This unity of faith among the flock grants them grace and aid from God to ensure the flock truly loves one another, which all Catholics must have if they want to be true disciples of Christ. *"By this shall all men know that you are my disciples, if you have love one for another."* (John 13:35) The lack of true love among the flock is one sign that they are not faithful Catholics that they do not truly know or love God, and therefore, God does not abide in them.

"If we love one another, God abideth in us: and his charity is perfected in us. In this we know that we abide in him, and he in us: because he hath given us of his spirit. ...If any man say: I love God, and hateth his brother; he is a liar. For he that loveth not his brother whom he seeth, how can he love God whom he seeth not? And this commandment we have from God, that he who loveth God love also his brother." (1 Jn. 4:12-13, 20-21)

Many verbally profess they love God and one another, but their actions deny it.

Lack of unity in faith or morals lead to dissensions within the flock. St. Paul mentioned that this would happen: *“For first of all I hear that when you come together in the church, there are schisms among you. And in part I believe it. For there must be also heresies: that they also, who are approved may be made manifest among you.”* (1Cor. 11:18-19) A Catholic priest must approve those who speak and practice the truth, and admonish, and expel if necessary, those who do not.

If a member of the flock dissents in faith or morals, the priest must demand that he repent; if he does not repent, the priest must expel him. If the priest allowed this unrepentant dissenter to remain among the flock, then the priest and his church would incur the wrath of God, and the dissenter would spread his infection among the flock—*“A little leaven corrupteth the whole lump?”* (1 Cor. 5:6)—and soon there would be no one faith, no one mind, and no unity in the church. It would quickly become a non-Catholic church, and due to on going dissensions and the lack of God’s grace and aid there would be no true love among the flock. Certainly, this wayward priest’s church is not a *“pillar and ground of truth”* (1 Tim. 3:15), which is a key mark of a Catholic church, but a pillar of sand grounded in lies and false charity, a house without a solid foundation.

Therefore, a good willed convert who attends Mass at a church in which the priest and flock are religiously indifferent, and thus practices a false ecumenism, would detect that two characteristics that identify a church as Catholic are not present, unity of faith and true love for one another among the whole flock. The lack of love for one another among the dissenting sects within the flock is a bad fruit from a bad tree. It is the end stage of a church’s separation from God and His Catholic Church.

### **Deception by Enforced Silence**

The wayward priest can try to deceive his flock by making it appear as if the flock in his church is of one mind, united in dogmas of faith and morals, by silencing all those who would speak of the faith or moral issues that are being denied by him or other members of the church. In this way, by enforced silence upon those who would speak the truth and inquire into what others believe, he attempts to avert public dissention. But, this is a deadly illusion, a white wash tomb full of dead men’s bones and all filthiness (Mt. 23:27-29). The opposing sects will still avoid one another, murmur against one another, and thus there would be no true love for one another in such a church. Oh, they may pretend to love one another, but it is forced, strained, and false. When they do talk with one another it is empty talk that deliberately avoids any issue of faith (dogmas) or morals that one believes the other is denying. They may talk about the weather or abortion, things they agree on, but what they ignore, other issues of the faith (dogmas) and morals, is what simmers under the surface. The consequences of this silence is mortally deadly for all involved, both the heretics who deny dogmas of faith or morals, and those who do not, but remain silent and allow the illusion of unity to continue, these implicitly deny the faith that they are obliged to profess and by sins of omission share in the guilt of those they do not admonish and call to repent and convert.

Most of the flock in such a church goes home right after Mass without talking to anyone; expect maybe to say hello, how is your health, or how is the weather. They go their separate ways. And when they do come together, the sects within the church gather in separate corners and avoid one another. Many of these wayward priests do not let their flocks come together after Mass, such as for coffee and cake, because they fear arguments and dissensions will break out once the opposing sects start to talk with one another.

### ***Inquiry Dispels Darkness and Deception***

A good willed man who enters a so-called Catholic church that is religiously indifferent, and thus practices false ecumenism, would quickly detect that it is not a Catholic church upon a simple inquiry as to what the priest and flock believe in.

Indeed, the denial of the faith (dogmas) or morals would be confirmed when this man questions the members of the church about their faith and moral beliefs and practices.

- One may say that Moslems and Talmudic Jews believe in and worship the one true God, which is apostasy, and another rightly say they do not.
- One may heretically say that men have the God given right to worship false gods and practice false religions, and another rightly say they do not.
- One may heretical say the non-Catholic religions are a means to salvation, and another rightly say they are not.
- One may heretical say the certain men that live and die worshipping false gods and practicing false religions can be in the way of salvation and be saved, and another rightly say they cannot.
- One may idolatrously and blasphemously say the John Paul II's kissing the Koran is only scandal but not idolatry and blasphemy, and another will rightly say it was an act of idolatry and blasphemy.
- After being presented with the Church law that a notorious heretic cannot be pope, one may sin mortally by saying John Paul II is the pope, and another will rightly say he is not the pope.
- One may heretically say that John Paul II is materially the pope but not formally the pope, thus he has no jurisdiction, and another will rightly say he is not the pope in any way, and it is heresy to say a pope does not have universal jurisdiction over the Church.
- One may schismatically say that a bishop can consecrate bishops contrary to the expressed will of the pope, as does the heretical and schismatic Society of St. Pius X, and another will rightly say he cannot.
- One may schismatically say that God will accept Episcopal consecrations and priestly ordinations from non-Catholic bishops, and another will rightly say that these consecrations and ordinations are illegal and schismatic.
- One may heretically say that Catholics can knowingly attend the Masses of priests who are notorious heretics or schismatics, and thus knowingly pray in communion with the priest and his heretical or schismatic flock, and another will rightly say Catholics cannot knowingly pray in communion with non-Catholics under pain of five mortal sins. (See: my book, *Faith Before the Mass*).

A good willed man could only come to one conclusion after his diligent inquiry: The church is not united in one faith and one mind regarding dogmas of faith or morals; thus, it cannot be a Catholic church. The lack of true love for one another is a bad fruit from a bad tree, which gets worse as time progresses.



## Wayward Priest can take Two Courses

### ***He can Repent, Convert, Abjure, and then Admonish and Expel the Unrepentant***

If a priest who is religiously indifferent, practices false ecumenism, and most probably believes in one or more heresies wants to be Catholic he would have to first repent, convert, and take a public abjuration of his errors and a profession of the Catholic faith in order to enter the Church, and only then can he confess his sins and be forgiven.

Once the priest is truly Catholic, inside the Church, he would then have to teach the full deposit of the faith to his flock and demand they believe, profess, and live by it, and expel those who do not. He must also demand that his flock reject and condemn the prevalent crimes and notorious criminals who commit them, the heresies and heretics, etc. of the fallen-away Catholics who claim to be Catholic.

The priest must also require anyone who had believed in apostasy or heresy, or practiced idolatry or schism, as well as anyone who was knowingly in communion with those who do, to take a specific abjuration before he accepts them into his flock and gives them the sacraments.

Obedient to the spiritual acts of mercy, the priest must instruct the ignorant. “*Going therefore, teach ye all nations.... Teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you.*” (Mt. 28:19,20) If they don’t listen and obey, he must admonish the sinner. “*Preach the word: be instant in season, out of season: reprove, entreat, rebuke... Admonish one another.*” (2Tim. 4:2) (Rom. 15:14) Sadly, many will not listen or obey because they are proud. “*And thou didst admonish them to return to thy law. But they dealt proudly, and hearkened not to thy commandments, but sinned against thy judgments... and hardened their neck, and would not hear.*” (2 Esd. 9:29) And thus, the priest must expel them from his church and warn his flock to avoid them in religious matters. “*A man that is a heretic, after the first and second admonition, avoid: Knowing that he that is such an one is subverted and sinneth, being condemned by his own judgment.*” (Titus 3:10-11) “*Now I beseech you, brethren, to mark them who make dissensions and offences contrary to the doctrine which you have learned and avoid them.*” (Rom. 16:17)

### ***He can remain in Darkness and Damnation***

If the priest continues to be religiously indifferent, and thus practice false ecumenism, and not abjure from any heresies he holds, then he will remain a non-Catholic priest who is on the broad road to hell, and a deep pit for taking his flock to hell with him.

Such a priest would continue to silence all those who would speak the truth if it would cause dissension among those who do not believe the truth. He would not take any firm position himself. He would ban any discussion regarding the faith if dissent would arise, while not resolving the crisis of faith between the opposing groups. This is the very mortal sin of religious indifferentism that leads to false ecumenism, which makes one share in the guilt of the crimes and criminals one does not denounce and condemn.

As a result, the blind priest is leading his blind flock to hell. What should a Catholic’s stance be in relation to these blind priests and sheep, if they do not listen to our heartfelt admonishments and calls to conversion? “*Let them alone: they are blind, and leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both fall into the pit.*” (Mt. 15: 14) We must avoid them so that we do not fall into the pit also. We must avoid them in order to save our own souls. “*Mark them who make dissensions and offences contrary to the doctrine which you have learned and avoid them.*”

(Rom. 16:17) A Catholic's primary duty is to save his own soul. Do not allow these false shepherds and lazy, slothful, and faithless sheep drag you to hell with them.

Anyone who remains in these churches shows no primary concern for the Catholic faith and thus will fall into evils. *"He who refuses to learn will fall into evils."* (Prv 17:16) They imagine they can have the Holy Mass on their own terms while not enduring sound doctrine. *"For there shall be a time when they will not endure sound doctrine but, according to their own desires, they will heap to themselves teachers having itching ears: And will indeed turn away their hearing from the truth, but will be turned unto fables."* (2Tim. 4:3-4) These fallen-away Catholics get what they deserve. They stay in these chapels because they like the fables and silence of these lying teachers. As a result of their putting the Mass before the Faith, they do not receive any benefit from the sacraments, and worse, they commit sacrilege every time they receive them, which merits them a deeper pit in hell. *"Therefore, whosoever shall eat this bread, or drink the chalice of the Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of the body and of the blood of the Lord... For he that eateth and drinketh unworthily eateth and drinketh judgment to himself, not discerning the body of the Lord."* (1Cor. 11:27,29) (See: my book, *The Faith Before the Mass*)

## History of Fr. Blanco's Crimes and my Repentance and Conversion

In the spirit of St. Augustine's *Confessions* and King David's penitential psalms, I will confess grievous mortal sins against the faith that placed me outside the Catholic Church. I will only list the sins that pertain to this booklet. Hopefully, if God permits, I will speak of my whole long journey back into the Catholic Church and God's forceful, just, merciful, and miraculous dealings with me, to which I attribute to the intercession and mediation of our loving and Blessed Mother Mary and St. Francis of Assisi.

Two words come to me when thinking about the goodness of God. He is wonderful and kind. Don't misinterpret these words. I say God is wonderful and kind precisely because one aspect of His dealing with me is that He literally beat the hell out of me, while at the same time showing me where I was wrong and offering me the solution (a way out). The Lord had dealt with me as a horse and mule. *"Do not become like the horse and the mule, who have no understanding. With bit and bridle bind fast their jaws, who come not near unto thee."* (Ps. 31:9) A stubborn horse and mule I would still be if not for God's every day graces and physical assistance, and even at that our flesh still bucks against God due to concupiscence. St. Francis referred to his flesh as Brother Ass. I beg God to always to deal with me as such when I turn away from the path of truth and life, so that ultimately my soul shall be saved and I can adore and praise Him forever and ever in the company of the angels and saints.

God has crucified me to the utmost. He did not let me sinfully escape due to my sloth and laziness. He has so thoroughly taken me down to the dust and dirt that not even the pinky on my finger was left moving. I thank Him eternally, because after this crucifixion I was truly, truly free. St. Francis Assisi's motto "Embrace lady poverty and you shall love her and she shall set you free" was a tremendous help to me.

No one but the Master, no one but the Creator, no one but the God of the Catholic Church can chastise and punish in such an exacting and inescapable manner. It is one thing when the Devil puts his hand on you and attacks you—and God has used what seemed to be almost every devil in hell to punish me with every type of torture beyond imagination—but it is quite another thing

when God directly steps in. All time stops, all things submit, and not one avenue of escape is found. It is a power so complete and total that if anyone ever really knew this about God, they would fear no other being other than Almighty God. This one true God—who is the Most Holy and Blessed Trinity, Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, the God of the Catholic Church—has delivered me literally from the very mouth of hell that threatened to swallow me up when I was outside the Catholic Church and not in a state of grace. *“Unless the Lord had been my helper, my soul had almost dwelt in hell”* (Ps. 93:17)

To this date, God has not let me die in damnation, but has delivered me out of damnation. I should have been dead many times over, as many of my fallen away Catholic friends are, due to my many mortal sins and pagan lifestyle. That is how I know for sure, first hand, that God brings all souls that exhibit a good will to the truth, so that they must explicitly do whatever is necessary to be saved before they die. Indeed, if a soul is ultimately of good will, which God knows, then those that are unbaptized will live long enough to explicitly believe in the Incarnation and the Holy Trinity and get baptized and enter the Catholic Church before they die; and, those who are born into protestant or schismatic religions and fallen-away Catholics will come to the knowledge of the truth and convert and abjure within their one lifetime, before they die. God sees to it that all good-willed souls get what they need to do what they must to save their souls.

Many fallen-away Catholic friends I grew up with died. God allowed it. He could have prevented it. Why did God not let them live to repent in their one lifetime? - Because God knew they were ultimately of bad will. It is not for us to judge a man worthy of something he has no claim to, to something he has not earned by cooperating with God by publicly bringing forth fruit of repentance before he dies. That is why Holy Mother Church has never allowed any public mortal sinner or heretic, apostate, or schismatic to be buried in the Church, or prayed for as faithfully departed.

Because of God’s miraculous dealings with me, I know for certain that God never abandons souls that exhibit a good will. He will see to it that they repent and convert before they die. Much more can be said, and God willing, one day I would like to write of this to the Glory of our merciful, just, kind, and all loving God. I offer this prayer to God:

“Oh my God, I pray that I am found out to be a soul of ultimate good will, and that I may not squander all the graces and assistance thou hast given me until now. I pray that my good will was not temporary, as the seed that fell on shallow ground or among the thorns and thistles, but as the seed that fell upon rich soil bringing forth much fruit, unto eternal salvation.”

## ***The Crimes***

I had witnessed several crimes while attending Fr. Blanco’s Masses. I, by God’s grace and assistance, realized that I was just as guilty as Fr. Blanco and the other members of the church. I should have known better. I did write against attending these chapels in my book *Exceptions to the Law*, where I taught a priest must require abjurations from all non-Catholics before they can receive the Holy Eucharist, and if the priest does not he is a non-Catholic priest. I found myself committing the same sin because my desire to attend Holy Mass was put before the Catholic faith. Since then, I have abjured my association with Fr. Blanco and the Great Apostasy on November 21, 1999, ending my long journey back into the Catholic Church. This was necessary in order for me to be God’s witness and go about teaching the Catholic faith. My abjuration from Fr. Blanco took place before the publication of Issue #1 of my Journal, *Exurge Michaël*.

I witnessed a member of the Conciliar Church, who goes to the New Mass, approach the altar rail and receive Holy Communion. I was kneeling next to him on the altar rail when he received. Talk about being clubbed over the head with the truth. I felt ashamed and horrible. I knew this was wrong. I then approached Fr. Blanco the following month and told him something must be done to prevent newcomers from approaching the altar rail. While I was talking with Father in the parking lot before Mass, newcomers arrived. I told Father that we have to check these people. He agreed. I told the newcomers to come to Father so he can talk to them, but they deliberately ignored me and went into the chapel. It turns out that these newcomers were from the Society of St. Pius X (SSPX) and go back and forth to SSPX chapels and *sedevacante* chapels. I then knew why they did not want to speak with Father, because they do not believe that John Paul II is a non-Catholic antipope. They attended Mass and Father gave them Holy Communion. After this crime, I then resolved not to go to Fr. Blanco's Mass until he resolved this crisis. I then spoke to him of abjuration and sent him a form of abjuration, of which he verbally approved. He even told me on the phone that he signed it and would institute it. But the next month he refused to institute abjurations and position papers and refused to prevent newcomers from approaching the altar rail.

I wrote and sent Fr. Blanco three letters—two in September of 1999, and one in October of 1999—dealing with this topic and never received one response from him, either by phone or in writing. In my third letter, I demanded that he put his beliefs in writing even if to refute me, and he did not. A couple I attended Mass with, Bruce and Laura Gott, sent Fr. Blanco a letter concerning this topic and received no response either. Father did not have the common courtesy to answer any of our letters, while at first verbally expressing total agreement with the need of specific abjurations and positions papers. He even verbally said he signed it. This is an act of a charlatan. He adjusts his beliefs from chapel to chapel. He says Mass at about six or eight chapels. If he was to put something in writing that another of his chapel members do not agree with, it can be used against him and visa-versa. Therefore, he takes the safe course and puts nothing in writing out of fear of losing the favor of the members of the other chapels he says Mass at.

When I attended Fr. Blanco's Masses, I was fully culpable of the crimes committed in the chapel, as are all members of publicly non-Catholic chapels,<sup>1</sup> because I did not examine Fr. Blanco ahead of time, which is a Catholic's obligation. I relied upon his verbal word in regard to the faith and did not require him to put his beliefs in writing. I did not demand that he require non-Catholics to take specific abjurations or Catholics to sign position papers, as I taught in my book *Exceptions to the Law*. I knew full well that no mechanism was in place to stop a newcomer from approaching the altar rail, and only hoped a newcomer would not enter the chapel and approach the altar rail. What foolish blindness on my part. I got what I deserved, because of my lack of vigilance and my putting the Mass before the Faith.

Shouldn't I have meticulously examined Fr. Blanco before I went to his Mass, and demand he put in writing what he professes to believe and demand he require specific abjurations from all non-Catholics who enter his chapel? What written proof could I present to one who inquires if Fr. Blanco is Catholic? Who buys a house without a deed or title—a piece of paper that proves the legality of the sale? Or, who buys a house without thoroughly checking it for termites and other defects? Should not I have been even more meticulous regarding the things of God (the

---

<sup>1</sup> A chapel is publicly non-Catholic if the priest either publicly teaches heresy or schism, or is in communion with those who publicly believe in heresy or schism.

Catholic faith) in checking out Fr. Blanco and demanding that I have a paper with his signature on it that describes what he believes in, and what he demands from his sheep before they approach the altar rail? Would you buy a house if the dealer told you that the deed was free and clear, but never showed or gave you a copy of the deed? Would you buy a house if the dealer told you it was in perfect condition without first checking it yourself? Did not our Lord say to trust no man and test the spirits.

If I did buy a house without requiring these prerequisites, and then found out I have no legal right to the house, or the house falls apart because it was in bad condition, whose fault is it? – Mine! In the case of a house, all I lose is the house, but if I attend the Mass of a publicly non-Catholic priest I lose my soul to eternal hell.

How is it that men are so very meticulous about temporal matters involving finances and material possession, but show no real concern for the spiritual things that will save their souls—the Catholic faith? How is it that these same men who show a great ability to inquire, analyze, and demand things be done right in the temporal realm are not guilty (fully culpable) if they do not do the same in the spiritual realm? Indeed, they are fully culpable. Pope Pius X decrees that so-called Catholics will go to hell because of ignorance of things they must know in order to save their souls: “We declare that a great number of those who are condemned to eternal punishment suffer that everlasting calamity because of ignorance of those mysteries of faith which must be known and believed in order to be numbered among the elect.” (Pope Pius X, *Acerbo Nimis*, 1905)

If a so-called Catholic does not demand a specific abjuration in writing from a non-Catholic bishop or priest, or a signed position paper from a supposed Catholic bishop or priest, before he attends his Mass, then the so-called Catholic has no excuse for praying in communion with a non-Catholic bishop or priest and his non-Catholic flock. He is guilty for not diligently inquiring into the faith of a bishop or priest before he attends their Masses. If their crimes are public, this information can easily be discovered upon a basic inquiry. The very fact that a bishop or priest does not require abjurations or demand his flock to believe, profess, and live the full deposit of faith proves he is not Catholic. Dear reader, do not leap before you inquire!

### ***My Repentance and Abjuration from Fr. Blanco***

My first concern when witnessing the crimes of Fr. Blanco was for my own soul, my own hypocrisy, my own attending his Mass contrary to what I knew to be right. Great shame came upon me! God had clubbed me over the head with irrefutable evidence, which I thank Him every day for, because He did not allow me to continue to be deceived by the Devil, by the operation of error. This is how God has always got my attention when I was going headstrong in the wrong direction.

#### **The First Step**

The first step was recognizing the sins against the faith I was committing by my silence and by being in communion with non-Catholics, and then removing myself from them. This I did. The last Mass I attended without receiving Holy Communion was to rebuke Fr. Blanco, and let him know I would no longer attend his Mass until he institutes specific abjurations and positions papers and teaches the Catholic faith and demand it be held and practiced.

Because of this act of obedience to God on my part, God had sent me more irrefutable proof as to the consequences of the crimes being committed by Fr. Blanco. A woman who had been attending Mass and receiving Holy Communion at Fr. Blanco’s chapel, who I had known and

spoke with, on this last day had publicly, in front of Father and many members of the chapel, said that Jews and Moslems worship the same God as Catholics. Now, I received Holy Communion side-by-side with this woman, at the same altar rail, and no one had ever investigated what her faith was. If specific abjurations and position papers were required and the faith was being taught and demanded to be held in this chapel this crime would have never occurred and this woman would have a chance to come out of her apostasy and have a hope to save her soul. I had to explain to her that she was in heresy and apostasy for believing that Jews and Moslems worship the same God as Catholics. I presented her with some Bible verses as proof. I no longer attend that chapel and this woman still approaches the altar rail and has never been required to abjure from her apostate belief. Dear reader, I ask you, can you not see how the Mass has been put before the Faith in these chapels? Can you not see the great crime of unworthy, sacrilegious receptions of Holy Communion that takes place? Ah, Satan loves these chapels, because they profane and desecrate the Holy Eucharist.

### The Second Step

After separating myself from Fr. Blanco and his non-Catholic flock, I needed to take one more step in order to enter the Church. This next necessary step, which I did not yet want to think about, was the hardest step. It required me to acknowledge that because of my crimes I was outside the Catholic Church, I was not Catholic, and had to publicly abjure in writing to enter the Catholic Church. I had to admit that my sins against the faith were mortal sins!

God used a friend of mine, Ronald James Elmy, who went through the Fr. Blanco affair with me and believed as I did, to emphasize the seriousness of this last necessary step that I had not yet taken. At the perfect time and place, as only God knows how to do, God used my friend to ask me bluntly, "Rich, are you inside the Catholic Church?" At first there was a stunned silence by me. I said to myself, "How can he be asking me this question?" I did not immediately answer and half hearted said, "Yes." His question caused me to examine myself in the light of the truth and not what I thought about myself. I still thought God would excuse me from mortal culpability. I presumed that because of the circumstances in the Church today that this objectively mortal sin would only be held against me as a venial sin, or even just a fault. What foolish presumption on my part. I thought that maybe and somehow, because of all my writings and talking that presented the truth, I would be excused of mortal sin. But in reality this made me guiltier for not practicing what I taught. I tried to make excuses for myself by saying what I did was wrong, but not mortally wrong before God because these are confusing days and surely God will understand. This is the ploy Satan uses to keep most souls, who recognize the heresy and apostasy of the day but don't think they have to remove themselves from it or abjure from it, in damnation.

After my friend confronted me, thoughts of my "innocence" quickly dissipated and I really started to examine my conscience. I especially thought of the following verses. *"For I am not conscious to myself of anything. Yet am I not hereby justified: but he that judgeth me is the Lord."* (1Cor. 4:4) *"There is a way that seemeth to a man right: and the ends thereof lead to death."* (Prv. 16:25) I then said to myself, am I different from any other men? Who do I think I am that I can escape from guilt and punishment when I break God's laws and violate His eternal truth? Do I get a special dispensation when I break God's laws? Do I dare think for one second that I cannot sin mortally regarding the Catholic faith and fall outside the Catholic Church? I immediately examined everything in the light of the truth. I then abjured my association with Fr.

Blanco, made a profession of the Catholic faith and confessed my sins before God with the promise to go to a Catholic bishop or priest the first available opportunity to confirm my abjuration and profession of faith and to confirm my confession.

As you have just read by my brief confession, I am not saying I am holier than thou. I have been guilty of the same crimes most of you readers are guilty of at this very moment. I wrote this primarily for the glory of God, and then with the most sincere heartfelt hope that you too will repent and have a hope to save your souls and then go about helping to save the souls of others, and that we can fight together in the great army our Blessed Mother is forming, in the defense and propagation of the Catholic religion—the Holy Catholic Church—outside of which there is absolutely no salvation.

Dear reader, I will now ask you the question of all questions. But before I do, I ask you for the moment not to look to your right or your left; not to look in front of you or in back of you; not to think of secret societies and apocalyptic interpretations; not to think of signs and wonders, but to only look at yourself. I now ask you, “Are you in the Catholic Church? Are you Catholic?” If you answer yes, I then ask, “Are you sure?” *“If any man trust to himself, that he is Christ's let him think this again with himself.”* (2 Cor. 10:7) If you have believed in heresy or schism, have you publicly abjured in writing? If you have been in communion with public heretics or schismatics, have you abjured your association with them? If you have been in communion with those who are in communion with public heretics or schismatics (the Society of St. Pius X, and the independent chapels who pray one with (*una cum*) Antipope John Paul II), have you removed yourself from them and abjured? If not, then why have you not? Did you know that the denial of one dogma would place you outside the Catholic Church and in damnation? Did you know that the committing of one mortal sin of immorality, if un-confessed, would send your soul to hell? If you have committed these mortal sins, against either the Catholic faith or morals the Church demands that you take specific actions to get back into a state of grace. Have you sincerely attempted to take the appropriate steps that the Holy Catholic Church requires to get back into a state of grace?

A sure sign that one has not truly repented is that they are not willing to abjure in writing after they verbally admit their guilt. A good willed man would not hesitate to put in writing his abjuration for all the public to know that he no longer believes or associates with public heretics. A good willed man would not hesitate at the first opportunity to take an abjuration that declares his guilt before all, so as to be cleared of future guilt in the crimes. Why, in God's Holy Name, would a man not want to publicly admit he sinned grievously if his sin was public? The Church demands that he does! Why would he not want his name cleared so that no one in the future can hold his past against him as if he still believed in heresy or schism, or as if he is still in communion with public heretics or schismatics? The common sense of an abjuration, which is secondary to the fact that the Church requires it, is that the penitent, or his friends if he died, has irrefutable, historical proof in writing that he abjured from either his public heresy or schism or his association with public heretics or schismatics.

### ***All are guilty who attend Mass at such a church***

All those with the use of reason who remain in a church that is religiously indifferent and thus practices a false ecumenism are guilty of mortal sin. Those who are ignorant of the Church teachings regarding religious indifferentism and false ecumenism are culpably ignorant, because by their very title of Catholic they are bound to reject these basic falsehoods and profess and live by the basic dogmas that oppose them. The very law upon their heart, aided by God's grace, enables a good willed man to reject these basic falsehoods even if the truth has not been presented

to him. It is contrary to common sense to profess in the creed that Catholics believe in one faith when many in the church disagree over issues of the faith (infallible dogmas) or are disobedient to Church laws. It is contrary to common sense for a priest who does not support or belong to an opposing sect to allow his flock to attend Masses in the opposing sects' churches and pray in communion with those whom he is not in communion with, etc.

Therefore, the ignorance of the sheep in these churches is affected ignorance, deliberately fostered, and thus inexcusable. Their not seeking the truth in the churches they attend Mass at in the face of public crimes that are either opening committed or can easily known upon a very basic inquiry makes them mortally guilty.

Any so-called Catholic that for the first time enters a church to attend Mass and does not diligently inquire as to the faith of the priest and the flock before he prays in communion with them and receives the Holy Eucharist shares in the guilt of the public sins against the faith or charity (schism) of the priest and the flock. Why should this so-called Catholic be surprised when he finds out the priest is either praying in communion with apostate, Antipope John Paul II or allows people to go to chapels that are praying in communion with Antipope John Paul II. Why should he be surprised when he finds out the priest believes in heresy or schism. This so-called Catholics, who in reality is not Catholic, has no right to be surprised when he could have easily learned of these facts by diligently and thoroughly questioning the priest before attending his Mass.

This being so, what, then, is to be said of the so-called Catholic who has been presented with the truth he was duty bound to know, and then rejects it either explicitly or by acting as if he never heard it. Such a so-called Catholic, who in reality is not Catholic, will be damned to a deeper pit in hell.

Many ex-friends still attended Fr. Blanco's Mass, even after all these crimes have been forcibly brought to their attention. If they do not repent and abjure, what possible excuse can they have before God on judgment day when He damns them? Can they say they did not know these crimes were being committed? Will they continue to turn a blind eye when newcomers approach the altar rail and heresies are detected among other members who attend Fr. Blanco's Masses? How will they answer God when He asks them why they did not abjure in writing for either the heresies or schisms they held, or from those who they associated with that were in public heresy or schism?

One woman said she did not think God would send her 80-year-old father to hell just because he is still attending the Novus Ordo Mass in a Conciliar (Vatican II) church. She placed the love of her flesh father over that of our spiritual Father, Almighty God. What questions can this woman expect on her judgment day before God damns her? God will ask her, "Was your father a good man and provider?" She will say, "Oh yes, he was very good and diligent in providing for us. He was a very good and proficient worker." God will ask her, "So, then, your father was not stupid?" And she will say, "Oh no, he knew how to learn and do what was ever necessary in the temporal world so that we could be provided for." God will then ask her, "Did you recognize the Conciliar Church as a non-Catholic entity and John Paul II as a non-Catholic antipope?" She will say, "Yes, Lord, the crimes are notorious and overwhelming." God will ask her, "Then how come your father never recognized these crimes as you did?" She will say, "I don't know." God will then ask her, "Is the aid and grace I offered your father deficient that he should not have seen and comprehended the same crimes as you did? You admit your father was very diligent and proficient in the care of temporal matters, the care of his family, then how is it he was not equally diligent and proficient in learning his Catholic faith and rejecting the basic falsehoods



that were manifest to him?” She will say, “No Lord, I did not mean to imply your grace was not sufficient for him to understand and believe the truth. I guess it was his own fault.” God will then say to the woman, “Did you warn your father, denounce him, and tell him that he will go to hell if he does not repent, convert, and abjure?” She will say, “No, Lord, I believed you would overlook his sins and save him.” God will say, “Woe to you woman for justifying your reprobate father, and denying Me, your Creator, and the power of my aid and grace. Woe to you for loving your father more than Me. Woe to you woman for you share in the guilt of your father’s sins. I will require his blood at your hands. You shall get what you desired. You shall be reunited with your father—whom you preferred over Me—for all eternity in the fires of hell!”

### ***Faith by Osmosis***

I told those who attended Fr. Blanco’s Mass in Durango, Colorado, the church I had attended Mass at, of the crimes being committed in that church, which they were either ignoring or guilty of themselves. Most did not listen, and most who did were indifferent.

One woman, at that time, was of good will. I will refer to her as Mrs. Y. Mrs. Y. inquired and asked questions in order to learn the truth. She puts to shame many boisterous and seemly strong men who have cowed down and were afraid to confront Fr. Blanco. She attended Fr. Blanco’s Mass for a short time after I publicly denounced the crimes that occur in that church. She began to question Father regarding these topics and demanded answers. She asked Father why he did not put up a position paper in the back of the chapel or make announcements before Mass to inform newcomers that they cannot approach the altar rail until they meet with him. During one of the Masses Mrs. Y. attended, not receiving Holy Communion because of her serious doubts about Fr. Blanco, a newcomer showed up in the back of the chapel. She approached the newcomer and told her she must see Father before she approaches the altar rail. The newcomer did not approach Father. After Mass, Mrs. Y. told Father of her good deed and that he must do something to prevent newcomers from receiving Holy Communion either by position papers in the back of the chapel and announcements before Mass. Father did not agree with her and told her that it was not necessary. He got very angry, prideful, and obstinate with her and accused her of being influenced by me. She then asked him, “How am I being prideful for asking you a question about the Catholic faith?” She then asked him, “How will newcomers know they cannot approach the altar rail or even know the position of the priest regarding the Catholic faith if he does not post his position in the back of the chapel or make announcements before Mass to these newcomers?” And Father answered, “They will come to me on their own.” Thus we have faith by osmosis, all newcomers will be irresistibly drawn to speak with Fr. Blanco without Fr. Blanco having to do anything, and if they happen not to approach him, then they will absorb the faith into their hearts and minds without anyone having to preach to or teach them, thus faith can cometh by not hearing.

Father does not go to the newcomers who attend his chapel to teach them; rather, he waits for them to come to him, and in the meantime, he treats the newcomers as a full practicing Catholics by allowing them to receive the Holy Eucharist. Father expects a lost sheep to know exactly what he is supposed to do when he enters Father’s chapel and what he is supposed to believe in. It is especially days like these, the latter days of the Great Apostasy, that a priest must be totally vigilant, taking nothing for granted by presuming every newcomer that walks into his chapel is Catholic in word and deed. Talk about sinful, lazy, slothful presumption on the part of the priest and the laymen who sit idly by and say and do nothing about it and thus share in Fr. Blanco’s guilt.

The question is, “Do all newcomers approach the priest before they approach the altar rail to receive Holy Communion?” No, most do not. After Father told this woman, “They will come to me,” she told him that newcomers have entered his chapel and went up to the altar rail to receive Holy Communion without even considering to approach him at any time. That is the behavior of most newcomers. On several occasions I had witnessed this. A non-Catholic, Vatican II, and a Society of St. Pius X (SSPX) layman approached Fr. Blanco’s altar rail and received Holy Communion without first approaching him. The SSPX layman is the man I mentioned above that would not come to Father Blanco when Father and I were outside of the chapel before Mass. I asked the man and his wife to come over to us so we can inquire into his beliefs. He refused. This man attended Fr. Blanco’s Mass several times and deliberately avoided Father.

To expect that a newcomer will automatically approach the priest before he receives Holy Communion is presumptuous and slothful:

Presumptuous, because the Catholic faith teaches that men, since the Fall of Adam and Eve, are prone to evil and make excuses in their sins. King David acknowledges this: *“Incline not my heart to evil words; to make excuses in sins.”* (Ps. 140:4) *“Who can understand sins? from my secret ones cleanse me, O Lord.”* (Ps. 18:3) Therefore, everyone must be thoroughly tested before they are treated as a Catholic.

Slothful, because it is the priest and Catholic laymen’s duty to instruct the ignorant and admonish the sinner, and not wait for the ignorant sinner to first acknowledge his error before he is instructed or admonished; that is contrary to the Catholic duty to profess the faith and perform the spiritual acts of mercy, and it is illogical, because most people who are ignorant sinners desire to remain that way. If the priest and laymen are religiously indifferent—they do not really care about the Catholic faith—then, none of this will matter to them, they simply do not truly care about the faith.

What or who is to stop a Satanist from entering Fr. Blanco’s chapel and taking the Holy Eucharist to be used in a Black Mass? Satanist or no Satanist, it is still a sacrilege and profanation of the Holy Eucharist when a man receives who is not in a state of grace. Fr. Blanco’s chapel is fertile ground for the profanation of the Holy Eucharist that has been tilled by him and the laymen because no one is required to hold and practice the full deposit of the Catholic faith. No one is required to abjure if they have been associated with a non-Catholic sect or held heresies, and newcomers are not examined before they approach the altar rail. Mrs. Y. asked Father Blanco why he did not respond to any of my letters in writing. He said, “No time. No time.” Well, it is obvious Father has no time for the Catholic faith, but just enough time to say a Mass, get a free meal and stipend, and leave.

### ***Father Blanco’s Speech Impediment***

Fr. Blanco had a speech impediment from a stroke and cannot verbally communicate very well. However, this is no excuse for him not teaching and practicing the Catholic faith, nor does it excuse him for not requiring abjurations. Father can still write. Father told us that he only has a speech impediment and that he is not stupid. He wrote a lengthy letter defending himself against charges of immorality leveled against him by members of his Spokane, Washington chapel. Why, then, could he not answer in writing one of my letters that accused him of sins against the faith? This would not have been a hard thing to do if he was innocent. Are the sins of immorality he was accused of more grave than the sins against the faith he commits? Sins against the faith are worse than sins of immorality, because sins against the faith place one outside the Catholic Church, where there is no remedy for sin.

It is not difficult for Father to post position papers in the back of the chapel and give them to newcomers. It is not difficult for Father to type out a monthly sermon, or copy a sermon from a saint to give to the people before or after Mass. It was not difficult for Father to appoint laymen to read the epistle and gospel, which he does. Then why does he not appoint laymen to make a short statement before every Mass that newcomers cannot approach the altar rail until they meet with Father? Is this one short statement too difficult for a layman to utter? One word from Father and this is accomplished. It is not difficult for Father to appoint laymen to teach catechism to the members of his chapels. It is not difficult for Father to appoint ushers to make sure newcomers do not approach the altar rail, and that the members are properly dressed and behave themselves during Holy Mass.

Indeed, a speech impediment does not mean Father is stupid or insane. If he was stupid or insane, he should not be saying Mass in the first place because of incompetence. Therefore, let no one try and use Father's speech impediment as an excuse for his heretical and schismatic crimes against the Catholic faith and charity, which are sending souls to hell for all eternity.

Pope Innocent III, IV Lateran Council, 1215: "We decree that those who give credence to the teachings of heretics, as well as those who receive, defend, or patronize them, are excommunicated..."

Pope Eugenius IV, Council of Florence, Sept. 4, 1439: "Moses, the man of God, was zealous for the well-being of the people entrusted to him. He feared that God's wrath would be roused against them if they followed Korah, Dathan and Abiram in their seditious schism. Therefore he said to the whole people, at the Lord's command: depart from the tents of these wicked men and touch nothing of theirs, lest you be involved in their sins. For he had perceived, under the Lord's inspiration, that those seditious and schismatic men would incur a grievous retribution, as was demonstrated afterwards when even the earth could not bear with them but by God's just judgment swallowed them up, so that they fell alive into hell."

May Almighty God through the Sorrowful & Immaculate Heart of Mary with St. Joseph and St. Michael the Archangel grant the great priceless graces of humility, repentance, abjuration, profession of the Catholic faith and true contrition to all those still associated in some way with *The Great Apostasy* for the salvation of their immortal souls.

## **Update: Fr. Blanco is under the Romans' One Curse**

This update was added August 2003. It should come as no surprise, and even be expected, that Fr. Blanco is under the Romans' One Curse for denying the Catholic faith. Indeed, he was accused of molesting young boys:

### **Accused Molester Is Still Priest**

By Jennifer Garza -- Bee Staff Writer  
Sacramento Bee, Published 2:15 a.m. PDT Friday,  
April 25, 2003

A priest accused of sexually molesting at least a dozen young boys in the Sacramento area 30 years ago, and presumed dead, is alive and serving in a church in Tacoma, Wash. Father Mario Blanco, who was ordered out of the Sacramento Diocese in 1973, is pastor of Our Mary Help of Christians

Church, a small traditionalist chapel that operates outside the Roman Catholic Church and does not accept the authority of the current papacy.

Blanco served in the Sacramento Diocese from Oct. 23, 1969, to April 5, 1973, and was dismissed following a church investigation into allegations of sexual misconduct. Diocesan officials later settled two cases in which the priest was accused of sexual assault. Since last April, at least 10 men have sued the diocese alleging they were molested by Blanco.

Sacramento Bishop William Weigand said in an interview last summer that the cases involving Blanco were “the most serious ever in the diocese.” ...George said that now that his clients know Blanco is alive, they will file police reports detailing their allegations. “Our hope is that he will be brought to justice”... Lopez, 45, ...described himself as a shy 12-year-old when he said Blanco molested him. “It's something that always stays with you,” said Lopez, after learning that Blanco is alive. “I want to see him on trial.”

For a full copy of the article send 50 cents. As I said, time-and-time again, all those who have denied the Catholic faith are guilty of one or more of the immoral sins mentioned by St. Paul in Romans chapter one. Let this be a warning to all Traditionalists, including most who hold that the Holy See is vacant (*sedevacante*), that you and your priests are no more Catholic than the members of the Vatican II Church and their leader John Paul II. You and your priests are like the pre-Vatican II fallen-away Catholics that caused the Great Apostasy to come about. Your children deserve to be molested by these priests, because the Catholic faith is not your primary concern, because you have put the Mass before the Faith, and thus you are not Catholic. God has forgotten you and your children, because you have forgotten Him.

“As they liked not to have God in their knowledge, God delivered them up to a reprobate sense.” (Rom. 1:28) “My people have been silent, because they had no knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will reject thee... and thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I also will forget thy children. According to the multitude of them, so have they sinned against me: I will change their glory into shame. They shall eat the sins of my people, and shall lift up their souls to their iniquity.” (Osee 4:6) “Jesus turning to them, said... weep for yourselves and for your children.” (Lk. 23:28)

There is hope only for those who exhibit a good will by learning and living by the Catholic faith, which means they must first-and-foremost condemn the crimes against the faith and criminals who commit them and separate from them. And then they must abjure from them. The root cause of all immorality is sins against the faith.

“For the beginning of fornication is the devising of idols: and the invention of them is the corruption of life. For the worship of abominable idols is the cause, and the beginning and end of all evil.” (Wis. 14: 12, 27)

I warn you to be not surprised when God makes manifest the immoral sins of other non-Catholic Traditionalist bishops and priests, both those who hold the *sedevacante* position and those who do not.